1	HAWAII COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
2	STATE OF HAWAII
3	
4	In re:
5	The Application of)
6	VICTORIA WARD, LIMITED,)
7	a wholly owned subsidiary) of HOWARD HUGHES) CORPORATION,)
8)
9	Applicant,)) To request a development)
10	To request a development) permit, Permit Number) KAK 18-038, with)
11	modifications, to develop) a mixed-use project at)
12	1020 Auahi Street,) TMK Nos. (1)2-3-002: 109,)
13	110 (portion), aka) "Ko'ula Project.")
14)
15	
16	PRESENTATION HEARING
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18	
19	Wednesday, June 6, 2018
20	
21	Taken at 547 Queen Street, Second Floor
22	Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
23	commencing at 1:38 p.m.
24	
25	Reported by: LAURA SAVO, CSR No. 347

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
2	John Whalen, Chairperson
3	Mary Pat Waterhouse, Vice Chairperson
4	Garett Kamemoto, Interim Executive Director
5	Deepak Neupane, Director of Planning and Development
6	Lori Sunakoda, Deputy Attorney General
7	Max Levins, Deputy Attorney General
8	BOARD MEMBERS:
9	Beau Bassett
10	Wei Fang
11	Jason Okuhama
12	Phillip Hasha
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	For the Applicant:
15	J. DOUGLAS ING, ESQ. BRIAN A. KANG, ESQ.
16	Watanabe Ing LLP
17	999 Bishop Street, 23rd Floor Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
18	For HART:
19	TERENCE J. O'TOOLE, ESQ.
20	LINDSAY E. ORMAN, ESQ. Starn O'Toole Marcus & Fisher 733 Bishop Street, Suite 1900
21	Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
22	ROZELLE A. AGAG, ESQ.
23	Department of the Corporation Counsel City and County of Honolulu 530 South King Street, Room 110
24	Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
25	RICHARD LEWALLEN Deputy Director of Right-of-Way - HART

1	<u>INDEX</u>	
2		PAGE
3	Call to Order	6
4	Intervenor's Motion to Intervene	11
5	Staff Report	16
6	Adjournment	143
7		
8	EXHIBITS ADMITTED FOR THE RECORD:	
9	Applicant's Exhibits A through U	23
10		
11	WITNESSES FOR THE APPLICANT:	
12		
13	TODD APO	
14	Examination by: Mr. Ing	25
15	Examination by: Chair Whalen	44
16	Examination by: Member Fang	5 0
17	Examination by: Vice Chair Waterhouse	62
18		
19	PAUL BREWBAKER, Ph.D.	
20	Examination by: Mr. Ing	52
21	Examination by: Chair Whalen	56
22		
23	CHAD TAKESUE	
24	Examination by: Mr. Ing	65
25		

1	I N D E X (Cont'd)	
2		AGE
3		
4	THOMAS WITTEN	
5	Examination by: Mr. Ing	6 9
6	Examination by: Chair Whalen 84,	91
7	Examination by: Member Bassett 90,	92
8		
9	JOSEPH FERRARO	
10	Examination by: Mr. Ing	95
11		
12	PETE PASCUA, P.E.	
13	Examination by: Mr. Ing	99
14	Examination by: Chair Whalen	104
15	Examination by: Mr. Neupane	107
16		
17	RACE RANDLE	
18	Examination by: Mr. Neupane	112
19	Examination by: Chair Whalen 113,	133
20	Examination by: Mr. Ing	122
21	Examination by: Vice Chair Waterhouse	130, 135
22	Examination by: Member Fang 137,	
23	Examination by: Member Hasha	139
24		
25		

1	I N D E X (Cont'd)	
2	WITNESSES FOR THE APPLICANT:	PAGE
3		
4	GLENN KUWAYE, P.E.	
5	Examination by: Mr. Kang	115
6		
7	MATT McDERMOTT, M.A.	
8	Examination by: Mr. Kang	118
9		
10		
11	PUBLIC TESTIMONY BY:	
12	(None offered.)	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 Wednesday, June 6, 2018, 1:38 p.m.

-000-

CHAIR WHALEN: I'd like to call to order the June 6th, 2018, public hearing of the Hawaii Community Development Authority. The time is now 1:38. Thank you for your patience, and thank you for your interest in Kaka'ako. My name's John Whalen, chair of the Authority.

Let the record reflect that the following Kaka'ako members are present: Phillip Hasha, Wei Fang, Jason Okuhama, Mary Pat Waterhouse, Beau Bassett and John Whalen.

So would counsel for the applicant and counsel for the Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transit please make their appearances at this time?

MR. ING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the board. My name's Douglas Ing, and assisting me today is Brian Kang, and we are here to represent Victoria Ward, Limited, and Howard Hughes Corporation.

MR. O'TOOLE: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and members of the board.

I'm not sure this is on. Is that better? Thank you.

CHAIR WHALEN: We can hear you up here.

MR. O'TOOLE: Okay. All right. Good afternoon, in any event. My name is Terry O'Toole, and with me is my associate, Lindsay Orman, Rozelle Agag from the corporation counsel, and Richard Lewallen, who is the deputy director of right-of-way for HART.

CHAIR WHALEN: Thank you.

Today's hearing on a development permit under KAK 18-038 is being held in accordance with Hawaii Revised Statutes, Section 206E-5.6, Hawaii Administrative Rules, Chapter 15-219, and the vested Hawaii Administrative Rules, Chapter 15-22.

The nature of today's public hearing is to allow the applicant to present the proposed project and provide the general public with the opportunity to present oral and/or written testimony. The applicant is Victoria Ward, Limited, the wholly owned subsidiary of the Howard Hughes Corporation. The tax map key is 2-3-002 of parcel 109 and a portion of 110. The project location is 1020 Auahi Street.

The project description is a request for a development application -- permit application for mixed-use project consisting of a residential -- of residential and commercial components at 1020 Auahi

Street, TMK 2-3-002, 109, a portion of 110.

The proposed project consists of a 400-foot tower and a 75-foot podium and will house a mix of approximately 570 residential units and approximately 58,300 square feet of commercial space and required parking spaces. The project will provide approximately 10,800 square feet of open space and 58,496 square feet of recreational space.

The applicant is proposing to provide at least 64 reserved housing units to be located either within the project or off-site.

The applicant is requesting a modification from the requirements of the applicable vested area -- vested Mauka Area Rules, HAR Chapter 15-22, in order to increase the height of the podium, meaning the structure located at the base of the proposed tower that will house parking stalls, commercial spaces and a portion of the residential units, from 45 feet, which is the requirement, to 75 feet.

The application date was April 16th,

2018. Public hearing notice was published in

Honolulu Star-Advertiser, Maui News, Garden Isle,

Hawaii Tribune-Herald and West Hawaii Today on

Tuesday, May 1st, 2018.

So let me briefly explain our procedures for today's public hearing. First, the Authority will act on the motion to intervene filed by the Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transit on May 18th, 2018. Motions for the intervention are governed by Hawaii Administrative Rules, Section 15-219-49, "Intervention in Contested Case," which provides that a person or a government agency may move to intervene and become a party to a contested case proceeding by filing a timely written motion in accordance with Section 15-219-32.

The motion to intervene shall state the following: name and address, telephone number of the applicant and the applicant's legal counsel, if any, which shall be updated by the applicant at all times;

The nature of the applicant's statutory or other right to participate in the contested case proceeding;

The nature and extent of the applicant's property, financial, or other interest in the pending contested case proceeding;

And the other means by which the applicant's interest may be protected;

The extent to which the applicant's interest will not be represented by existing parties

1 to the contested case proceeding; 2 The extent to which the applicant's participation can assist in the development of a 3 4 sound record; 5 The extent to which applicant's participation will broaden the issues or delay the 6 7 proceeding; 8 Whether the applicant's position is in 9 support of or in opposition to the relief sought. 10 Where the contested case proceeding is to 11 be conducted as a public hearing, a motion to 12 intervene shall be filed by the deadline indicated in 13 the published notice of the public hearing. 14 When the contested case proceeding is 15 initiated by petition pursuant to Section 15-219-46, a motion to intervene shall be filed no later than 20 16 17 days after the petition is filed. 18 The intervention shall not be granted 19 unless -- except on allegations which are reasonably 20 pertinent to and do not unreasonably broaden the 21 issues already presented. 22 Once the motion to intervene is 23 concluded, the HCDA staff will present its report 24 summarizing the development permit application.

Following that presentation, we will receive the

25

presentation of the applicant followed by the presentation from HART and then testimony from the public. Only members of the Authority and interim executive director will be permitted to ask questions of the staff, applicant, intervenor or individuals providing the testimony. I will be acting as the presiding officer for this hearing.

Are there any questions as to our procedures?

So we'll begin the proceedings. First, will counsel for HART please make your motion to intervene?

MR. O'TOOLE: Yes, Mr. Chair, and I think we've submitted our papers, and Howard Hughes has responded. It would not be our intention to repeat, but I think I would like to elaborate a little bit about why we're here and the purpose for intervening. I think it was clear in our moving paper that we're not here to oppose. We're not here to delay or otherwise get in the way of Howard Hughes's development. In fact, the opposite is true, and we've reached out to Howard Hughes's attorney to reconfirm and perhaps disabuse any notion that we're here actually to intervene and create a contested case where we're opposing the project, which we're

not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The rationale for being here, and we think the reason that we should be entitled to participate in terms of standing, is very clear. There's a lot going on right now. The Kaka'ako station for HART is -- I won't say imminent, but it's on the way. The project at issue here is in Land There are multiple other Howard Hughes projects. It's my understanding and belief that HART and Howard Hughes have both reached out to try to coordinate and communicate with one another to make sure they're working hand in glove and neither gets in the way of the other. And we believe that by intervening here, we will help to facilitate the process, both of the development and perhaps be available to the HCDA as well for any HART-related issues. So it's hard to anticipate at this point because the project is still yet to be fully defined, but it's easy to expect that with the extent of the development and construction, issues can come up about traffic, utilities, any number of things, sequencing of construction. And so it's our belief that being a party to this proceeding, we will be available and hopefully be helpful to both the board and cooperating with Howard Hughes. And I think the

only condition that we're asking here is that we simply -- that the order hopefully granting the request to intervene will be simply conditional in the fact that the parties will continue to cooperate and coordinate with respect to this project as well as HART's efforts in that area. We are not submitting any exhibits. It's not our intention to provide any written -- or any testimony today. And Rich Lewallen is here as the deputy director of right-of-way and can certainly answer any questions and I believe will remain here should that be necessary. And that concludes our comments. Members, are there any CHAIR WHALEN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

questions of the intervenor?

VICE CHAIR WATERHOUSE: This is -- I don't have a legal background. So I don't quite understand exactly what the role is going to be, and maybe I should be asking our attorney this question. You know, vis-a-vis our board, vis-a-vis Howard Hughes, what is HART's role going to be?

DEPUTY AG SUNAKODA: If the board should vote to approve HART's motion --

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry.

DEPUTY AG SUNAKODA: If the board should

vote to approve HART's motion to intervene, then HART would be entitled to participate in the contested case hearing concerning the Ko'ula development permit application and request for modification. And the extent of that participation would be, as any other party to the contested case proceeding, is they would be permitted to ask questions of any witnesses presented during the hearing. They are also entitled to present witnesses to the extent that they filed witness lists, exhibit lists.

VICE CHAIR WATERHOUSE: Thank you.

CHAIR WHALEN: Any other questions from members on the request for intervention?

So attorney for the applicant, state your position on HART's motion to intervene.

MR. ING: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I will confirm that Mr. O'Toole did contact me
yesterday, and he did confirm what was indicated to
us in the prehearing conference that was held with
the Authority staff that they would not be presenting
any witnesses and would not be offering any exhibits.

And on that basis, we have not objected to their
participation as a party.

CHAIR WHALEN: So, members, do you have any questions about the applicant's response?

Are there any public comments on HART's 1 2 motion to intervene? Seeing none, does the board need to meet 3 4 in executive session at this point, or can we just 5 proceed directly to the motion? 6 Okay. We have a motion before us for 7 intervention -- a request for intervention by HART to 8 intervene in the proceeding. The applicant has 9 stated no objection to their intervention. So I'll entertain a motion to allow the intervenor to 10 11 proceed. 12 MEMBER HASHA: I'll motion. 13 CHAIR WHALEN: Phillip Hasha. Second? MEMBER BASSETT: I'll second. 14 15 CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Beau Bassett seconds. 16 17 Any discussion? I think we can just do an "aye" vote on this. All those in favor, say 18 19 "ave." 20 (Members voted in the affirmative.) 21 CHAIR WHALEN: Any opposition? Do you 22 have any questions or -- let's see. 23 Okay. We're going down to the staff 24 report, which is Deepak Neupane will present the 25 staff's report. Deepak, where are you? Oh, there

you are.

MR. NEUPANE: Thank you, Chair. The staff report is in your hearing packet. I'll just -- I won't read through. I'll just summarize in the interest of time.

The first paragraph on the identity of the applicant and TMKs have already been in the -- been said. So I won't go through that.

In the completeness review, the application was deemed complete on the day of the publication of the notice. And by the Mauka Area Rules, there's a 160-day time frame by which the Authority will have to make a decision on the application.

The public hearing notice was published. You already mentioned that.

Master Plan. Basically, it provides the information that Condition No. 5, 10, 12 of the master plan decision and order that was issued by the Authority has been met by Howard Hughes. Then with the government agency consultation, we have received comments from Department of Planning and Permitting, Department of Transportation Services from the city and county, Department of Education and Board of

Water Supply.

The state historic property review has been completed, and the HCDA has received a written document from SHPD confirming that the applicant has complied with the requirements of HRS 6E-42 and 6E-43 and HAR 13-284.

On the project description, the applicant proposes a mixed-use project with 570 residential units and approximately 53,800 square feet of commercial space.

On the land use and zoning, it's MUZ-R, but by the decision and order for the master plan, the master plan area has been established as a single mixed-use zone. So the distinction between commercial and residential doesn't apply anymore as per the Mauka Area Rules.

The platform height, the applicant is requesting modification from 45 feet to 75 feet with an additional 15 percent of the podium to go 12 feet for accessory architectural elements and that kind of stuff. The density and height, that just describes what is allowable and the description. You have table 2 in the staff report that basically summarizes what is the total FAR allowable for the development of Block 1 and what is the floor area for the

project. So with the project, the floor area ratio right now is 3.9 for that lot. Front, side and rear yard setbacks: The

application meets all the requirements for that.

Open space: There is a summary of open space that has been provided as part of other development permit applications that have been approved by the Authority as well as what the applicant has indicated as open space for the current application.

Recreation space: The applicant is providing 55 square foot per unit, which amounts to 58,000 -- requiring a maximum of 31,350 square feet. The project is proposing 58,496 square feet of recreation space.

INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: That's minimum. Not maximum.

MR. NEUPANE: Sorry. Yeah, minimum of 31,350. That's required by the rule. And the project is proposing 58,496 square feet.

Regarding off-street loading, the project is -- proposes to provide a total of five off-street loading stalls with two large loading stalls and three smaller loading stalls that's allowed by the rule, which is a combination of residential and

commercial uses in the project.

For off-street parking required -- the total required by Mauka Area Rules is 782 stalls, and the applicant is proposing to provide 879 stalls.

View corridors: Because of the location of the project, the project doesn't impact any view corridors.

Building orientation: It meets the requirement of the Mauka Area Rules on building orientation.

