1	HAWAII COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
2	STATE OF HAWAII
3	
4	In re:
5	The Application of )
6	VICTORIA WARD, LIMITED, )
7	a wholly owned subsidiary ) of HOWARD HUGHES )
8	CORPORATION, ) )
9	Applicant, )
10	To request a development ) permit, Permit Number ) KAK 19-069, to develop )
11	a mixed-use project, aka ) "Victoria Place," at 1100 )
12	Ala Moana Boulevard, ) TMK No. (1)2-3-001: 130 )
13	(portion) and 131.
14	/
15	PRESENTATION HEARING
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	
18	Wednesday, September 4, 2019
19	
20	
21	Taken at 547 Queen Street, Second Floor
22	Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
23	commencing at 1:00 p.m.
24	
25	Reported by: LAURA SAVO, CSR No. 347

1	APPEARANCES
2	John Whalen, Chairperson
3	Aedward Los Banos, Executive Director
4	Garett Kamemoto, Interim Director of Planning and
5	Development
6	Max Levins, Deputy Attorney General
7	
8	BOARD MEMBERS:
9	Chason Ishii
10	Jason Okuhama
11	David Rodriguez
12	Kevin Sakoda
13	
14	ALSO PRESENT:
15	For the Applicant:
16	J. DOUGLAS ING, ESQ. BRIAN A. KANG, ESQ.
17	Watanabe Ing LLP 999 Bishop Street, 23rd Floor
18	Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	<u>I N D E X</u>	
2		PAGE
3	Call to Order	6
4	Staff Report	11
5	Adjournment	111
6		
7	EXHIBITS ADMITTED FOR THE RECORD:	
8	HCDA Staff Exhibits A through L	11
9	Applicant's Exhibits A through AA	16
10		
11	WITNESSES FOR THE APPLICANT:	
12		
13	PAUL BREWBAKER, Ph.D.	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Kang	25
15	Cross-Examination by Member Sakoda 28,	30
16	Cross-Examination by Chair Whalen	29
17		
18	TODD APO	
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Kang	33
20	Cross-Examination by Chair Whalen	3 7
21	Cross-Examination by Member Sakoda	42
22	Cross-Examination by Member Rodriguez	43
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>INDEX (Cont'd)</u>	
2 3 4	WITNESSES FOR THE APPLICANT:	PAGE
5	RACE RANDLE	
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Kang	46
7	Cross-Examination by Chair Whalen	57
8	Cross-Examination by Member Sakoda	67
9	Cross-Examination by Member Ishii	69
10	Cross-Examination by Member Rodriguez	71
11		
12	CHAD TAKESUE	
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Kang	73
14	Cross-Examination by Member Rodriguez	76
15	Cross-Examination by Member Ishii	78
16 17	KIMI YUEN	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Kang	79
19	Cross-Examination by Member Sakoda	83
20	DAVID AKINAKA	
21	Direct Examination by Mr. Kang	8 4
22	Cross-Examination by Chair Whalen	89
23	Cross-Examination by Member Rodriguez	91
24		
25		

1	I N D E X (Cont'd)	
2		
3	WITNESSES FOR THE APPLICANT:	PAGE
4		
5	LEE CRANMER	
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Kang	92
7	Cross-Examination by Member Rodriguez 95,	97
8	Cross-Examination by Member Ishii	96
9	Cross-Examination by Member Sakoda	99
10		
11	CATHY LEONG	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Kang	100
13		
14	KEVIN GOTO	
15	Direct Examination by Mr. Kang	101
16		
17	MATT McDERMOTT, M.A.	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Kang	102
19		
20	PUBLIC TESTIMONY BY:	
21	Kioni Dudley	19
22	Michael Formby	21
23	Courtney Wilson	21
24	Mary Pat Waterhouse	22
25	Hina Wong	103

Wednesday, September 4, 2019, 1:00 p.m.

CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. I'd like to call to order the September 4th, 2019, public hearing of the Hawaii Community Development Authority. The time is now 1:00 p.m. Thank you for your attendance. My name is John Whalen, chair of the Authority.