Public facilities dedication: In Table 5, there's a summary of public facilities dedication that has been part of other applications — permit applications that was approved by the Authority and ones that are provided by the applicant and are in the process of being dedicated by the applicant, including the one that's being provided in the current application.

Reserved housing: With the -- this project, the total number of residential units that are being considered would be 2,942. So it's 20 percent of that total number, which would be 589 units. Out of that, currently, the applicant is either partially in the process of developing

Ke Kilohana with the 350 reserved housing units and

150 units in the A'ali'i project. So the only remaining obligation for reserved housing units is going to 64 units for this project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Relocation assistance: It requires the applicant to work with the tenant in the area for relocation and all that. The applicant, I believe, has submitted the requirement before demolishing the warehouse there for -- actually, for the park, the central plaza.

Modification provisions: I already mentioned modification provisions. That's requesting the podium be modified from 45 feet to 75 feet with 15 percent of the podium being allowed to go up an additional 12 feet for gazebos and architectural elements and things like that.

With that, I conclude my summary of the staff report, and if members have any questions?

The applicant will go through a lot more detail on the application.

CHAIR WHALEN: So will the applicant state the exhibits that you will submit?

MR. ING: So before we proceed with witnesses, I'd like to move our exhibits into evidence.

CHAIR WHALEN: Right.

MR. ING: In connection with that, we did provide an amended exhibit list where we added four Three of those resulted from some exhibits. dimensional errors in some of the other exhibits and some questions by the staff, and so we will be -- and those exhibits are -- that were added are T-1, T-2and T-3, and we also added a short slide presentation that would assist on the testimony of Paul Brewbaker with regard to economic impacts. And so with that, I would like to move the exhibits on the amended exhibit list as well as the testimonies associated with those, written testimonies, into evidence. CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Do members have any objections to the applicant's submittal of the amended exhibits -- amended list of exhibits? I take it that, just for clarification, these green -- in this green font are exhibits that had already been submitted. So these under -- in blue and the continuing on the reverse page represent the entire list of exhibits? MR. ING: Yes. I think the green-colored exhibit list includes the application and the application appendices. CHAIR WHALEN: Right. MR. ING: So those were filed previously

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	with copies to the commission.
2	CHAIR WHALEN: Right. And some are
3	testimonies and other supplemental information?
4	MR. ING: Yes. And below that, the list
5	that's in the blue font
6	CHAIR WHALEN: Uh-huh.
7	MR. ING: contains the filed written
8	direct testimonies that were filed a couple of weeks
9	ago as well as the exhibits that were also filed
10	What was the date?
11	MR. NEUPANE: It's in the binder.
12	MR. ING: May 25th. Sorry.
13	CHAIR WHALEN: Members, any objections to
14	the list of exhibits being submitted amended list
15	of exhibits?
16	Staff, do you have any objections to the
17	submitted list of exhibits?
18	INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: No.
19	CHAIR WHALEN: SO HART?
20	MR. O'TOOLE: HART has no objection.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIR WHALEN: So hearing no objection,
23	the applicant, Howard Hughes Corporation, Exhibits A
24	through
25	What is it now? U?

1	MR. ING: Yes.
2	CHAIR WHALEN: A through U are
3	admitted to the record.
4	(Applicant's Exhibits A through U
5	are admitted into evidence.)
6	Will you be establishing any of your
7	witnesses as experts in the subject area?
8	MR. ING: Yes, and I will identify those
9	specifically.
10	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay.
11	MR. ING: First, Thomas Witten, expert in
12	urban planning; Joseph Ferraro, expert in
13	architecture; David Akinaka, expert in architecture;
14	Glenn Kuwaye, expert in civil engineering and civil
15	infrastructure requirements; Pete Pascua, expert in
16	traffic engineering; Matt McDermott, expert in
17	archaeology; Paul Brewbaker, expert in economics,
18	housing supply and demand; and Chad Takesue, expert
19	in real estate marketing, supply and demand.
20	CHAIR WHALEN: All those in the lower
21	part of the first page in blue font.
22	Okay. Members do any members have any
23	objections to the list of expert witnesses offered by
24	the applicant?
25	Okay. Staff, do you have any objections

1 to the list of expert witnesses offered by the 2 applicant? INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: 3 We have no 4 objection. 5 CHAIR WHALEN: No objection. And does HART have any --6 7 MR. O'TOOLE: No objection from HART. 8 CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Thank you. 9 So hearing no objections, the list of 10 experts offered by the applicant is hereby accepted 11 and qualified in the subject areas. With a receipt 12 of all exhibits and list of experts being entered 13 into the record, let's proceed with the hearing. 14 So thank you. You may call your first 15 witness. Thank you. I need one other 16 MR. ING: 17 accommodation, Mr. Chairman. One of our witnesses 18 was not able to be present today, Sig Zane Kaiao. 19 His written testimony, however, was filed. I would 20 ask that that be accepted as submitted. He merely 21 testified about the derivation of the name Ko'ula and 22 how that relates to the cultural and historic 23 resources of the area beginning a century ago. 24 CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. So, members, do you 25 agree to accepting that as an entry into the record?

1	Okay. And staff and HART?
2	MR. O'TOOLE: Yes.
3	CHAIR WHALEN: All right. Thank you.
4	MR. ING: We call as our next witness,
5	Mr. Todd Apo.
6	CHAIR WHALEN: So welcome.
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you. Aloha.
8	CHAIR WHALEN: After you state your name,
9	could you raise your right hand and swear or affirm
10	to tell the truth?
11	THE WITNESS: Certainly. Todd Apo,
12	Howard Hughes Corporation. I swear to tell the
13	truth.
14	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Thank you.
15	
16	TODD APO,
17	having been called as a witness and being
18	first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth
19	and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified
20	as follows:
21	EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. ING:
23	Q Okay. Just for the record again, would
24	you state your name, place of employment and
25	position, please?

A Certainly. Again, aloha, board, staff.

Todd Apo, senior vice president of community

development for the Howard Hughes Corporation for the

Ward Village project.

Q Would you please describe the background for this project and how it fits into the Ward Village master plan?

A Okay. Certainly, and thank you. I'm going to take a little while to, I think, bring us up to speed a little bit and I think, hopefully, set the stage for this presentation of Ko'ula with our witnesses. Obviously, our expert witnesses will run through the technical aspects in regards to the application, but it's been about a year and a half since we've really been before you. So I realized I think the last time we were in front of you for an application, we were finishing up watching the final innings of the World Series, and I know at 3:00 o'clock, Game 4 starts in the playoffs. So there's always something exciting going on around us.

But, yeah, lots going on within our master plan within Ward Village since the time we were here, and I think it really sets the stage as to why we're bringing Ko'ula in front of you today.

And, again, I think if you remember when we were here

last time for A'ali'i, we did say Ko'ula, this location, which was previously called Block I, would be the next project that we came in for.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, never going to do as much -- as well as Sig Kaiao could do in presenting the name, but the name Ko'ula came from Sig Kaiao and Nalani, Sig's wife. They went on the project for us to determine the name and came up with this name, Ko'ula, which refers to the red sugarcane. large part of where this came from also was looking at the land. They went back, as they always do, to do research around this area, and the red highlighted area, you can see Ward Village sort of on the bottom part of that. But as you look up closer to where the old plantation was where the Ward family had their home, there was an area called Ko'ula, and they grabbed onto that as they were doing their research and presented that name to us.

They were able to also work with our architects, and I think as you start to see some of the images of the building, we were able to tie in the idea of the red sugarcane, the red sugarcane leaves and sort of the twist of those leaves within the architecture of the building itself. So as we've tried to do with all of our buildings so far is to

ensure that it's not just a building. Not only does the name mean something, but working with the architects to try to incorporate some of those elements into what is presented within the building.

So the other piece, I just wanted -again, big picture. Obviously, we started -- I think
we've now been taking a stronger look at where do we
fit in. Where does Ward Village, being the largest
master plan within the Kaka'ako-HCDA District, fit
with everything else? And so we started off looking
at the big picture, seeing what areas fall within
HCDA, and then specifically down to where we sit
within -- within our 60-acre master plan.

As we dive into that, I think a lot of you at this point are familiar, but just to walk us right through it, Ala Moana Boulevard towards the bottom right above the harbor, we're bounded by that boulevard, Queen Street to the mauka side, Queen Lane on the Diamond Head end, and then across Ward Avenue, Ewa direction, the property is what we call west of Ward right now.

I'm going to stop a little bit to talk about some of the things going on again just to bring you up to speed since the last time we were in front of you. Last November, we opened up Anaha, which is,

again, a great opening. Residents moving into there.

Merriman's, which is at the ground floor, will open

up this summer. They're probably less than a month

away from opening up. They're finishing a few

pieces. So right on that corner of Kamakee and

Auahi, Merriman's will open.

Whole Foods had their big opening last month. I think they continue to see great popularity bringing in that grocery store element to the neighborhood. If you've been through there, Whole Foods has really been designed to not just be that grocery store but also to be a gathering place.

So, again, how this community is coming together, if you walk through South Shore Market and see how people are just hanging out there and not just coming through to shop. You have a similar thing in the grocery store element of Whole Foods Market. And that's really what all of this community development really is about is not just the stores and the places. It's about how we're creating that community. It's something that we'll continue to focus on as we move forward.

Along with Whole Foods, because we know it's always an issue, the parking structure that opened up above it. So there are six floors of

parking there. We've instituted a new technology.

So as you drive in, you can see an actual count for the number of stalls that are available on each floor. When you pull up onto each floor, there's lights above every set of four stalls. So you can see if they're green or red and do a quick transition as to whether there's a parking space you can go get or need to move on to another floor. So that technology brings convenience and movement through the parking structure.

We are planning or hoping to break ground on A'ali'i by the end of this year. Sales have been going well. I think if you followed us, we were able to launch owner-occupant sales in January. We opened up general sales in March, and those sales continue to move along, and we are expecting to break ground by the end of this year.

Central Plaza, which we broke ground on last month, was an exciting time just to get through -- obviously, it was an issue that we all talked about last time we were here before you and the timing of that, assuring that we are committed to delivering that by the January deadline. But to be able to get the buildings taken down and have a groundbreaking, we really work to try to create an

experience during that groundbreaking so people could really feel and get a sense of what this open public space is going to be like. All grass in the area that's mauka of Auahi Street and really creating, again, this public gathering space.

So the next step that we're working on internally is how do we activate that space? We know that elements like our Kona Nui Nights and Courtyard Cinema and weekly yoga and the farmers' market, all those community activities will take place within there. But what else can we do? What are we going to do during the weekdays? What's going to be there during the week that really activates and makes that a true, great public space for all of us?

I think you might have read a week and a half ago about the fact that we're sort of revamping and relooking at our master plan. I'm not going to get into detail about that because it really doesn't impact Ko'ula right now, but just to make you, the board, aware that that is something we're doing. I think through the balance of 2018, we're going to continue to sort of relook at what makes sense given the reality that exists today both from a market standpoint and a community standpoint and, hopefully, either towards the end of this year or early next

year, be able to come back and start sharing with you some of those new ideas around the master plan. But we also have a commitment that as part of that process, as much as we're working on it internally, we'll continue to involve the public, take public input into how some of those elements will come together.

So, again, the reality of what Ward
Village has become, this activation is really
happening. I think back to having joined Howard
Hughes two and a half years ago, and I would consider
just in that two-year time frame, it's really gone
from what was Ward Village into what Ward Village is
becoming. And we're seeing the activations, these
new activations, South Shore Market.

New Wave Friday is a picture on the bottom left which is where we just have entertainment and food tents for the community to be able to come down for another free event on Friday nights.

Whole Foods Market, again, we've talked about that it's been a huge impact into the community. And then, again, our Central Plaza groundbreaking. So the top two pictures, the before and after of the warehouses and where what we've cleared, but that picture up on the top right also

gives you a sense of where Ko'ula will be. So that entire open space, sort of the left half, the Ewa side, will be the Central Plaza. The right portion will be Ko'ula, which will be lined between the Central Plaza and the entertainment center.

We talked about the -- again, the activities that will go into the Central Plaza to activate that, and that's where Ko'ula -- start diving deeper into this Ko'ula concept of why Ko'ula is so important. It's almost less about the tower that's up above it and the homes. It's really about the activation at the ground level.

I know we'll get into later the podium height, but it's an important part of that element. Being able to create a ground floor experience and not just have parking around the face of the building is really what's going to make the Central Plaza successful.

The Central Plaza, again, until Ko'ula is built, it's really just going to be a green field out there that we're figuring out how to activate. But how Ko'ula gets developed and what happens on the ground floor of Ko'ula is really what's going to make the public — the Central Plaza what it needs to be for the community. And so we're very focused on

what's happening really at the ground level and the first two levels of Ko'ula.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, again, the location right next to the Central Plaza, and you start to see, again, these ideas of how Ko'ula will integrate with the Central Plaza. So, obviously, on the left looking from Auahi Street, how Ko'ula will be able to -- it's retail. It's dining. People will be able to grab a plate lunch, grab a meal, step out into the plaza and enjoy Kona Nui Nights or Courtyard Cinema. It's actually one of the downsides of having those elements in our IBM courtyard right now is because there's really no F & B experience for people who want to come to those They're able to come and we may be able to events. have a food truck out in front, but there's no connection to that. So we're really excited about the fact that as these elements are able to move into the Central Plaza and Ko'ula comes up, that true integration of activity and community activation will exist.

On the top right is actually the public pedestrian/vehicle entrance into the Central Plaza. So this is part of Ko'ula, and you'll see in the plans a little bit later, but it's really a porte cochere drop-off for the public. So this goes back

to some of the discussions we had with A'ali'i. sort of what's the future. As we look at the fact that as we move down the road, public access is going to be more about ride sharing and perhaps ultimately driverless cars as opposed to people finding parking. We've taken a portion of Ko'ula at the ground floor and created a public porte cochere purely for drop-offs. And, ultimately, you could potentially do valet parking there, but people are getting dropped off either by Lyft or Uber or, again, down the road perhaps their own car from a driverless car standpoint will have an amazing entrance into Ko'ula and ultimately straight out into the Central Plaza. So as opposed to trying to integrate public parking, which we already have within the Whole Foods structure, we're creating the drop-off element into Ko'ula.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ING: Let me just note for the record that the slides that Mr. Apo is referring to are contained in Exhibit O of the exhibits that we had submitted previously. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

So, again, it gives you a look at the building. This is one place where, again, it reminds you to think about that Ko'ula name and the red

sugarcane and how the architects have tried to weave into the structural side and architectural side of the building that idea of sort of the wave of the sugarcane. But the building itself, the tower will sit on the Ewa-makai corner, recreation deck on top of the podium with the pool and recreation areas. On the mauka side along what will be an extension of Halekauwila will be podium homes. So as you've seen us do with Anaha and as we talked about for A'ali'i, the podium height also allows us to put homes along that podium side of the Halekauwila extension.

And, again, hard to see in this, but it is in the exhibit. Along the right side, that lane that runs between Ko'ula and the entertainment center will provide not only loading zone and that efficiency for the building itself and then separate entrances for public porte cochere, and then the residential entrance will be separate as well.

Another element that I don't know if it shows up in any of our slides, but just to explain, is that the residential lobby will be on the second level. So that entire experience which, if you go through Ward Village where a lot of the other condominiums stay, the entrance is at the ground level. And as we looked at, again, this importance

of connecting to the Central Plaza and Auahi Street, we wanted to move that residential lobby up so that the first floor can be completely for the public experience.

On the upper sort of left portion of that, it says "Open Area Courtyard," that's where that porte cochere that when you enter on the Diamond Head side, the pedestrians would walk out into that open area courtyard of Ko'ula as they transition into the Central Plaza.

Quickly on the facts, again, a lot of this will be covered later, but 570 homes. The first few floors will be commercial retail. 41 floors, 400-foot height requirement. Parking will be provided for the residents. The commercial stalls are being handled by the Whole Foods district parking garage as part of the master plan to create those district parking lots.

Connectivity to Central Plaza, pedestrian bicycle infrastructure.

Reserved homes I just want to touch on briefly here. I know it was discussed in the staff report, but, again, when you talk about the big numbers of the overall master plan, Ko'ula itself with 570 homes will require 114 reserved homes;

right? 20 percent. 50 of those, we are counting on using our credits from Ke Kilohana. So, again, a reminder that we overbuilt Ke Kilohana for the requirements and have 50 credits in Ke Kilohana, and then the remaining 64 will either be within Ko'ula, or something that was discussed in one of your early hearings today, if there's other creative ways that we can find to create reserved housing at a better scale within the master plan, we could look to do that and use those credits to establish it.

One of the reasons I bring up the credits, one, be upfront with it. Second, as we went through A'ali'i, we ended up in a situation where we weren't allowed to use those credits. And I really want to stress the need from our side, from the developer's side, to be able to use those credits. We will be delivering those Ke Kilohana units next summer when Ke Kilohana is expected to open. So they will be delivered ahead of the building of Ko'ula.

Our ability and perhaps willingness to do projects that provide reserved homes ahead of the actual building is obviously dependent on our ability to use those credits. So we're now at the point where we do need to use those credits; otherwise, looking at these other types of opportunities for

reserved housing inventory makes it difficult for us to front those ideas if we can't rely on the fact that we're able to use the credits down the road.

So the last thing I want to walk through, and I know this is going to be the focus of next week's hearing on the modification. So I'll just go through this very quickly and briefly, and we can go in more depth next week if needed. But, again, why are we asking for this modification? It's to provide the parking for the number of homes that will exist within the building. And, again, if you go into the master plan, we are restricted on the total amount of FAR and what we're able to build within Land Block 1. So we need to be able to fit all of that within our development.

So this is a picture of if we stay with the 45-foot podium to obtain the parking requirements that are needed for the homes itself, the entire footprint of that first -- of that podium would have to be parking. By being able to go up to 75 feet, we're able to push the parking to the interior, wrap the outside with commercial on the mauka side. It would be homes as well. And, again, from a community benefit standpoint, a much better community experience to have this type of frontage as opposed

to just the parking structure that would exist there.

So, again, we can go through these next week, but just examples of being able to make that building look better, feel better, be able to come all the way down to the ground and not just sit on top of a parking structure. And, again, because we sit right next to the Central Plaza, to really create that public space the way it is desired, those are the types of differences that everyone will be able to enjoy as we go through that with the podium modification.

Lastly, I want to touch on -- just to fill in what we're doing. If taking the time, as we've started into Ko'ula, to really work on, again, the public interactions, the public input into what we've been doing. In today's world, we're able to gain that, I think, from a broader group because it's not only getting out and talking to stakeholder groups and business leaders and government leaders, but we now have owners within Ward Village. We have brokers who are selling. So we're understanding the market much better, and we're able to take that input and put it into the planning and development of Ko'ula.

The other one that I think, to me, worked

out really well is with Sharon Moriwaki and her group around Kaka'ako. We've actually been sitting down with them. I think we've had four two-hour meetings with them and just talked through, "Here's our updates to the master plan." One meeting, we walked through Ko'ula's plans. Our last meeting, we walked through sort of this refresh of the master plan and being able to take their ideas because they provided some great input and testimony at our A'ali'i event hearings. And making sure that we took not only feedback from the board, from the public who came to testify and also incorporate that into what we're doing in Ko'ula and the Central Plaza, I think, has helped us come up with a better product to present to you today. So thank you for giving me the time to indulge through that.

BY MR. ING:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q You're not done.
- A Okay. I'll keep the rest short.
- Q So are you familiar with the comment letters that the Authority, as well as parties, have received from the City and County Department of Transportation Services, in particular the one dated May 16, 2018, and May 18, 2018, regarding the project?

A So it's the comment letters both from the Department of Transportation Services as well as Department of Planning and Permitting?

Q So do you have any comments with regards to these letters and the content of the letters?

A I think some of our expert witnesses will be able to touch into the deeper details of some of those points. I think what I would share in an overview is I think as we looked at the letters, they come in a different form than has come from those departments in the past. I think part of that is because of what the city's doing from a transit-oriented development standpoint and some of the changes they've gone through and looking at their LUO.

I do note that some of them are not relevant to -- especially in the DTS letter -- are relevant to your project. So I think it was part of working off of a form for an area that was outside of HCDA that, again, the city controls more. Again, some of our expert witnesses will be able to touch on some of those.

But, you know, the main pieces that they're asking for, whether from a transportation standpoint or a zoning standpoint, are all consistent

with what we're doing. Being a walkable, bikable, pedestrian-friendly environment is what we are looking to do, and I hope you and the public would agree to something that is being accomplished as Ward Village comes to life and continues to get developed.

So, you know, again, as we will always do and I think it's always a condition in our projects that we will continue to work with these agencies to ensure that their requirements and their desires are met and addressed as we work with them through that process. And I think HART is another example of that case that we've continued to work on as we work through the project.

I want to take, I guess, my chance to share that we're taking care of those. So the fact that they come in a different form and the fact that there may be some different language in the requests, again, our expert testifiers will be able to hopefully provide the comfort that they're in line with what we're doing and we'll continue to make sure we take care of those things from the agencies.

MR. ING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Apo is available for questioning.

CHAIR WHALEN: Thank you.

Members, anybody want to start? I have

some questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EXAMINATION

BY CHAIR WHALEN:

In the exhibit that -- not sure which one it is, but it's the figure 5.1, I believe, which is comparing what's allowed under 2005 vested rules to what's being proposed. There are a lot of projects that were approved under the 205 -- 2005 rules that do include retail commercial. They're not just straight parking garages, and that's because the Authority required retail commercial at ground level. And in some cases, there was a strong opposition to that from the applicant, for example, a public storage building. They did not want to include retail frontage along Kapiolani Boulevard. But there are several other examples, like Imperial Plaza, that has those features, and I think -- I forget the name of it. The Kapiolani building. The one on Kapiolani and Ward Avenue.

So that's not necessarily the case, is it, that the 2005 rules are so literal, especially since there was a master plan approved of Ward Village's that showed exactly the opposite, and there were lots of representations about how the master plan would enhance this area by providing ground

floor commercial along street frontages, that Auahi Street would be a major pedestrian way, and the Central Plaza would be activated by uses on either side of the Central Plaza. So I'm not sure really that that's a valid comparison in terms of, well, this is what's allowed because is it really allowed when the master plan has already indicated that all these retail uses would be along street frontages; that there would be pedestrian streets; that there would be a Central Plaza with active spaces? I just have to pose that question.

MR. ING: If I may answer that legal question, modifications are indeed allowed if you meet the criteria for a modification and the rules are fully supportive of that. And I can point to and provide you with the rule section on modifications.

If there was no section that allowed modifications, then I would say, "Well, perhaps you're right." But in this case, the rules do provide for modifications, but you need to meet certain criteria.

CHAIR WHALEN: Right. But the master plan that was issued had all these representations of what this development would be like, and it included the retail uses at the ground level. Auahi Street is a major pedestrian street. That the Central Plaza

would be an active space with active uses fronting the Central Plaza. So it didn't really strictly follow the 2005 rules. You know, it was an exception, essentially. The board -- as I see it, the Authority granted an exception in exchange for vesting rights for a 15-year period. So I -- I mean, to me, it doesn't seem like this is what the applicant really wants to do anyway. It doesn't want to do a development, like, represented as conforming to the 2005 rules. I mean, it would defeat the purpose, I think, of what Victoria Ward's vision is for this area.

So I think this is something that we really should dispense with. I don't think it's a false comparison between the 2005 paradigm under the 2005 rules with what's in place now under the vested master plan. So I'm not sure which the basis is for making that argument.

MR. ING: So the master plan that had been approved by the Authority, the Ward Village master plan, certainly this development is in -- consistent with that plan and the provisions in the master plan development approval --

CHAIR WHALEN: Right.

MR. ING: -- authorized by the board.

The mauka area plan that you refer to in 2005 was very, very conceptual in nature and not detailed at all. So I'd say it would be difficult to find in that mauka area plan the variety of structures that have come up since that time under that plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's CHAIR WHALEN: Yes. I agree. lots of modifications. When I'm referring to a master plan, I'm talking about the master plan for Ward Villages, and that was a special approval that was given by the Authority at that time based on all sorts of representations about what this area would look like in terms of active uses at the ground floor level. It certainly didn't characterize this area as towers on podiums without any kind of active use at the ground floor. So that's the reason why I think it's kind of a distraction to show that exhibit, a sort of model of what this area could look like under the 2005 rules.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Off the legal side because I don't know enough about the legal side to completely opine, and just a reminder that the great thing about Doug Ing, our attorney, is he was involved in the original approval of this master plan. So that historical knowledge is there even

though it wasn't under Howard Hughes at the time.

I think I hear and understand the point a bit, and, again, I don't know if I know the depth, but I think what we're really focused on is, number 1, we are going to do everything within the rules. I want to make sure we promise that. To the extent we need a modification, we're going to come in for the modification.

I think one of the great things -- and I'm sorry for not introducing our team at the start of this. Simon Treacy, our new president, is here standing in the back. Jim Miller is leading the Ko'ula project for us. You know Race. You'll see him in a bit. Andrea Galvin is here who heads up director or media relations.

But what we're doing is something that
Simon's really driving us on right now as a team is
how to do Ward Village as best as can be done. Not
just for Ward Village, but for all of Kaka'ako. It's
starting to look even outside of our boundaries.

It's one of the reasons we sort of take that larger
look of what that area is, how do we fit into it?

How can we do this best?

And so as Doug said, yes, there's going to probably be some modifications and some changes

and maybe things a little bit different. That said, we're always going to make sure that they fit within the rules and the master plan requirements that exist and the things we need to come ask permission for, we're in front of you asking permission for.

I understand the reason we show those comparisons is not about here's what could have happened in '05 rules versus what we're looking at doing. It's to show that there's a reason why we're asking for that modification. Even though it may have been allowed in order to develop a building with the homes and the parking needed and everything that — the infrastructure that's needed for that building, those are the two choices you have from a development standpoint. That's at least more my intent of what to show as opposed to how we're changing off the 2005 rules and the master plan approval.

BY CHAIR WHALEN:

Q Okay. I'm just trying to get a more focused picture about really what this project is intending to do and what's intended by the Ward Village master plan which is --

- A Certainly. And that's why --
- Q -- from that 2005 image.

	A And, again, we really want to make sure
	we're looking at today and figuring out what goes
	forward. Because the number of years ago that that
	master plan was there, you know, there's a number of
	things that we may not have considered. The market
	is very different from what we're seeing happening
	now. This idea of how do you build long term for
	driverless cars is a question we're facing today that
	wasn't even thought of back then. So we're going to
	continue to push through how things need to change
	and how we need to look at things differently and not
	rely on, "Well, that was how it was planned on being.
	Therefore, we have to do it." Let's figure out
	what's best for today.
	Q Right. And the nature of retail is bound
	to shift also and the demand for retail space.
	Any other questions? Thank you.
	EXAMINATION
	BY MEMBER FANG:
	Q Oh, I have I'm not sure if it's
	well, just a general question.
	Is the wind study completed yet? I saw a
	letter for it here. I haven't seen a finished one
	yet.
I	A Not my department. Sorry for that

1	answer. But our attorneys will have. So when the
2	right person comes up, I'm sure they will be able to
3	address that.
4	CHAIR WHALEN: Does the intervenor have
5	any questions of the witness?
6	MR. O'TOOLE: I never thought I would
7	have the opportunity to cross-examine my good friend
8	Todd Apo, but we'll politely decline and thank him
9	for his fine presentation.
10	THE WITNESS: My former law firm.
11	CHAIR WHALEN: Oh, I see.
12	Okay. Any other questions? I think
13	staff has an opportunity to question too; right? Any
14	question the staff has?
15	MR. NEUPANE: I don't have any questions,
16	Chair.
17	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Thank you very
18	much.
19	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much, board.
20	CHAIR WHALEN: Next witness?
21	MR. ING: We call as our next witness,
22	Paul Brewbaker.
23	CHAIR WHALEN: So welcome. State your
24	name and raise your right hand and swear or affirm to
25	tell the truth.

THE WITNESS: My name is Paul Brewbaker.

I'm the principal of TZ Economics, and I promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

CHAIR WHALEN: Okay.

PAUL BREWBAKER, Ph.D.,

having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. ING:

Q I believe you've put up on the TV screen Exhibit U, your slides. So he'll be referring to that exhibit during response to questions.

So, Mr. Brewbaker or Dr. Brewbaker, how is it that you became involved in this project?

A Originally, I was retained by the Howard Hughes Corporation to undertake an analysis of the full scope of the Ward Village redevelopment in Kaka'ako. Subsequently, I have been intermittently engaged to extend that economic analysis, and in the current engagement, I have updated my estimates of Ward Village Block I's economic impacts.

Q Please describe your analysis and the conclusions you've reached with respect to Block I.

A The Block I project generates total development impacts on Hawaii GDP of 950 million as seen in the middle here of highlighted data on the left and illustrated graphically on the right, 302 million in earnings, 57 million in state tax revenues.

Permanent incremental retail trade

impacts are annual values of 17.6 million in GDP,

5.2 million in annual earnings, 1 million in state

taxes, and these are incremental net of the retail

spaces largely replacing retail space that has been

taken out of action. These are all in present values

along with 122 permanent jobs.

Permanent maintenance and operations impacts and present values of future streams include 191 million in GDP, 64 million in earnings, 12 million in state taxes and 35 permanent jobs.

Long-term real present values of City and County of Honolulu property tax revenues are 34 million, discounted at 6 percent for 30 years or 44 million discounted over 60 years, ignoring "Residential A" property tax surcharges.

Oahu housing valuations in the 2018s are

rising like an escalator, not a roller coaster.

There is no asset pricing bubble in housing in the current economic expansion, and affordability has remained both stable and relatively good in Honolulu.

Block I development delivers needed urban core housing at relative prices consistent with its preferred location with a unit count nearly triple its originally planned configuration. Block I and the Ward Village initiative are significant contributors — contributors to extending economic expansion at a time when tourism has not contributed to economic growth on Oahu since 2012 and, when construction is at risk of receding, helping fulfill state housing needs.

The key to sustaining the current economic expansion on Oahu with U.S. expansion marking its ninth anniversary is to maintain recent rates of home building and investment generally. Capital formation is especially important because tourism on Oahu reached its lodging capacity constraint during the 20-teens.

Durability of the current expansion requires fulfillment of expectations of continued investment. Ward Village redevelopment was conceived through the business cycle into the next decade, and

as the economy moves into the latter phase of its expansion, risks will dampen expectations for future construction activity. The Block I project represents a countercurrent pushing upstream against incipient, cyclical investment decline.