Let the record reflect that the following
Kaka'ako members are present: Robert -- rather,
David Rodriguez, Jason Okuhama, Kevin Sakoda, Chason
Ishii and John Whalen.

So will counsel appear before -- make your appearance?

MR. KANG: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, members of the Authority, Brian Kang and Douglas Ing appearing on behalf of Applicant Victoria Ward, Limited.

CHAIR WHALEN: So today's hearing on

Development Permit No. KAK 19-069 is being held in

accordance with Hawaii Revised Statutes, Section

206E-5.6, Hawaii Administrative Rules 15-219, and the

vested Hawaii Administrative Rules, Chapter 15-22.

The nature of today's public hearing is to allow the applicant to present the proposed project and to provide the general public with the

1 opportunity to present oral and/or written testimony. 2 The applicant is Victoria Ward, Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of Howard Hughes Corporation. Tax 3 map key is (1)2-3-001, parcel 131 and portion of 130. 4 5 The project location is 1100 Ala Moana Boulevard, and 6 the request is for a development permit application 7 for a mixed-use project consisting of residential and 8 commercial components at 1100 Ala Moana Boulevard 9 at tax map key 2-3-002, parcel 131 and portion of 130. 10 11 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Chair, I think 12 there's an error in there. I think the tax map key 13 should be (1)2-3-001, not 2. 14 CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Yes, yes. If I had 15 looked up above, I would have read a different thing. 16 Okay. Yes. And we are still talking about commercial components? I'll just let that stand. 17 18 MR. KAMEMOTO: This is -- this is 19 residential only. 20 CHAIR WHALEN: Residential only. Right. 21 That's what the plans read. Yeah, so it consists of 22 residential components. 23 The proposed project consists of a 24 400-foot tower and 45-foot-high podium and will house 25 a mix of approximately 350 residential units and

required parking spaces. The project will provide approximately 15,590 square feet of open space and 84,104 (sic) square feet of recreational space. The applicant is proposing to provide at least 44 reserved housing units to be located off-site on Land Block F --

Is that correct?

-- Land Block F to satisfy the reserved housing requirements.

There were no modifications requested, and the application date was July 15th, 2019.

A public hearing was published in the Honolulu Star-Advertiser, Maui News, The Garden Isle, Hawaii Tribune-Herald on Tuesday, July 23rd, 2019.

An amended public hearing notice was published in Honolulu Star-Advertiser, Maui News, The Garden Isle, Hawaii Herald-Tribune -- Tribune-Herald, sorry, and West Hawaii Today on Tuesday, July 30th, 2019.

So let me briefly explain our procedures for today's public hearing. First, the HCDA staff will present its report summarizing the development permit application, and following that presentation, we will receive the presentation of the applicant, then testimony from the public. Only members of the Authority and the executive director will be

permitted to ask questions of the staff, applicant, intervenor or individuals providing testimony. I will be acting as the presiding officer of the hearing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Are there any questions about these procedures?

So we'll proceed with the --

Executive Director or staff, describe your exhibits that you wish to have admitted to the record.

So, Chair, the exhibits MR. KAMEMOTO: are listed at the end of the staff report. have Exhibits A through L. A being our completeness and automatic approval letter. B is notice of the public hearing. C is the amended notice of public hearing. D is the decision and order on the master plan. E is the master plan development agreement. is declaratory order relating to Condition No. 4 of the D&O. G is letters that satisfy Condition 5 of the D&O. H is our letters or letter satisfying Condition 10 of the D&O. J (sic) is documents and satisfaction of Condition 12. J is the comments on the specific project from government agencies that we have received. And K is written confirmation from SHPD, and L is the joint development agreement

approved by HCDA executive director and filed with the Bureau of Conveyances.

CHAIR WHALEN: Okay.

MR. KAMEMOTO: And for clarity, most of these letters are things that have been submitted every time the project comes up on this.

CHAIR WHALEN: Right. So, members, do you have any objection to the exhibits being offered by the executive director?

Okay. Does the applicant have any objections to the exhibits being offered?

MR. KANG: No objection, Mr. Chairman.