That's actually the end of my slides. So you guys left out the good one.

Q How will developing condos in the urban core impact home prices and affordability?

A The Block I is the first step towards activation of an area of publicly accessible open space in the core of Ward Village which, in conjunction with relocated commercial activities in the city's proposed station location and existing other transportation options, constitutes its heart. It creates a new community and a popular urban destination.

Existing home price data for 2017 imply that about half of Block I project units will have intended price points in the middle and upper three of Oahu's five existing home price quintiles.

Households balance the negative external costs of congestion against the positive external benefits of urban agglomeration in making their housing location choices. Higher land values in the urban core partly

reflect capitalized avoidance of the opportunity costs of longer commuting times. Block I has been scaled to satisfy a wide range of heterogenous investor preferences under varying financial circumstances.

Between mid-2011 and early 2018, home price trajectories on Oahu exhibit extremely narrow bandwidth. The pace of appreciation has been steady, not cyclical, not valatile -- not volatile. Adjusted for inflation, rates of nominal existing home price appreciation on Oahu during the 20-teens are consistent with longer term rates of real appreciation of 2.2 percent over the period 1978 through 2017.

Block I -- Block I notional price points maintain Ward Village's relative position in this dynamic context of price appreciation during the decade to date within the middle and upper three quintiles of the distribution of Oahu home prices.

MR. ING: Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{Mr.}$$ Brewbaker is available for questioning.

EXAMINATION

BY CHAIR WHALEN:

Q I hope this question's not off-topic a

little bit because, you know, I don't know whether you really do a lot of work in retail economics per se, or macro economics and housing. But one of the trends that seems to be certainly beyond Hawaii is what the changing nature of retail depends on because the demand for retail stores is being challenged by online marketing and the rest. And there's undeniably been a lot of activity in the Ward Villages. It's a much more vital place than it was just a couple of years ago even with the new developments, but what's the long-term prognosis for that type of retail demand spaces in Kaka'ako or elsewhere, particularly Kaka'ako?

A First of all, my impression is that -- or at least when I'm using the term "retail" in this context, it's really the retail slash commercial, I think --

Q Right.

A -- people have talked about. So a related pattern would be, for example, in large format, you know, shopping malls where, again, this idea of agglomeration where you bring people together and sum of the whole -- well, anyway, you have positive agglomeration externalities. You see a response to the trend to which you are alluding in

the provision of more activities, dining and entertainment, for example, as opposed to, you know, just purchases and sales of merchandise, and, indeed, even in evolution towards brick-and-mortar retail establishments as delivery conduits for sales that may have occurred online or for returns that can be submitted. So the nature of retail itself is changing because of online retail, which unquestionably has made inroads. And then this broadening — this heterogeneity term I used to describe the nature of the housing units intended to be in the Block I tower is also apt for the commercial spaces that I imagine we'll see evolving simply because the nature of the economic landscape has changed in the way that you've described.

I would add that if you look at the tail end of this cycle in private building permit issuance, values adjusted for construction cost inflation on Oahu, that arc had a heavy component at the beginning of this investment cycle after the 2008-2009 recession involving additions and alterations as opposed to permits for new buildings. And in fact, if I could plug this in, I might be able to show that.

For the data that I have, which go back

to about 1975, there has never been a time when additions and alterations comprised as much of total of the private building permit total as they did in the early phase of this recent investment cycle. Up to half of all the construction. So that would be, you know, Ala Moana Center built another Ala Moana Center, and International Marketplace has revamped. And it does raise a question in my mind, and when I spend time in commercial real estate circles, people are trying to sort this out of, you know, whether millions of additional commercial retail was actually necessary. I mean, the pattern is that it's not strictly speaking retail, but I believe that's the nature of the evolution that's unfolding before us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would add quickly that, you know, I mean, I used to go to Spaghetti Factory whatever for 40 years, and it's a very different vibe down there if you go to the, you know, Diamond Head end of Auahi Street now, which I walked through the other day to have a drink at Whole Paychecks. Like, I didn't even go -- I mean, I went to the bar at Whole Paychecks. What's wrong with that picture?

So, you know, to your point, nothing is really what we thought it used to be, I would say. MEMBER HASHA: John, I know for myself,

I've been studying the retail landscape for a long time. I think a large part of what you're saying is true, but it's different than what I see Howard Hughes is planning which is more experiential retail, right, which is what's really kind of happening and taking off. A lot of the retail that's kind of going away is that kind of inline box, big-box retail that you're seeing in strip centers and shopping center malls. So that's really where you're seeing the kind of differentials. So it's different than what you're seeing from a Howard Hughes prospective, especially with Gateway Park and things of that nature.

THE WITNESS: That's another example I've been struck -- at the old Liberty House in Kailua, like, the original Liberty House, if I understand correctly just from looking at what's going on there, there's going to be a cafe in one corner where Macy's or Liberty House used to be, and I heard lately, upstairs is going to be like a mixed martial arts gym. What kind of retail space is that? But then Down to Earth, the, you know, natural food store is going to be right behind the bus stop. So it's a changing mix.

MEMBER HASHA: It is.

CHAIR WHALEN: Any other questions?

1	Thank you. Oh, I'm sorry.
2	VICE CHAIR WATERHOUSE: Well, actually, I
3	have a question for Todd, but I can wait.
4	CHAIR WHALEN: For Todd?
5	VICE CHAIR WATERHOUSE: But you guys have
6	other presenters, yeah?
7	MEMBER HASHA: Might as well ask the
8	question now.
9	CHAIR WHALEN: Yeah.
10	VICE CHAIR WATERHOUSE: Since you're
11	standing up.
12	CHAIR WHALEN: I know from experience, we
13	have to get these things on the record.
14	Do you have any questions of this
15	speaker?
16	MS. ORMAN: Sorry. Mr. O'Toole had to
17	leave. No, no questions from HART.
18	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Thank you.
19	
20	TODD APO,
21	having been recalled as a witness and
22	being previously duly sworn to tell the truth, the
23	whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined
24	and testified further as follows:
25	EXAMINATION

BY VICE CHAIR WATERHOUSE:

Q Can HHC provide us a map of the Central Plaza and the timing of the phases of the Central Plaza and also a table that shows -- and you can do it in a table. It might be easier to do it in a table -- that shows the amount that is going to be provided in the different areas? And, also, if it's open space or if it's public facility.

A Okay. So yes. We'll work on getting it hopefully -- it's something that Race and I were just talking about after I sat down. We've got some diagrams that will lay out exactly where the Central Plaza is. I think, as we've mentioned publicly, the area on Land Block I that we broke ground on is a little over 60,000 -- approximately 60,000 square acres. It will be combined with the area that's makai of Auahi Street between Auahi and Ala Moana Boulevard.

What we probably won't have in complete detail right now is the timing. So that is something that, again, I go back to is we're relooking at how do you -- how do we best replan not just the Central Plaza area, but that whole makai strip where Ward Warehouse used to be? That's something that, probably from a timing standpoint, we'll have for you

later this year, but we'll definitely recognize where 1 2 things are, sizes right now, and what will be open, 3 public area, I think, is what you're looking for. We'll have that. 4 5 And I'm still going back to the master 6 plan of 150,000 square feet. 7 And that's right. The private streets, 8 public pedestrian walkways --9 No. 0 10 And, again, I'll let Race get into the 11 details of what's all included and not included, park 12 space, plaza space. 13 Open space, yeah. 14 Mass transit. Α 15 Public plaza. Q 16 Α Mass transit. 17 Sidewalks, no. Q 18 It's all definitions within the master Α 19 plan. 20 No, no. I'm talking about just the Q 21 Central Plaza. 22 Α Okay. 23 The Central Plaza, if you look at the nunc pro, it says "open space public facilities." 24 25 it focuses on sidewalks, that kind of stuff. But as

1	far as streets, no, that's not included. My
2	understanding is that's not to be counted.
3	A And, again, if you're willing to save
4	that for Race's testimony, he will address that. You
5	can follow up with the questions because he's
6	definitely the expert on what's required and what
7	those definitions are within the master plan.
8	CHAIR WHALEN: And just for
9	clarification, every witness has been sworn in before
10	this continues.
11	THE WITNESS: Understood.
12	CHAIR WHALEN: So your testimony was
13	under oath still.
14	THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you.
15	VICE CHAIR WATERHOUSE: Thank you, Todd.
16	MR. ING: We call as our next witness,
17	Mr. Chad Takesue.
18	CHAIR WHALEN: I'll ask you to do the
19	same routine. State your name and who you're
20	representing, and raise your right hand and swear or
21	affirm to tell the truth.
22	THE WITNESS: My name is Chad Takesue
23	with Locations. I swear to tell the truth.
24	CHAIR WHALEN: Yep.
25	///

1 CHAD TAKESUE, 2 having been called as a witness and being 3 first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified 4 5 as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. ING: 8 Would you state your name, place of 9 employment and position, please? 10 Chad Takesue, senior vice president of sales for Locations. 11 12 Can you describe your firm's experience? 13 We've been in business for nearly 50 14 years. Largest locally owned firm, a real estate company in Hawaii. Full service in terms of 15 16 providing clients with real estate brokerage, 17 property management and research. We also have 18 extensive knowledge of the supply and demand of 19 residential units in the Honolulu urban core. 20 Did you prepare a market analysis for 21 Howard Hughes? 22 Yes. Correct. 23 Okay. Could you please describe the analysis and your conclusions? 24

So, first of all, in the resale market --

25

and we've seen condominium sales in the Honolulu urban core remain steady across all price segments. One of the industries' measures of market strength is the months of remaining inventory, and that remains steady at under six months. So from an industry standard, anything below six months we consider a seller's market. Anything above, more of a buyer's market, and we've remained steady there under that six-month benchmark.

Our days on market specifically in the Ala Moana and Kaka'ako area have also continuously been decreasing and our sold-to-list price ratio is also increasing as are our bid-ups. And bid-ups are just -- we track bid-ups in the sense of how many units are going into contract over asking price. So high bid-ups indicates high demand.

All of these signs, you know, definitely point to a strong condo market, supporting the need for additional inventory. And with new developments planned in the urban core, we estimate that approximately over 3,000 units have come on to the market, but sales of new construction units have not impaired our sales in the resale market.

Even with the new construction sales, the absorption and demand trends, such as months of

remaining inventory, have indicated that consumer demand is currently greater than the supply of inventory available and, more specifically, in more moderately priced units.

Demand for new units in the Kaka'ako area remain strong, running at a ratio of approximately 3 to 1, meaning there are approximately three buyers for every unit coming on to the market, and that's what our, you know, data is showing.

There are a significant number of eligible buyers to the market and with insufficient inventory to meet the demand, you know. So, therefore, although there have been concerns expressed about an oversaturation of condominium inventory in Oahu area and specifically in this area, you know, the data is pointing to a strong continued demand for condominium units in Kaka'ako, and we continue to anticipate strong demand in Ward Village.

Q Thank you. Has the commencement of construction and completion of additional residential units, retail options and other amenities in Kaka'ako and the Ward Village had an effect on the demand for new units in this area?

A Yes, definitely. And, you know, I do believe this is another element for a buyer in this

market as far as an attraction to the area. From at least in my lifetime, we're seeing this execution of a master plan in the urban core. We've seen that in the suburban core and how, you know, it provides another layer of demand for the product, and I do believe Howard Hughes is successfully executing on this Ward master plan to create a vibrant community. Besides new residential development, you know, very vibrant and important commercial and retail tendency is taking shape. And you've heard the testimony earlier of the new tenants in the area, and the construction of the Central Plaza is underway. of this furthers the vision of a highly livable and desired community to live in, and potential buyers are now seeing this significant and tangible aspect of Ward Village taking shape and can now better envision owning a home close to work, shopping, recreational amenities. So that lifestyle component is becoming a larger piece as far as a benefit to these new homeowners.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All of this has contributed to the increased demand that we've seen for residential units in Kaka'ako and the Honolulu urban core.

MR. ING: Thank you.

Mr. Takesue is available for questioning.

CHAIR WHALEN: Members, questions of the
witness? Staff?
MR. NEUPANE: No questions.
CHAIR WHALEN: HART?
Okay. Thank you.
MR. ING: We call as our next witness,
Tom Witten.
THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
CHAIR WHALEN: Do the routine. State
your name. Raise your right hand.
THE WITNESS: Tom Witten. I swear to
tell the truth.
CHAIR WHALEN: All right. Thank you.
THOMAS WITTEN,
having been called as a witness and being
first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth
and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified
as follows:
EXAMINATION
BY MR. ING:
Q Would you state your name again, place of
employment and your position?
A Certainly. Tom Thomas Witten with PBR
Hawaii. I'm currently chairman at PBR Hawaii, a

landscape, architectural and land planning, urban design firm.

Q Would you briefly describe your firm's experience with community planning and land planning?

A Yes. PBR Hawaii is approaching our 50th anniversary. We've been practicing our profession in Hawaii since 1970. So approaching 2020 will be our 50th anniversary. I've been with PBR Hawaii coming up on almost 40 years, and I've been practicing landscape architecture, land planning, community planning, urban design throughout the state, primarily throughout the state, and we do some overseas work, but our practice has been focused on serving our both public and private clients throughout Hawaii.

Q Was PBR retained for this project?

A Yes. We were retained to prepare the development application -- the project development application to HCDA, which is -- I think you all have the application as an exhibit.

Q Would you describe the attributes of this project?

A Certainly. I think some of the basic fundamentals of what's proposed have been covered.

So I won't try to dwell on that, but I did put a few

slides together to try to give the character of sort of the urban planning elements that provided the framework for Ko'ula in that effort.

Q Okay. This would be in Exhibit P as in Papa?

A Correct. So this is just -- this first image is a perspective from Auahi Street looking mauka at the edge of the Central Plaza and the edge of Ko'ula with the retail activation on the ground floor.

To give some context, the red outline is Block I of the Ko'ula project area. Just mauka of that, which we were here last year or a little over a year ago, is A'ali'i, the project that was previously approved by the board. The --

I don't know if this has a pointer. It doesn't work.

But, basically, the project, as was framed, is along Auahi Street bounded on one side and the mauka private drive, which is really sort of the ultimate sort of extension of Halekauwila Street, kind of defines the mauka boundary of which just mauka of that is A'ali'i. The Ward Entertainment Center is just on the Waikiki side, Diamond Head side, and the Central Plaza, as noted, is on the Ewa

side.

The previous slide is sort of the existing condition as you see. The vision of the Victoria Ward, Limited, Ward master plan is illustrated here with the ultimate vision for build-out, including the west-of-Ward land area that is noted there. As was discussed generally, you know, this represents sort of the 16-tower scheme versus, I think, what was originally envisioned was a 22-tower scheme. So it has evolved, but within the framework of what was approved as the original Ward neighborhood village plan.

Mauka-makai view corridors have been a key element to planning and respecting those as was set out in the mauka rules and the Ward Village master plan. So these are the primary corridors starting on the Waikiki side. Diamond Head side is Kamakee, then the Central Plaza corridor, and then Ward Avenue corridor down towards Kewalos.

Zooming in now on the project site, this slide highlights some of the constraints, some of the burial preserve areas that were discovered during the course of development of Ward Village in the highlighted green areas. Some of the connectivity that's been planned with the extension of the private

drive, which functions as a Halekauwila Street extension, and then the other mauka-makai private drive between Ward Entertainment Center and Anaha and A'ali'i.