We'd just like to note for the record on the staff report, and I'm not sure if you can have the staff summarize the report, but it does appear that on pages 5 and 6 of the report on the State Historic Property Review, it refers to Block I which is — which is another project. And for purposes of the record, this is for Land Block 2, Project 3. So we just wanted to note that for the record. Thank you.

CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. This is the burial thing. Okay. Hearing no objections, but with that one correction to the staff report on the burial plan, the HCDA's Exhibits A through L are admitted to the record.

(HCDA Staff Exhibits A through L are marked for identification and admitted into evidence.)

CHAIR WHALEN: So the Interim Director of Planning of Development Garett Kamemoto will now do the staff report.

MR. KAMEMOTO: So on a very high level, this project is in the same space that the Gateway project that the board previously approved is located. And so the intent -- if you look at the application, the intent is once this project -- if this project is approved by the board, that the applicant will withdraw their Gateway project.

So as you'll see throughout the staff report, there's stuff where it subtracts — because you can't actually have two projects on the same site, so it subtracts out things that were given through Gateway and then, you know, adds back in the Victoria Place. So one of the big differences is this is a single tower, not two towers. So as a result, there is also an increase in open space that wasn't there before, but there are more units than have previously been contemplated.

So the net result of the reserved housing, which is the 20 percent, is that between

this and Ko'ula project which the board has previously approved, they would need to provide 108, in total, reserved housing units at an off-site site which they've identified on a future block, which I believe they said is Block -- is it F?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Yes.

CHAIR WHALEN: Yeah.

MR. KAMEMOTO: You know, as far as public facilities dedication, they've already -- they're using credits on this. So there's no public facilities specifically attached to this one.

And then they do have two front yards, one on Auahi Street and the other one is on Ala Moana Boulevard. So there's setbacks through that.

As you heard previously as far as the industrial bonus is concerned, they -- they do contemplate using it, but it's not specifically used in this project. And so where that industrial bonus comes into play is on the next project on this block.

Other than that, I'll take any questions that the board may have.

MEMBER ISHII: So just for clarification,

Garett, you're saying that the reserved housing

provided is going to be zero for this particular

project?

1	MR. KAMEMOTO: Not in this specific
2	building.
3	MEMBER ISHII: Right.
4	MR. KAMEMOTO: But they will have to
5	and we will suggest in the Decision & Order
6	conditions where they must provide the reserved
7	housing
8	MEMBER ISHII: For the Block F?
9	MR. KAMEMOTO: for the Block F.
10	MEMBER ISHII: Thank you.
11	CHAIR WHALEN: So the Decision & Order
12	for Ko'ula, that question came up in terms of the
13	time of delivery of reserved housing units and where,
14	and we still don't really
15	MR. KAMEMOTO: Well, in this
16	application
17	CHAIR WHALEN: have the time of
18	delivery?
19	MR. KAMEMOTO: In this application, they
20	do state that they're contemplating doing it together
21	with this project on Block F so that the Ko'ula would
22	be satisfied on Block F as well.
23	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. So it's concurrent
24	and construction would be at the same time or
25	MR. KAMEMOTO: They'd have to come in for

a separate permit on that.

CHAIR WHALEN: Okay.

MR. KAMEMOTO: But I think we can certainly ask the applicant as far as what their contemplated timing is.

CHAIR WHALEN: Oh, we will. Okay.

MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Chair, I have a

question. If you clarify that one, the industrial uses.

MR. KAMEMOTO: Okay. So they have an FAR of up to 3.5. If they go to 3.8, then they would have to use their industrial bonus, but by providing some industrial, they would be able to go to a higher density. But because the density on this block is not up to 3.5 yet, it's not applicable for this project. But if they come in with the next project, which would presumably be where the Ward Warehouse parking structure is, that they could not go as dense unless they were able to provide us with the industrial space.

CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. I think maybe before we get too much into Q and A over the executive director's report, I ask the applicant to describe the exhibits that you wish to have admitted to the record.

MR. KANG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just for purposes of the record, we noticed that the staff report letters -- the exhibits with alpha letters, and just for clarification, the applicant also used letters for its exhibits. So in terms of the applicant's reference to the letters, it would be to the applicant's exhibits unless otherwise noted.