There's also -- some of those other colors are infrastructure corridors that are existing in the purple and the blue.

Getting down to the site plan, which we were -- which someone noted already, the Central Plaza provides a significant edge to Ko'ula. The building site, this is a site plan that highlights the recreation deck on the top of the podium, and the tower is on the left, and Auahi Street is on the bottom.

Again, the site plan at the ground level, the open space, the porte cochere that was discussed as a main feature kind of penetrates the building and provides an open air access and extension into the Central Plaza. And with the transportation modes that are envisioned, we see that as a real asset that has been considered and integrated.

As you might have noted in the application, the on-site parking is really for the residential units, and there's a regional parking structure part of Anaha where Whole Foods is that

would serve -- serve the requirements for the retail uses here. And, plus, just gaining the overall residential experience and density that's being achieved at Ward Village is becoming a very energized and active zone for the ground floor retail.

This kind of shows the land use -- land uses on the ground floor with the yellowish area being the commercial zones, and the blue is at the ground level, but it's sort of the -- as Todd was explaining, the main lobby for the residents is on the second floor. So this is more the back-of-the-house offices and support facilities, the blue area, which is categorized as residential, but not living units.

think, Ward Village has focused a lot of attention on is really seeing -- and part of the master plan wasn't -- was envisioning Auahi Street as sort of a pedestrian promenade and really enhancing the pedestrian experience for all of those edges that abut up to Auahi Street.

This is, again, that view. I want to go through some before and after of what's been envisioned, and I think these are -- this is similar to what's been discussed for A'ali'i. This is down

by the Ward Entertainment Center looking Ewa, existing condition, and the vision that's being carried out in greater detail as the plans evolve with the more shady, energized pedestrian environment.

This is closer to Ko'ula. This is in front of the old Farmers' Market looking back at the site with basically the corner of the project area with the entertainment center behind. So this is Auahi Street, again, looking Diamond Head. And this is the -- this the character sketch that was -- that's been envisioned and is evolving but reflecting sort of the intent of what Ward Village is trying to achieve.

Again, I mentioned the edge to Central Plaza, and this is more of a detail of that transition and the importance of activating that edge by wrapping the retail around that edge and actually providing additional overflow space that will contribute to the Central Plaza use area that is off to the left of this image.

Again, the landscape -- landscape treatment highlighting the porte cochere and penetrating the building and providing that public access that would certainly provide us a mini-gateway

into the Central Plaza.

And, again, up on the recreation deck and podium level with the tower highlighted in blue. And that gives you a good overview, I think, of the overall context that the project's been planned within.

Q Is the design for Ko'ula consistent with the mauka area vested rules and the Ward master plan?

Master Plan identified four principles that have been constant, I think, in all the planning that Howard Hughes Corporation has been doing, and those are: encourage improvements of the street-level experience for residents and visitors, promote the development of exceptional public spaces, provide distinctive retail and public realm experiences, and optimize ocean views, thus the mauka-makai view corridors.

There's also -- it was brought up earlier this Table 2 in Section 5.1 as far as compliance with the vested rules in the master plan. I think the Chair was, you know, having a discussion on those comparisons. But this has been a helpful format, I think, in identifying or expressing what the mauka rules are within those different categories and a quick -- quick reference to how those -- the Mauka

Area Plan rules, as were noted, were pretty conceptual and how they've been translated and expressed in the approved Ward Village Neighborhood Plan, and then implemented as far as how Ko'ula is in compliance and/or implementing those visions.

Q Have you reviewed the comment letters from the Department of Transportation Services as well as from the Department of Planning and Permitting submitted with regard to this project in May of this year?

A Yes, I have.

Q The DPP letter references provisions in the city's land use ordinance. Are you familiar with the LUO, as it's commonly called?

A Yes, I am.

Q What is your understanding as to how the city's LUO relates to the vested rules?

A I think in their letter, they're pretty explicit in the introduction saying that they don't have authority within -- within -- the land use ordinance doesn't really apply or they don't have authority within the Ward Neighborhood Master Plan, but they do go through and identify with their land use ordinance those elements that they feel should be addressed. And I think as Howard Hughes noted, you

know, a lot of those elements are addressed or being addressed and are working towards -- towards compliance with the vision of the Ward master plan, but, similarly or most likely, would be in compliance with the city's standards.

Q The Department of Transportation Services letter has a number of comments on design issues for the project, including parking, loading zones, circulation, sidewalks and other matters. What is your response to those comments?

A Again, I mean, they're applying their standards without recognition of what the standards that have been adopted by HCDA. So there are going to be conflicts as far as aligning those standards, but I think, you know, to the extent that Ko'ula -- Ko'ula has been designed to meet the HCDA standards, and the experience of delivering several towers already with that functionality needed is really the -- really the test. And as Ward Village works through the details of the project, I think all those elements can be addressed.

Q I would like you to address some of the key attributes and community benefits from this project. First, how does the project advance the goals, policies and objectives of the design

district?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, it's really -- as I see it, it's Α sort of location, location, location. Ward Village has set their vision, has demonstrated and now has delivered on several components of that vision. And that, you know, the proximity in itself with Ala Moana on one end, Ala Moana Beach Park, Kewalo Basin, Kaka'ako Makai Park, the recreation in proximity to downtown Honolulu, employment centers in Waikiki, all -- you know, all are being realized by the marketplace, and I think the local communities are starting to realize that vision and understanding an urban living environment in downtown Honolulu can be very livable and preferred over a suburban environment.

Q Okay. Secondly, how does the Ko'ula project protect, preserve or enhance desirable neighborhood characteristics through the compliance with the standards and guidelines of the applicable district rules?

A Again, that's probably -- to refer the board to Table 2 on page 24, again, the second column or the standards are described. It's basically the zoning code for Kaka'ako, and each of the standards are described, and then the Ward Neighborhood Master

Plan stipulations as far as what was approved there and then compliance as it relates to Ko'olu -Ko'ula -- I'm sorry -- are, you know, explicitly called out there.

I think some of the key -- key elements, you know, is open space, providing more open space that is required, but more importantly than meeting the requirement is the functionality and placement of that. So I think the -- besides the sensitivity or meeting the requirements, the sensitivity of how the project's been planned illustrates the successful implementation of that vision.

Q So just for reference, you were referring to page 23 of the application and Section 5 of that?

A Yeah. In Section 5.1, page 24, it's labeled "Table 5-2: Conformance with Mauka Area Rules/Ward Master Plan," and it's a -- it goes until page 30.

Q And so that table sets forth the requirement from the Mauka Area Rules and several -- and an explanation of how this project meets those roles?

A Exactly.

Q Please describe Ko'ula's compliance with the tower spacing rule.

A I don't know if I have that exhibit. Do we have that? There is an exhibit -- do you know what exhibit number it is? I think I just saw it.

There it is. Okay. This is exhibit -- I don't have the correct reference.

Q We'll get it in a second.

A Okay. This exhibit highlights Ko'ula, the tower of Ko'ula, and its orientation. There's specific requirements as far as orientation north, south, and it's within that allowable range. And the rules provide that the narrowing of the building should be set back from the adjacent tower by 200 feet and the broadside of the building 300 feet. Those blue zones represent those — those setback areas. So with A'ali'i being on the mauka edge and then the extension of the Central Plaza between the Gateway Towers, the tower's in compliance to those general guidelines.

Q We'll get that exhibit number in a moment.

Does Ko'ula avoid a substantially adverse effect on surrounding land uses through compatibility with the existing and planned land use character of the surrounding area?

A First, I did find the exhibit number.

1 It's Exhibit 21 of the application. Sorry about 2 that. 3 Could you repeat your next query? Yes. Does Ko'ula avoid a substantially 4 5 adverse effect on surrounding land uses through 6 compatibility with the existing and planned land use 7 character of the surrounding areas? 8 Yes. I think it's been planned integral 9 to the Ward Neighborhood Master Plan, and its 10 location and spacing of towers and requisite open space and amenities all contribute to the successful 11 12 execution of that vision. 13 Does Ko'ula, in the context of Ward 14 Village, provide housing opportunities for all income 15 groups, particularly low, moderate and other 16 qualified income groups? 17 I'm not the expert in that area, Α Yes. 18 but I think it's been demonstrated in the summary of 19 the housing and the marketplace that they have been 20 meeting a lot of those segments. 21 Does Ko'ula positively impact 22 pedestrian-oriented development, including Complete 23 Streets? 24 Definitely. The county DPP provided some 25 comments related to Complete Streets and also related to TOD, transit-oriented development. The planned station -- rail station is at the corner -- is within walking distance and is at the corner of Ward and the project area, Ward Village. So it's very close to Ko'ula. And I think within the context of the transportation network and the walkability and the pedestrian and bike facilities have been integrated and planned into the neighborhood, we are seeing a very successful execution of that.

Q Does Ko'ula positively impact community amenities, such as gathering places, community centers, cultural and art facilities and a full array of public facilities normally provided by the public sector?

A Yes. I think, you know, Central Plaza is a great move to get that implemented and started. I think over the years, the array of public and quasi-public spaces that have been planned within the pedestrian zone and accessible areas along the streetscapes also have been studied and detailed to provide those opportunities of many gathering areas. Plaza areas, the porte cochere and that gateway element into Central Plaza, all of those elements all contribute to, you know, achieving that sort of livable, walkable urban -- urban environment that I

think the community's being able to witness and experience and is being attracted to. So positive on all accounts.

MR. ING: Thank you.

 ${\tt Mr.}$ Witten is available for questioning.

CHAIR WHALEN: Any questions? Okay. I

have a couple.

EXAMINATION

BY CHAIR WHALEN:

Q It was mentioned of the letter from

Department of Transportation Services and Department

of Planning and Permitting, and my reading of them,

like the DPP, wasn't really concentrating on the LUO

standards, or if there are any standards, you know,

the master plan or at least the Ward neighborhood

plan is not so precise that it creates specific

standards.

So one of the comments I thought that DPP made that I, you know, think is worth noting is the street tree planting and planting trees along the Auahi Street frontage would be an improvement over what's on the other side along the Waiea frontage, which is pretty sparse in terms of street trees. But, you know, along the Central Plaza and the Halekauwila Street extension or even along that other

private driveway, it's pretty -- pretty bare. I mean, it's coconut palms or some kind of palms that are there. Is there any thought about changing those to create more shade? And I think DPP suggested interspersing some coconut palms if you wanted to make a tropical statement, but have more canopy trees to make shade?

A Yeah. PBR did provide or did prepare a street tree master plan for Ward Village, I think, in 2016, and that vision is being carried out project by project. As you mentioned, Auahi Street is envisioned as sort of a pedestrian promenade, you know, canopy trees as the dominant element there.

Back in the -- back on the private drive areas where the streetscape becomes a little more constrained and the -- and also the shade -- sun-shade patterns are quite different, we feel the vertical -- vertical elements of a palm treatment work well in that urban environment, and it's not always -- you know, they make the case or they make the statement that they only give shade during the noon hours, but that's not the case. I mean, a coconut palm or a Johannes (phonetic) palm, I mean, it's not as dense a shade as a canopy tree, but it's still in the urban context and in the right

environment with the articulation against architecture. I think there's -- you have to look at both material, height, you know, and head of the palm size to really make a judgment.

I personally think some -- a little more variety in the treatments and providing some relief to a formal palm-lined treatment could -- could work. But, personally, we're not the landscape architects on this project per se. So I can't go too far to criticize -- criticize what the solution is, but it is consistent with what was expressed in our overall vision of the street tree master plan.

Q Okay. I mean, we're not being so specific or precise --

A Right.

 $\mbox{\ensuremath{Q}}$ -- about anything we decide is permanent, but I just wanted to express that.

A But I think if there's opportunities to introduce some variety and if you have seating areas that make sense for smaller canopy trees, I think that we can definitely take a look at that and suggest that that be considered.

Q And now that we're on the subject of streets, and maybe there are others -- other witnesses that will comment on this, but these

private driveways were actually part of the mauka area master plan to create better connectivity between major streets, and I think those private streets could do that except there is a concern. $W \in$ have some other private streets in Kaka'ako that don't have any kind of specific traffic controls, and owners have asserted the right to charge for use of the streets and other aspects like that. And I'm still unclear about the status of these private driveways, whether there's any possibility that they might be gated off as private streets. The way that they continue to be public -- continued public use and not closed at certain times except for, you know, when there's a street closure. Sometimes there's special events in streets and things like that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So when you talk about the narrow right-of-way on those private driveways, what is the right-of-way width? Those came up in A'ali'i, but I --

A Right. I don't have the specific right-of-way widths. I mean, the traffic engineer can address that, and as far as the disposition and management of those private drives, I think probably Ward Village and Howard Hughes Corporation can better address what their long-term management plan for

those -- those important elements of connectivity and infrastructure. I know there has been discussion of having the flexibility that you can have festival space and breakout areas and temporarily close streets and --

- Q Right, and that occurs on city streets to.
 - A Right.

- Q But if there is -- and eventually Howard Hughes is -- I don't anticipate they'll be here forever. I mean, there will be some kind of other entity --
 - A Master association.
- Q -- or some association that would manage the streets. And some of these questions can be addressed in the covenants and that sort of thing, but there may be a point, and this has often happened in the city, where private streets -- the owners along that street have petitioned that private street to be dedicated, and the city won't accept dedication because it doesn't meet certain standards.

So that creates a quandary in the future, and I think it would be good to be as responsive, I think, to the Department of Transportation Services concerns as possible so that there isn't some

obstacle created at some point in the future where they haven't acknowledged that these are really public streets or may eventually be dedicated streets.

So your response to the questions about compliance or responses to the comments by Department of Transportation Services, "Well, that's -- that's not the authority," well, that's not quite true.

Actually, the city has a lot of influence over the management of the street system. We're trying to have them take responsibility for all the streets actually in Kaka'ako, including the private streets, like condemnation, if necessary, to clear up some management problems.

So I just want to make that statement. I guess you can respond to it, but that's a concern that I think we need to address before, you know, the streets are completed and no one has really thought through what happens at some point 20 years in the future or 30 years.

A Yeah, I think probably Race -- Race can give a little more information on what their longer-term plans are for those private lanes and their cooperative efforts with DTS on planning Auahi and existing city streets and that evolution.

1 0 Okay. I just wanted to put that out 2 there. 3 The other thing was that private driveway that runs mauka-makai, the extension of the unnamed 4 5 street. It doesn't have a name yet. Next to the entertainment center? 6 А 7 It's Commerce Street? 8 MR. ING: Cummins. 9 BY CHAIR WHALEN: Cummins? Oh, well, it doesn't really 10 11 connect with Cummins. But okay. Kapakahi, Cummins. 12 But on that, it has another frontage which is where 13 the Ward Entertainment Center is. Is there any 14 expectation that -- you know, there are driveway 15 entrances there, but is there any expectation that 16 some of that frontage might be retrofit as commercial 17 uses? 18 I wouldn't be the one to answer, you Α 19 know, if they have any --20 \circ It's for Race? 21 Α Probably for Race Randle. 22 EXAMINATION 23 BY MEMBER BASSETT: 24 So I have a question. So on the makai 25 side of the Ko'ula building, there's Auahi Street.