One housekeeping matter, we did submit a first amended exhibit list yesterday that added four additional exhibits to our original exhibit list, and those added exhibits were X, Y, Z and AA, and I believe we requested the staff, if they could, provide you with those exhibits. And with that, the applicant moves to admit all of its exhibits in its first amended exhibit list into evidence. That will be Exhibits A through AA.

CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. That's quite a volume.

Okay. Members, do you have any objections to the exhibits being offered by the applicant? Hearing no objections.

Does staff have any objection to the exhibits being offered by the applicant?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: No.

CHAIR WHALEN: So hearing no objections,

1	the applicant, Howard Hughes Corporation
2	Exhibits A through AA?
3	MR. KANG: Yes, that is correct.
4	CHAIR WHALEN: A through AA are
5	admitted to the record.
6	(Applicant's Exhibits A through AA are
7	marked for identification and admitted
8	into evidence.)
9	Will you be calling witnesses as experts
10	in subject areas?
11	MR. KANG: Yes, Mr. Chairman. We will be
12	calling a number of witnesses and would like to
13	qualify them as expert witnesses in their respective
14	subject areas.
15	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. I think you have a
16	list of them here in one of the attachments.
17	Members, it's under a tab called "Witness
18	List." That's complete?
19	MR. KANG: Yes, that's correct,
20	Mr. Chairman.
21	CHAIR WHALEN: So there are 10
22	witnesses
23	MR. KANG: I'm sorry to interrupt. So a
24	number of these witnesses will be qualified or we
25	request that they be qualified in their areas of

expertise. That would be Kimi Yuen in urban planning, David Akinaka in architecture, Kevin Goto in civil engineering, Cathy Leong in traffic engineering, Matt McDermott in archaeology, Chad Takesue in real estate marketing, supply and demand, and Paul Brewbaker in economics.

CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Members, do you have any objections for the qualification of expert witnesses offered by the applicant?

Does staff have any objections to these witnesses?

Okay. So hearing no objections, the list of experts offered by the applicant is hereby accepted and qualified in their respective subject areas.

So with receipt of all the exhibits and list of experts, let's proceed with the hearing. So applicant's representatives, you can call your first witness.

MR. KANG: Mr. Chairman, before we call our first witness, we do have a suggestion. You know, our understanding is that there are some members of the public here today that may be testifying on the application possibly, and our suggestion is so that the members of the public don't

1 have to wait through everything. Subject to the 2 board's thoughts, if those members of the public could testify first, Howard Hughes would have, you 3 know, no objection to that as well. 4 5 CHAIR WHALEN: So they're testifying before they hear your application? 6 7 MR. KANG: Again, subject to the board's 8 thoughts on that. We're just thinking about timing 9 on that. 10 CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Members, do you 11 have any objections to that order, calling witnesses or, rather, testifiers? 12 13 That wouldn't include the witnesses. 14 That's just the people that are submitting testimony 15 voluntarily on their own? MR. KANG: That's correct, Mr. Chairman. 16 17 Just members of the public at this point. 18 CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. All right. So are 19 there folks wanting to testify on the application at 20 this point? 21 I think you're named as a witness. 22 There's a hand back there. I couldn't quite see. 23 Okay. 24 Sure. You can go to maybe that 25 microphone so you get recorded or at least it gets

recorded for the record. And since you're not a witness --

We don't have to swear him in?

DEPUTY AG LEVINS: No.

 $\label{eq:chair_cond} \mbox{CHAIR WHALEN: Just state your name for } \\ \mbox{the record.}$ 

DR. DUDLEY: Mr. Chairman, my name is Dr. Kioni Dudley, D-U-D-L-E-Y, and I'm here just on behalf of myself.

I'm very concerned about what's happening in Kaka'ako. We are -- you know, we've just experienced Hurricane Dorian ruining the Bahama islands. We expect that we're going to have a hurricane like that here too, and Kaka'ako is lying in the lowlands. This building will be in the lowlands. And so, you know, the city, we used to have an idea that we should move up to higher ground. Now we're saying, "No, we're going to raise the streets like they did in Miami, and we're going to bring in pumps and pump out the water," and all kinds of crazy things like that when, you know, common sense would tell us we should move up to the freeway with these buildings.