On the mauka side, I think you were referring to it 1 2 as a private driveway. 3 Yeah. Is that also Halekauwila Street? 4 5 Yeah. We refer to the private drive, but 6 it's functionally sort of the extension of 7 Halekauwila Street. Okay. So I can see how, like, a lot of 8 9 the diagrams that are shown is giving me a sense of 10 the public's experience from the Auahi Street side of the building. What I'm trying to find is if you can 11 12 point me to some kind of showing of the public's 13 experience from the Halekauwila Street side, how that 14 would look from as far as me looking at Ko'ula. 15 А Yeah. Let me see if we have anything on that. I know we focused a lot of attention on that 16 17 extension -- on that frontage with A'ali'i because 18 that's where the units are fronting. 19 Yeah. Q 20 The smaller units that are Yeah. 21 integrated into the parking structure. 22 EXAMINATION 23 BY CHAIR WHALEN: 24 It does look like it's going to be a 25 route to a future transit station. You know, a lot

of people will be walking along there.

A Yeah, I think it is an important connector as far as pedestrian, bike and vehicles to the extent we still have vehicles.

Q We may not be driving them.

A Okay. On page 9, there's three images there, the upper center image showing the Anaha edge towards Whole Foods, but that is -- that's sort of the Halekauwila Street extension and streetscape envisioned, and that would be continued, you know, back --

In the Ewa direction, you'd be hitting what was represented with A'ali'i, and I don't see a specific frontage rendering of Ko'ula along Halekauwila.

EXAMINATION

BY MEMBER BASSETT:

Q So what I'm looking at on that page 9, that image of the street frontage, am I correct by thinking that what I'm looking at here is A'ali'i's commercial space downstairs?

A Pardon? No. This is -- this is Ae'o.

This is Ae'o -- sorry -- with Whole Foods at the end.

So that end block is hitting into Anaha and Kamakee.

So behind us is -- we're on the makai side of the

street. Behind us would be the future Ko'ula.

Q The reason why I'm asking is because it would be helpful for me to see that. One of the things that we're considering is the increased podium height.

A Correct.

Q And of all of the mock-ups, what I'm seeing is this frontage from Auahi Street looking at Ko'ula. But from what I can tell from the drawings, I'm not experiencing any of the podium 75 foot high from that vantage point because it's terraced in this way, right, or it's terraced up to the large tower. But the 75-foot podium appears to be on the back side and the side that fronts the entertainment center; right? I'm trying to get a sense of what that's going to look like to the public because I remember from A'ali'i, that just across the street of Halekauwila is going to be another 75-foot podium.

A Correct.

Q So I envisioned this part of the street where it's going to be 75 feet on one side of me and then 75 feet on another side of me, and I'm wondering how that affects --

A Spatially and landscape --

Q Yeah.

1	A and pedestrian.
2	Q Yeah. But nothing here really shows me
3	that.
4	MR. ING: Mr. Chairman, we do have some
5	additional renderings that do show that, and when
6	Mr. Randle testifies, he'll be able to present those
7	to you.
8	MEMBER BASSETT: Okay.
9	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. At this hearing
10	or
11	MR. ING: At this hearing. And we could
12	certainly present more of this at the modification
13	hearing.
14	MEMBER BASSETT: Okay.
15	CHAIR WHALEN: Yeah. That might be
16	that's really pertinent.
17	MEMBER BASSETT: Is this witness going to
18	be present for that hearing?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Any other
21	questions? Staff? HART?
22	MS. ORMAN: No questions.
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
24	CHAIR WHALEN: Thank you.
25	MR. ING: Let me inquire if you want to

1	take a brief break.
2	CHAIR WHALEN: I'm sure Laura would love
3	that. Thank you for suggesting that. Yes, let's
4	take a brief break.
5	(Recess taken from 3:54 p.m. until
6	4:15 p.m.)
7	CHAIR WHALEN: Going back on the record.
8	MR. ING: Thank you. We call as our next
9	witness, Joe Ferraro.
10	THE WITNESS: My name is Joseph Ferraro.
11	I swear to tell the truth.
12	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Thank you.
13	
14	JOSEPH FERRARO,
15	having been called as a witness and being
16	first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth
17	and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified
18	as follows:
19	EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. ING:
21	Q Please state your name, place of
22	employment and position.
23	A My name is Joseph Ferraro. I am
24	principal at Ferraro Choi and Associates.
25	Q Has your firm been retained to do work on

this project?

A Yes, they have. Our firm provides architectural planning --

A background on our firm first: We provide architectural planning and interior design services for commercial and institutional projects for both the federal, the state, municipal governments and private clients. We're a recognized leader in sustainable design in Hawaii for over 30 years and are routinely commissioned on unique projects that serve resources, use renewable energy and provide healthy environments for our occupants.

What we've been hired to do for Howard

Hughes is to provide peer-review assistance relating

to its entitlement submission for this project.

Q Please describe the vision and inspiration for this project.

A Thank you. Yes, I will. The project's vision will help to fulfill the need -- the basic need for housing in our city's urban environment. The project's mixed-use design adds to the city's Complete Streets concept for the Kaka'ako neighborhood where streets are people friendly, safe and convenient for all ages and all modes of transportation, including walking, biking, public

transit and automobiles.

In addition, the project's location provides convenient access to the city's proposed rail, which the station is just mauka of this site, and will also border and is designed to complement the Ward Village Central Plaza.

Q Please describe the components of the project and how these components will protect, preserve and enhance desirable neighborhood characteristics.

A Yes. Both the city and HCDA have encouraged the development model of Complete Streets which, in part, moves the building's parking, as we know, inward and, consequently, upward to allow for habitable and friendlier space along the building street front. So this provides space as we've seen for retail shops, commercial services and areas to sit and activate that sidewalk and to encourage community interaction and safer neighborhoods that the design incorporates from an earlier era that we might remember in Honolulu.

So Ko'ula's design embodies and implements all these concepts and mixes the uses of residential, commercial and retail, which makes for a friendlier community and a healthier community for

```
its residents who can walk, bike and recreate without
 1
 2
      commuting, thus reducing the need for automobiles.
 3
                  Mixed use also grows businesses, both
      local mom and pop shops and national brands, and it
 4
 5
      provides services and jobs within the neighborhood,
 6
      as we've seen. Ko'ula's design, therefore,
 7
      positively, we believe, contributes to the "live,
      work and play" lifestyle envisioned for Kaka'ako.
 8
 9
                  MR. ING: Thank you. I have no further
10
      questions. He's available for questioning.
                  CHAIR WHALEN: Members, any questions?
11
12
      Thank you, Joe.
13
                  THE WITNESS:
                                Thank you.
14
                  MR. ING: We call as our next witness --
15
                  CHAIR WHALEN: Oh, I'm sorry. Do you
16
      have any questions?
17
                  MS. ORMAN: No questions.
18
                  MR. ING: -- Mr. Pete Pascua.
19
                  THE WITNESS: My name is Pete Pascua, and
20
      I swear to tell the truth.
21
      ///
22
      ///
23
      ///
24
      ///
25
      ///
```

PETE PASCUA, P.E.,

having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. ING:

Q Can you state your name, place of employment and position?

A Again, my name is Pete Pascua. I'm a licensed professional engineer for the State of Hawaii, registered in the State of Hawaii. I'm vice president and director of traffic engineering at Wilson Okamoto Corporation.

Q Did your firm prepare a traffic impact analysis report for the Ko'ula project?

A Yes, we did.

A The recommendations. Okay. Based on regional growth and as well as ambient growth in the area, we conducted a traffic impact analysis and identified several recommendations for the project. One recommendation is to maintain sufficient site distance for motorists who safely enter and exit all

driveways, to provide adequate on-site loading and offloading, to minimize off-site loading operations, to provide adequate turnaround for service vehicles, delivery and refuse collection vehicles so it doesn't impact the public roadways, provide sufficient and turning radii at all the project driveways to prevent conflicts with oncoming traffic flow, to modify -and this was based on the earlier plan -- to modify the driveways to which I think as the design evolved, as has already been completed with the porte cochere, but to provide better segregation between entering and exiting traffic at the project driveways, and to consider updating the traffic study should the land use intensities or land use mix change because, you know, different land uses generate different types of traffic. So if that would change, an updated traffic study should be done. Also to consider and develop and enhance bicycle and pedestrian facilities as outlined in the Ward master plan that was approved earlier.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q So are there impacts from the proposed traffic as it relates to traffic?

A Oh, absolutely. Any time you develop a project, there's always impact, whether it's one unit of residential or some commercial uses that are being

1 proposed; however, with the recommended improvements, 2 including project roadways that are being proposed, any impact associated with this development should be 3 4 mitigated. 5 Thank you. I want to turn to the DTS letter of May 16, 2018. Did you have an opportunity 6 7 to review that letter? 8 Yes, I have. 9 And did it appear to be a form or a 10 boilerplate form of letter? Yes, it appears so. 11 12 So -- and why is it that you say that? 13 Well, it's similar to -- actually, maybe 14 identical in some respect to other letters received 15 from DTS for other projects within the island. Also, 16 that letter -- if you read that letter carefully, it 17 references an environmental assessment which is not 18 applicable at the matter at hand. It also references 19 streets that are not within the Kaka'ako area. 20 fact, these streets are in Waikiki, Kuhio Ave, Walina 21 Street as well as Kanekapolei. 22 Concerning the scope of the letter, how 23 would you describe it in the areas that it addresses? 24 Well, as with other projects I mentioned,

this form letter addresses four primary areas. It's

25

the use of what they call a TA or transportation assessment versus a TIAR, which is a traffic impact analysis report. It also identifies specific design -- project design issues. That letter also goes into addressing or needing to address construction impacts as well as floodplain issues for the site or the area.

Q Okay. Is substituting a TA or traffic assessment for a TIAR consistent with DPP policy as far as you understand it?

A No. DPP has always requested a TIAR as well as with other projects within the Ward Village master plan that has already been approved and under construction and/or even occupied.

Q Would you briefly explain to the board the difference between a traffic assessment and a TIAR?

A Well, a TIAR addresses project-specific impacts related to the various modes of travel, and these modes include vehicular travel as a primary mode, not primary mode, but one of the modes, and bicycle network or bicycle system, the pedestrian system — the pedestrian circulation system and transit use as well.

Q And how is that different from a TIAR?

A Oh, I'm sorry. A TIAR identifies project-specific impacts. The TA looks at the regional multimodal — the regional transportation modal systems, the bicycle network, again, the pedestrian circulation network, the transit network as well, and it doesn't address — a TA doesn't address project-specific impacts, but more so, on a regional scale, the performance of these different modes of travel.

 $\,$ Q $\,$ As far as you are aware, is Victoria Ward and Howard Hughes addressing the issues covered by the TA?

A Yes. Howard Hughes is -- not within the TIAR, but Howard Hughes is preparing updated transportation master plans. When you look at it from -- when you look at the transportation facilities on the regional scale, that component of analysis -- of traffic analysis in the master plan is what DTS is referring to in their letter as a TA.

Again, when you look at the different transportation modes of travel, again, bike, pedestrian, vehicular transit, and look at it from a regional scale and how that system fits in within the regional context above and beyond the Ward Victoria area or the Victoria Ward master plan.

1 0 Has Victoria Ward and Howard Hughes 2 requested that you perform a transportation master 3 plan? Yes. Even in advance of receiving this 4 5 letter from DTS, Howard Hughes or Victoria Ward, 6 Limited, has requested or are actually under contract 7 to already prepare the updated master plan -transportation master plan which addresses the 8 9 concerns associated with the TA. I know it's all 10 confusing with all the acronyms, and I apologize for 11 that. But the TA, what's -- basically what's 12 identified as a request from DTS to conduct in terms 13 of traffic analysis is already being covered within 14 the updated, and Howard Hughes or Victoria Ward has 15 already commissioned that study, and that study has 16 already started in advance of receiving this letter from DTS. 17 18 And when do you anticipate completing 19 that? 20 By the end of this year. Α 21 That's all the questions I had MR. ING: 22 of Mr. Pascua. He's available for questioning. 23 EXAMINATION 24 BY CHAIR WHALEN: 25 I have a question. Your point of having

sort of a boilerplate quality of this letter is well taken, but there are a couple of things that refer specifically to Ko'ulu, and probably the most -Ko'ula rather. The most significant, I think, is that Ko'ula provides more parking than required under the Mauka Area Rules. And this is probably a question that's going to come up in the modification hearing, but it does seem to be more parking than is justified by the demand, particularly when we're looking ahead several years in terms of how people get around and the transit station that's going to be built 600 feet away. So driverless cars and a whole bunch of things.

Do you -- it's suggested that there be a -- let's see -- an increase in the number of parking spaces should be justified through trip generation as it's identified in Section 1-A(i). I don't know exactly what that references, but -- oh, I guess transit-oriented development numbers. So is this really being designed for the future, or is it something that's being designed because market demand -- present market demand or buyers like to have more parking than they really need?

A I would need to -- I believe others would be able to testify to it. I looked at the traffic

operations and not necessarily the parking --1 2 Parking demand. Q -- demand, right. 3 That's fair enough. 4 Thank you. 5 The other thing is that there's that 6 existing entertainment center on the Diamond Head 7 side of that private driveway and they have exits. 8 So did you look at that as part of your analysis of 9 turning movements and kind of possible vehicle 10 conflicts with cars coming in? 11 Yes, absolutely. That's what -- one of 12 the recommendations I had made to make modifications 13 to an earlier plan which the designers have already 14 addressed. There were multiple movements in the 15 initial plan that were occurring along that short 16 segment of roadway. So if you separate the movements, you minimize the conflicts, thereby 17 18 increasing flow through those individual driveway 19 locations. 20 Okay. Because I think probably DTS 21 wouldn't necessarily look at that because it's a 22 private driveway, but anyway --23 Yeah, but they've been providing comments 24 as well throughout the design process as I

25

understand.

1	Q Okay. Thank you.
2	Any other questions, board members?
3	MEMBER BASSETT: Is that letter in our
4	exhibit?
5	CHAIR WHALEN: Yeah. The DTS letter,
6	it's in this blizzard of paper.
7	INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: It's under
8	Exhibit J.
9	CHAIR WHALEN: Did you have any
10	questions?
11	MR. NEUPANE: Yes, I do.
12	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay.
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. NEUPANE:
15	Q Let me know if you are not the right
16	person to ask this, but referring to Exhibit T, T-1,
17	T-2 and $T-3$, I just want to understand in the sense
18	of it says T-1 says it's the pedestrian promenade
19	around Auahi Street, that's the existing street
20	alignment in Exhibit T-1. I don't know if you have
21	the exhibit, Pete.
22	A No, I don't, Deepak.
23	MR. NEUPANE: Can you give him a copy of
24	it?
25	MR. ING: You're referring to the

corrected exhibits, T-1, 2 and 3? 1 2 T-1, 2 and 3. MR. NEUPANE: THE WITNESS: I have Exhibit T-1 in front 3 of me. 4 5 BY MR. NEUPANE: 6 Okay. The T-1, it says that's the Q 7 existing straight line. If you look at the exhibit, it shows, I believe, a pedestrian promenade along the 8 9 building and 8 feet of what looks like to me is the 10 right-of-way on the sidewalk. And if you look at 11 T-2, again, T-2 and the table is referenced as 12 existing street alignment, and I believe if you look 13 on the site plan for the building, a portion of that 14 frontage is landscaped, and it shows under that 15 8-foot strip. And T-3, it just shows -- there's no 16 difference in T-2 and T-3 except on the table, it 17 says it's a narrowed street. Am I understanding this 18 that Auahi Street is being narrowed? 19 MR. ING: The question is does T-3 show 20 that Auahi Street has been narrowed? 21 MR. NEUPANE: Yes. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. Based on the 23 diagrams, yes. And I understand the plan is to 24 implement road diets on Auahi Street. 25 BY MR. NEUPANE:

1	Q So then the condition shown in $T-1$ and
2	T-2 would be a temporary condition? I'm trying to
3	understand where are we making the transition. Is
4	the condition T-3 part of the project and it's going
5	to be constructed with the project, or is the
6	condition going to be $T-1$ and $T-2$ at the project, but
7	at a later point, there would be improvement made
8	to you know, Auahi Street would be narrowed, and
9	then the condition that we're actually looking at at
10	the building frontage is going to be depicted in what
11	is T-3?
12	MR. ING: I believe Mr. Randle can speak
13	to that transition and phasing.
14	MR. NEUPANE: Okay. I mean, you want to
15	do it now or
16	My questions are related to this $T-1$, $T-2$
17	and $T-3$. I thought Pete might be the appropriate
18	guy, but if he's not
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I apologize. I look
20	at traffic operations as opposed to the road
21	segments.
22	CHAIR WHALEN: Thank you. Moving along.
23	Oh, I'm sorry. HART, do you have any
24	questions?
25	MS. ORMAN: No questions. Thank you.

MR. RANDLE: My name is Race Randle. I swear to tell the truth.

MR. ING: I'm going to take him out of order for this question, if that's okay, to respond to staff's questions.

CHAIR WHALEN: That's good. Yeah.

RACE RANDLE,

having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Okay. So if you're looking at the packets, there are three exhibits that have been provided, and those are meant to correct, basically, the distance from the existing property line to the building and show the existing street condition.

So in T-1, if you're looking at the section on the left-hand side of Exhibit T-1, you'll see the existing sidewalk on the left-hand side is 8 feet, and the construction of this project would result in a pedestrian promenade from the property line, which is shown in that red No. 1, to the face of the building at the street level of between 15 and

30 feet based on the existing property line location and the existing sidewalk that's on Auahi Street today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other kind of option that is provided is on Exhibit T-2 where the same pedestrian promenade could be provided, that 15 to 30 feet, however, based on the comments and feedback that we received from HCDA staff, and the idea there is we could actually convert that to a landscaped area where the existing sidewalk is. It would require approval from the city for that to happen. It's similar to what we've done in front of the South Shore Market on Auahi Street. If you've walked through that, it does actually allow for more space for planting and additional canopy tree width in the planting area. So that is something that could be accommodated. It would require approval from the city to take out the existing sidewalk and convert it to a planting area.

The next section, which is T-3, is -- and you probably saw this in the media over the last couple of weeks, is a concept that we're looking at in order to really widen at a master plan level the promenade along Auahi Street. One of the four tenets of the original GGP master plan is Auahi Street as a pedestrian promenade. So the idea here is to take

the mauka side of Auahi Street and actually narrow the street from four lanes down to two lanes and to take the existing lanes that are there today, and imagine it's about a 12-foot lane, and add that 12 feet of drive surface up as a pedestrian promenade along the face of this building.

It's not currently part of this project.

It needs to be done at one time kind of down the length of Auahi Street across a number of projects as a master plan-level improvement, and the designs of that have started with the traffic plan that Pete mentioned that he is working on. It will require additional approvals from the city, but it is something that we would like to do ultimately as part of our master plan.

So, again, T-1 and T-2 can be done with this project. T-1 is using the existing sidewalk from the city. T-2 converts that to a landscaped area, and then T-3 is, again, something at the end of the day that's possible for build-out not associated with this project.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEUPANE:

Q Thank you. I wanted to understand that and whether it was part of the project or not. But

you say you are in discussion with the city and county for the T-3 option?

A We are, yes. So we've begun discussions with the city which actually referred us to then meet with you following those initial discussions to confirm, I think, HCDA's feedback about that master plan idea of narrowing Auahi to provide this promenade on the mauka side.

Q Yeah, and I did meet with your civil engineers on that. So thank you.

EXAMINATION

BY CHAIR WHALEN:

Q So I just want to comment that DPP's May 18th letter seems to indicate they might be favorable to removing that bifurcation of the sidewalk from the terraced level, but we have to provide some transition from the adjoining properties?

A Yes, which, in the case of this project, is relatively easy because the streets have to meet the current grade. So if you look on the plan view on the right-hand side of any of these images, both sidewalks ultimately have to meet the level of that existing driveway.

Q Right.

A So you can do ramps that come up from

that driveway in either case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So you might have similar treatment on the entertainment center frontage?

With the exception of -- I mean, the existing Ward Entertainment Center is lower. It's almost at current grade. But, yes, the idea is that we would be able to widen that sidewalk. And as Todd showed in some of our renderings, if we're able to take a lane of traffic, it can result in additional planting of canopy trees that can be planted in those locations.

So it's still undetermined yet?

We're at the beginning of the approval process for that as a separate kind of master plan level improvement, but it's something we're committed to advancing and going through the necessary steps. It may take a series of approvals separate from this project to get there.

CHAIR WHALEN: Counselor, since you have your witness there in the chair, do you want to continue questioning?

MR. ING: I'd prefer to take the others.

CHAIR WHALEN: Before?

MR. ING: Yes.

CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Thank you.

1 MR. ING: We call as our next witness, 2 Mr. Glenn Kuwaye. He will be examined by Mr. Kang. 3 GLENN KUWAYE, P.E., 4 5 having been called as a witness and being 6 first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth 7 and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified 8 as follows: 9 EXAMINATION BY MR. KANG: 10 11 Mr. Kuwaye, can you please state your name and affiliation, please? 12 13 My name is Glenn Kuwaye. I swear to tell 14 the truth. 15 CHAIR WHALEN: Thank you. THE WITNESS: My name is Glenn Kuwaye. 16 17 I'm a licensed professional engineer in the State of 18 Hawaii and director of civil engineering at Wilson 19 Okamoto Corporation. BY MR. KANG: 20 21 And has your firm been retained by Howard 22 Hughes for this project, Victoria Ward and Howard 23 Hughes for this project? Yes, we have. 24 25 Did your firm prepare an infrastructure

1 availability report for this project? 2 Yes, we did. Α And that was dated February 2018; 3 4 correct? 5 Correct. Now, can you confirm that the water 6 7 service is available from the municipal water system 8 operated by the Board of Water Supply? 9 Based on the expected water demand 10 of approximately 257,250 gallons per day for the project, the Board of Water Supply confirmed that 11 12 there is currently adequate capacity in its system in a March 2017 -- or 2017 letter. 13 14 Let me refer you specifically to the 15 Board of Water Supply's adequacy letter that's dated March 28th, 2017. This is in the application, 16 17 Appendix D, as part of your report. It mentions that 18 Victoria Ward should proceed with an upgrade of a 19 6-inch water main on Queen Street to a 12-inch main, 20 to be connected to an existing 12-inch main at 21 Cummins and Kamakee Street. Are you familiar with 22 that comment from the board? 23 Yes, I am. 24 Okay. Is Victoria Ward/Howard Hughes 25 planning on proceeding with that upgrade?

1	A Yes. The plans are currently in design.
2	Q And at what point will Victoria Ward
3	proceed with that upgrade?
4	A Further clarified, the Board of Water
5	Supply upgrade is not required as part of this Ko'ula
6	project, but it will be installed and placed in
7	service prior to the phase 3 of the Ward master plan.
8	The Ko'ula project is in phase 2 of the Ward master
9	plan.
10	Q And turning to the sewer service, can you
11	confirm that the sewer service is available from the
12	City and County of Honolulu for this project?
13	A Yes. We've received the approved sewer
14	connection application from the city DPP in April
15	2017.
16	MR. KANG: Mr. Chairman, that's all the
17	questions we have for this witness. We pass this
18	witness for the to the board for questioning.
19	CHAIR WHALEN: Questions? I'm sorry.
20	MS. ORMAN: No questions.
21	CHAIR WHALEN: Have any more witnesses?
22	I've lost count. Two?
23	MR. KANG: Mr. Chairman, we call Matt
24	McDermott as our next witness.
25	CHAIR WHALEN: I was wondering if you

1 could ask -- before we receive written testimony, 2 rather than just read the testimony, can they summarize or ask them to summarize? 3 MR. KANG: 4 Sure. 5 CHAIR WHALEN: All right. Thank you. MR. KANG: All right, Mr. McDermott, 6 7 maybe you can swear to tell the truth. 8 THE WITNESS: My name is Matt McDermott. 9 I swear to tell the truth. 10 11 MATT McDERMOTT, M.A., having been called as a witness and being 12 13 first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified 14 15 as follows: 16 EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. KANG: 18 All right. Can you just describe the 19 archaeological historic properties and burials that 20 the archaeological inventory survey documented in the 21 original Block I project area, briefly? 22 There were three archaeological historic 23 properties found in the original Block I. All three 24 have been previously documented and studied in other 25 AIS investigations in the surrounding area.

first is state inventory of historic places No. 7655.

That's the salt pan remnants that were once there.

The next is 7429, which is in the mauka portion of Block I. This consists of former land surface with remains of precontact all the up way into the historic area land use, pits, features, that sort of thing. And then we also have 7659 along the Ewa or western edge of the Block I area, which consists of a concrete drainage channel that originally drained water from the Ward Estate, which is now the Blaisdell down to Kewalo Basin.

There are human remains associated with two of these historic properties, the salt pans and the berms and also in the 7429, the sand deposits, but none of these human remains are within the footprint of the current project, Ko'ula.

Q So with respect to Ko'ula specifically, did the inventory survey document any of those historic properties within the Ko'ula footprint, specifically?

A Within the Ko'ula footprint specifically, portions of 7655, the salt pans were documented.

Again, this consists of the higher berms that separated the low-lying areas where the salt evaporation took place to create salt.

Q Let me refer you to the application, and this is the letter -- Appendix B of the application refers to a letter dated September 6, 2017, from the State Historic Preservation Division. Can you just describe and summarize that letter briefly?

A The historic preservation review process consisted of up to six steps. The importance of the September 6 letter, it basically confirms that the Ko'ula or the Block I project had completed steps 1 through 5. That's everything from the initial inventory of historic properties all the way up to the completion of the mitigation plans on any impacts. It also describes the schedule on how the final step, the implementation of those mitigation plans, will take place.

Q What is the effect of that letter from SHPD?

A Again, it basically states that the project has completed the historic preservation review process up to the extent that's possible, and it means that there's no hindrance as far as historic preservation goes for the project to get its permits and to move forward with construction.

MR. KANG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

That's all the questions we have for this witness.

1	CHAIR WHALEN: Members, any questions?
2	HART, any questions?
3	MS. ORMAN: No questions.
4	CHAIR WHALEN: All right. Thank you,
5	Mr. McDermott.
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7	MR. ING: We call as our last witness for
8	today, Mr. Race Randle.
9	MR. ING: I believe Mr. Randle will be
10	utilizing Exhibit Q for his presentation, and that's
11	a series of PowerPoints. It's included in the
12	exhibit list as well.
13	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Do you want to
14	do
15	THE WITNESS: Race Randle again. I
16	continue to swear to tell the truth.
17	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Welcome back.
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	
20	RACE RANDLE,
21	having been recalled as a witness and
22	being previously duly sworn to tell the truth, the
23	whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined
24	and testified further as follows:
25	///

EXAMINATION

BY MR. ING:

Q Please state your name, place of employment and position.

A My name is Race Randle, senior vice president of development at the Howard Hughes Corporation, Ward Village.

Q Now, taking the chairman's request to heart that we summarize as much as possible rather than read, could you please summarize your oral testimony?

regarding the master plan and really the comparison of this project to the master plan, and I think they were pertinent questions. This is the first time we've been asked, I think, more to compare the project to the master plan than the eight prior projects we've been through, which have all really been asked to -- and I think it was before many of you were board members, but to compare the project to the 2005 Mauka Area Rules. It's a great suggestion because if you look at the master plan that was approved in -- in 2010, what it did is it specifically asked for many of the modifications that we continue to ask for in each of the projects, the

65-foot podiums along Ala Moana Boulevard, the
75-foot-high podiums mauka of Ala Moana Boulevard and
the justification for them because of the placement
of retail and other open space areas at the ground
level were really the justification for that request
at the time.

When the master plan was approved, it did not grant those modifications and, rather, required us to continue to show how the design is still aesthetically better than the 2005 rules would allow by the granting of those modifications. But in general and in summary, you know, it's a great idea, I think, on behalf of the board to continue for us moving forward to compare our project and really show how it complies with the approved master plan and then also to justify the modification based on it looking better than what the rules would otherwise allow.

To date, we've had a lot of progress on the master plan. I think as you drive through the area, you see it. We have a lot of projects that have been completed already at a very high level.

We've completed development on approximately 50 percent of the land. That includes some of the demolition that's occurring. So across the 60 acres,

we've now touched about 29 acres of the property.

We've now dedicated or are in the process of

dedicating in this project about 67 percent of the

public facilities that are required under the master

plan. Over 80 percent of the open space has either

already been provided or is in the process of being

constructed. And in total, about 50 percent of the

floor area has either been developed, already

constructed or is in the project -- process of being

sold or ultimately will be developed on an approved

project.

Q You were going to make a statement about reserved housing.

A Sure. I think on reserved housing, there's a table in the document that outlines what has been -- what we're required to deliver based on the projects that have been approved to date, and then what's either under construction or will be built. What it shows, and that's in -- I think it's Table 19 of the application. It basically shows that with the six projects that have been approved, the residential projects to date, we're committed to providing 525 reserved housing units. With this approval, we'll have to develop another 64, resulting in 589 residential reserved housing units. I mean, a

big number, which is great to see coming from these projects that are approved. And as mentioned earlier, at least 64 of those units will be provided either within the Ko'ula project or off-site within Ward Village.

Q Could you summarize your testimony with regard to the project's compatibility with the TD -- TOD overlay plan of the Authority?

A Sure. You know, and I'll try to summarize out of the request from the Chair to be brief, but, ultimately, as you know, in 2015, the EIS was completed for the TOD overlay plan, and on page 9 of that EIS, HCDA identified a major goal, right, and that was transit use by placing relatively high-density developments adjacent to or within walking distance of major transit facilities. So, obviously, at a high level, this project meets that goal; right? We're creating 570 homes within walking distance of the rail transit station that's in planning at the moment.

Q Finally, with regard to the comment letters from the city and county, could you please summarize your testimony with regard to those?

A And I think you've heard the testimony from the engineers responding to the individual

comments, and I just will echo Todd Apo's summary that while using different acronyms, we are already proceeding with the studies, I think, that are requested, and we're in complete agreement with the goals, I think, of especially DTS and DPP, for Complete Streets and for multimodal transit, kind of a transportation shift from cars to bikes, walking and transit. It's one of the reasons that we spent the funds ourselves to design and construct bike lanes down Auahi Street over a couple years ago. It was something that wasn't required of us, but we wanted to start to see that modal shift.

Following that, we donated -- we're one of the founding sponsors of the Wiki Bikes, if you've seen those, obviously, going around. The ones with the white -- or yellow stickers on the side are the ones that we sponsored, and that's been very successful. It's part of your continued focus on investing in multimodal transportation.

The Complete Streets, obviously, mimics some of the discussion we just had about narrowing streets and making them more than just places for cars, but also places for pedestrians, bikes, and if plaza spaces can be made large enough next to them, there can be promenades and areas for sitting and

gathering.

Q Finally, Race, the Chairman commented on private drives 1 and 2 and whether or not the access to those drives would change over time. Do you have -- I know you haven't had a chance to research that, but if you have comments on that, could you please provide them?

A Yeah. And I'll pull up -- this is the image I can grab at the moment to help us walk through that, but you can see the project site in blue and some of the private drives shown as separate parcels. And there are really two key answers to what we do with our common areas, and the first one is a master association. So when we started the development of Ward Village, we created a master homeowner's association, and, specifically, one of its primary goals is it can take on public areas, common areas that otherwise can't be dedicated to the city or to HCDA. And it can own and maintain those in perpetuity.

One of the requirements of our master plan, especially with plaza spaces, is Howard Hughes, as a developer, maintains those areas in perpetuity. So the master association is how that occurs. The Central Plaza is one of them and the roads are

another one. So we have driveways that may not be designed for city standards that are more driveways rather than roads. We've created an entity, a nonprofit and a perpetual, the master association, that can own those and maintain them forever.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second answer, I think, in regard to that is just how we control it. I think it was who can own it, maintain it and then how its access is controlled was the other question. You know, by dedicating specifically roads as public facilities, when we do that dedication, it includes language in those dedications about use for the public. And as I think the Chair mentioned, we often reserve the right for special events for closures. The roads would be the same way. So as we build private facilities like roads which are represented as one of the public facility dedications in the master plan, in that dedication document like we've done already to date, it actually states kind of what the areas can be used for and access for the public.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Do}}$$ you want me to repeat some of the questions that were answered earlier and --

Q Yes. If you have the information, and if you don't, we'll ask the Chair if we could present that next week.

A Sure. I can answer some of the questions that were asked earlier for follow-up for me, and then there was one in particular regarding a plan view that's being worked on that can be provided at next week's hearing. But the first question, I think, was in regards to what we're doing for retail, you know, as retail is changing.

CHAIR WHALEN: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: I think Board Member Hasha nailed it with the move towards experiential retail as kind of the shift in retail, and Ward Village is exactly that. I think as you see our retail, the focus is on smaller spaces. It's on food and beverage. It's on experiential, meaning food and beverage and entertainment. And the Ward Entertainment Center is still the top theater in the state. We're drawing -- I'm waiting on the number, but hundreds of thousands of visitors and locals come to that theater. We just had two big showings this year with the Avengers and Star Wars, but it's still a place the community goes for entertainment. Dave & Busters is a big element of that.

Then I mentioned smaller spaces. So as we move forward, it's really about flexibility in our retail layouts. So all the ground floor of this

project contains a large volume of retail. When we move forward with actually cutting it up for leasing, oftentimes we'll create smaller spaces within a larger bay of retail so that smaller tenants have an opportunity to come in. A lot of the transition from -- you'll see from online to brick-and-mortar sales, they need a small space first like at our South Shore Market, and then as their business grows, they'll take on more and more size. So as we develop our retail, it's all about creating the kind of spaces for them to start small and grow as their business grows or shrink as they may shift to online or other types of sales.

CHAIR WHALEN: Any more questions?

MR. ING: I have no more questions, but I want to note that there are three areas we want to cover next week. The first is the question regarding wind that was asked by Board Member Wei, the table regarding open space and some plan views associated with that requested by Board Member Waterhouse, and, finally, better visuals along the Halekauwila extension asked by Board Member Bassett.

EXAMINATION

BY VICE CHAIR WATERHOUSE:

Q And, also -- my question was also as far

1 as public facilities in regards to -- I just want to 2 focus on the Central Plaza. So how is that going to be put together? And I know on some of the pictures 3 that you have that some is open space, some is public 4 5 facilities from the master plan. So I just want to 6 see how that is all put together and the square 7 footage. 8 MR. ING: So you want to distinguish 9 between open space, public facilities as it relates 10 to the Central Plaza? VICE CHAIR WATERHOUSE: Right, right, 11 12 right. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. So we'll be ready to provide that next week Wednesday. They're working on 14 15 the plan images right now. They weren't able to 16 execute it between the start of the meeting and now, 17 but they're also going to include all of Land Block 1 18 and 2 in the imagery because --19 BY VICE CHAIR WATERHOUSE: 20 That's fine. 21 -- it helps to understand where all the 22 areas come from. 23 Yeah, because I know it's from -- the 24 nunc pro combines the Central Plaza. It's supposed 25 to go through then Block 1 and then Block 2?

1 Correct. So we'll provide that for those Α 2 two land blocks. But not -- Mr. Apo had mentioned streets, 3 and I'm thinking, no, that doesn't -- my reading of 4 5 the nunc pro is that streets cannot be included in 6 the Central Plaza. It can be included for other 7 public facilities, but it can't be included in the 8 Central Plaza? 9 Yes. So we'll segregate the two so we 10 have areas of both the streets and then the nonstreet 11 public facilities and open spaces. 12 Right, right, right. 13 That's actually what they're working on 14 in the moment because in the master plan approval, 15 they're blended a little bit --16 Yeah, and I notice --17 -- and we'll make it a little clearer for 18 the board --19 Good, good, good. 20 -- and the members to understand. 21 I think one of the pictures, the diagram 22 on page 18, I think the color's a little off of the 23 master plan addendum -- on the master plan addendum. 24 I think it should have said "pedestrian walkways" in 25 light color and "Complete Streets," and maybe that's

1 why Todd was thinking the same thing. 2 Yes. Based on your --Not the red. 3 If I may, based on your request, we'll 4 5 use that image to help explain the colors and where 6 they're coming from from the new master plan and 7 laid-out central plaza space. 8 Okay. That would be terrific. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY CHAIR WHALEN: I'd like to add to that list for 11 12 consideration and perhaps submittal of additional 13 information. Just on the cover letter, the 14 clarification of how you described the housing 15 market. "From a housing perspective, will it fill the void in Ward Village housing spectrum that 16 17 resulted from the wide acceptance of Waiea and Anaha? 18 Will it avoid overlapping with home offerings at Ke 19 Kilohana, Ae'o and A'ali'i?" 20 What does that actually mean in terms of 21 target marketing in higher end? 22 It really means -- I mean, as outlined in 23 the master plan approval, it's about providing a 24 variety of housing. And A'ali'i, as Todd Apo

mentioned, is selling very well. Ae'o, as of

25

recently, leases that have been made available for brokers or buyers that have now come in is now sold out. So the 466 homes adjacent to this building are no longer available for sale. So when we talk about specifically how it avoids overlapping, the homes in this building are really a follow-on to the Ae'o home size and type, you know, which saw great response, is now sold out. So the timing of really bringing this to market follows a home —— a building that is now no longer available for purchase.

Q Okay. So you're talking about overlapping marketing periods; right?

A Precisely. So the things that we modify, right, the home sizes, the finishes and the timing. So this one is able to follow on the sales of Ae'o, and the home sizes themselves are designed so that there's not a lot of overlap with the A'ali'i building that's near it as well.

Q And then the other thing of which I don't expect an answer at this moment, but since the way to satisfy reserved housing is somewhat undefined still, if the applicant would consider land dedication to satisfy — which would actually be formulated by the in-lieu fee, but translated in terms of the assessed appraised fair market value of the land as a means to

1 satisfy that. It could actually satisfy some future 2 reserved housing. I mean, it's just a thought to 3 bring back. You know, I don't expect an answer 4 today. 5 No, but I will point out that the 6 development agreement that was executed between HCDA 7 and Howard Hughes in 2010 outlined dedication of land 8 as one of the potential methods for meeting the 9 reserved housing requirement. So I think it was 10 addressed at that time, and I think we'd be open to 11 the idea as well. I think that's -- again, as we 12 mentioned, there's 64 that will be provided either 13 within the building or somewhere within the Ward 14 Village site. 15 Yeah. This might be in lieu of that 16 depending on the location and other things. 17 VICE CHAIR WATERHOUSE: I have a 18 question. 19 CHAIR WHALEN: Yes. 20 EXAMINATION 21 BY VICE CHAIR WATERHOUSE: 22 One other comment. On page 35 of Ko'ula 23 plan, there's the facility dedication table and open 24 space table.

Uh-huh, yes.

25

1 0 Okay. So on both, there's -- okay. 2 We'll just go with Table 5 for the facility dedication. Under the dedications, the very last one 3 is "Central Plaza, pending, 53,251" --4 5 Correct. А -- right? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 If you go over to open space under 9 "Pending Development Permits," you have Central Plaza 10 there also, the same square footage. And I don't 11 know if that means, which is great, you're going to 12 put 106,000 square feet there, or there was a mistake 13 there, but you can let me know later. 14 Yeah, if I can research that. Α 15 Okay. When you come back with the map. 16 On Wednesday, I think I can help identify 17 that area on the plan. There is -- and if possible, 18 I'd also like the opportunity to speak with staff 19 between now and then on that to help --20 The definition of open space and public 21 facilities is often a little confusing. So I'd like 22 the opportunity to get some help on that in the 23 presentation to the board and how that meets the 24 Mauka Area Rules' consideration of those spaces.

Simply put, oftentimes open spaces are also counted

25

as public facilities if they are dedicated. So an open space can be just an open space if it's not dedicated. But if it is dedicated as a perpetual public easement, it can be considered as both. It has to meet certain requirements, and that's why I'd ask the permission for potentially staff to assist with that -- me with that presentation.

CHAIR WHALEN: If there are no more comments or questions. I think I can ask HART if they have any questions.

MEMBER FANG: Oh, I have a couple questions.

CHAIR WHALEN: Oh, Wei.

EXAMINATION

BY MEMBER FANG:

Q Following Chair Whalen's question about the reserved housing that goes along with this development permit application, my question is if you guys have a sense of when you'll have some more information for us about whether the reserved housing will be included in the building, located elsewhere, where will it be located, or if you're leaning towards some other form of satisfying the reserved housing requirement?

A I think the short answer would be if

there's a potential desire from HCDA to look at, like, a land in lieu, I can't speak on the timing of that at the moment because that -- I just don't know how that would work. It's off of my head how long that would take to result in a housing unit with a land-in-lieu transaction. If it's included within the building, you know, our anticipation is, hopefully, following approval to get this project out to sale, as soon as the end of this year, early next year, and it would have, following the start of construction, a two and a half to three-year build-out. So if you think about that, you're looking at about four years before homes in this building would be available for move in.

Q Right.

A So if reserved housing is provided in it -- sorry -- it would be about four years.

Q By the end of the year.

If the land in lieu is not being considered, then by the end of the year -- are you saying by the end of the year, you'd know whether the 64 units would be included in the building or located elsewhere?

A I think we'd like to work on the specific language of that, but, again, if there are two

options, whether it's in the building or it's somewhere else, I think we should probably collaborate on the language of that in the decision and order so that it meets the expectations of HCDA and it's something flexible enough for us to execute. I can't speak right now of kind of the timing if it is not in the building because I don't know what other building it would go in off the top of my head at the moment.

EXAMINATION

BY MEMBER HASHA:

Q Are you anticipating it to go into the building?

A It can. We're about to launch sales soon. I can't say exactly when, but for reserved housing across the street at the A'ali'i project, which has 150 homes that are in that building, so following the release of those homes and the absorption of that, we'll have more information, I think, at that time about demand and the specific home types of demand that people are looking for in the reserved market. This project does include some smaller home sizes similar to the A'ali'i which we anticipate will have a lot of demand. So I think we'll have more information following that sale to

1 understand where best to place them, and specifically 2 more, it's about what home sizes and types folks are 3 in most need of. 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY MEMBER FANG: Then my other question actually has to do 6 7 with I think either in Exhibit Q or in the T-1, 2 and 8 3. Just out of curiosity, why is there a 4-foot to 9 4-foot, 6-inch height difference between the sidewalk 10 and the pedestrian promenade? Is there --Sure. It's a flood elevation. 11 12 It's what? 13 Flood elevation. So following -- in Α 14 2011, they modified the flood map for this area which 15 required us to raise the bottom floor of all of our 16 buildings to that elevation, and, unfortunately, the 17 existing roads and sidewalks are much lower. So that 18 creates a lot of these separations between the 19 existing sidewalks and what we build. 20 CHAIR WHALEN: I think someday, we're 21 going to have to think about elevating streets, I 22 mean, with sea level rise. 23 MEMBER FANG: We're not building then. 24 CHAIR WHALEN: We're not building then?

MEMBER FANG: There's lots of options in

25

1 that spectrum. 2 MR. ING: Creating a lake instead of a 3 park. CHAIR WHALEN: Any other questions or 4 5 comments? Okay. Now I'd like to -- so that 6 7 basically concludes your presentation? 8 MR. ING: Yes, it does. 9 CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Thank you. 10 Turning to the intervenor, I think you 11 mentioned earlier that you had no witnesses or 12 exhibits to present. Do you have any statement to 13 make or presentation to make? 14 MS. ORMAN: Yes. I'll be very brief. As 15 you said, we're not presenting any witnesses or 16 exhibits, and I don't need to repeat what was in our 17 motion or what Mr. O'Toole already said. We 18 understand that the Ko'ula footprint is not 19 overlapping with the intended HART easement areas, 20 but there may be some other impacts as a result of 21 the construction of the Ko'ula project that should 22 require communication and coordination with HART, and 23 our interest is keeping the projects from running 24 into each other, basically. 25 So our ask would be that the final

decision and order incorporate a requirement for communication and coordination with HART. And what we would anticipate there is stuff like schedule sequencing, access, traffic, utilities. There was a mention of a potential upgrade to the Queen Street water main, if that would be under the guideway area. Nothing -- nothing intended to delay or cause any issues, but just coordination and communication on things like future subdivisions of the TMK parcels, street closures related to construction, if they've got Auahi Street closed and we've got Queen Street closed, stuff that can create issues like that. that's the intent. CHAIR WHALEN: Construction management it sounds like mostly. MS. ORMAN: Yeah, exactly. Thank you. CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Does the applicant have a response to that? MR. ING: We'll take a look at that, and what we think is appropriate for communication and coordination, we would probably like to include that. We don't want a wide-open condition that just -where we have to run everything through them for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

their approval. That would just slow us down

forever. So I think something reasonable can be

worked on, and we hope HART would agree to that. 1 2 MS. ORMAN: That's the intent. 3 CHAIR WHALEN: Nuanced language. I'm sure the attorneys can work on that. 4 5 Okay. So is there any public testimony? 6 I think we've completely worn out the public. Anyone 7 here, you're welcome to testify. I don't see any hands being raised. 8 9 Okav. Then I think without further 10 public testimony -- if there's no further public 11 testimony, then on behalf of the HCDA Authority 12 members and staff, thank you for your attendance, and 13 this public hearing on the applicant's request for a 14 development permit for Ko'ula now stands adjourned. 15 The time is now only 5:17? 5:17 p.m. 16 (Hearing adjourned at 5:17 p.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 2.3 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAII)
3) ss. CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
4	
5	I, LAURA SAVO, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Hawaii, do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken down by me in machine shorthand at the time and place herein stated, and was thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision;
9	That the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcript of said proceedings;
10	I further certify that I am not of counsel
11	or attorney for any of the parties to this case, nor in any way interested in the outcome hereof, and that
12	I am not related to any of the parties hereto.
13	Dated this 17th day of June 2018 in Honolulu, Hawaii.
14	
15	s/s Laura Savo LAURA SAVO, RPR, CSR NO. 347
16	BHOME BILVOY KER, COR NO. 317
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	