And so I'm here just to say who's going to pay for raising the streets? Who's going to pay

for the pumps? If people are crazy enough to move into this area and build high-rises, then they should be the ones, not your children, my children. You know, put the money and put some money now into a fund, and let it gain interest as time goes on so that when the time comes that we have to raise the streets, when the time comes that we have to pump out water, we'll have the money to do that, and we, the people of these islands, this island, will not have to pay for it.

So I ask you, you know, think very seriously now before you approve this building. Who is going to pay for these things? We need a fund, and the HCDA is the group to do it. Everybody who's built since the last nine years, it's been common knowledge that we're living in a flood zone. We know what's happening with hurricanes. I mean, all of this, rising sea level, this is something that we've known now for nine years. Anybody that's built here should be forced to pay into that fund. So I just ask you please have mercy on us, the people who live on this island. Don't make us pay for the foolishness of the people who are moving into this area. Thank you very much.

CHAIR WHALEN: Does anyone else wish to

testify?

MR. FORMBY: Good afternoon, Chair Whalen and board members. My name is Mike Formby, and I'm the executive director of Pacific Resource

Partnership, PRP. We are a market recovery trust fund for about 7,000 carpenters and 240 small, medium and large contractors. So I come today to testify in strong support of the applicant's request.

We're familiar with the Ward Master Plan. We've looked at the applicant's developments to date as well as the transformations that they've made to this community, pedestrian-friendly streets, Complete Streets, smart growth, open space, reserved housing, the economic benefits that they've brought to this state, including jobs, and we think that it would be good for this board to view this application favorably. So we strongly support. If you have any questions, I'm here to answer. Thank you.

CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Do board members have any questions?

Anyone else wish to testify? Yes?

MS. WILSON: Hi, my name is Courtney

Wilson, and I work as a business professional in the area of Ward Village. I support this project. I

believe that the introduction of this building, it's going to create more business in the area, and it's a strong support of local businesses. It's going to generate more, like, revenue. It's good for the economy of Hawaii. I feel that also the development of the area has brought in a safer area for families and kids. If you go to Ward Village, you see that it's a community area. It's a gathering area for people, and I feel that by bringing in more residents, it is going to generate more business for the shopping area in there and also is supporting local businesses. I stand in full support of the building of Victoria Place. Thank you.

CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Thank you.

Anyone else wish to speak? Oh, who are you?

Mary Pat Waterhouse.

MS. WATERHOUSE: Thank you, Chair and members of the committee, staff. I'm Mary Pat Waterhouse, and I'm testifying as a member of the community.

There's three major areas where I believe the developer's proposal deviates from HCDA's vision to ensure the district provides an economically and socially viable community that can provide a range of

public benefits. First is reserved housing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Reserved housing is not scheduled for building at the same time as the permitted project. The application does not propose a scheduled build They propose to build reserved housing at a later unknown date. It's difficult to understand how a large and successful, publicly traded company can submit this incomplete programmatic plan. reinforce why it's important that the reserved housing be built simultaneously with the other project units, we are well aware that there has been a problem -- significant problem in Honolulu's housing shortage, more specifically, the acute shortage of affordable housing. Therefore, it is imperative that all reserved housing be built and made available to the community simultaneously when the project is built.

Secondly, based on the review of the permit application and the master plan, it is unclear if the provisions of the nunc pro tunc order, the D&O for the master plan, that identified a dedicated, via a perpetual easement for public use, gathering area of 150,000 square feet to be built in the initial phases is being complied with. I believe that the developer should provide a programmatic schedule that

1 outlines their compliance with the provisions of the 2 master plan. 3 Also, it would be good if the developer explained how Victoria Ward Park, mauka and makai, 4 5 complies with the requirement to build a gathering 6 area of 150,000 square feet. 7 Lastly, the Auahi Street promenade. 8 developer needs to explain why this promenade was not 9 built in the initial phases of the development. 10 construction of this has not begun; although, it should have been built in the initial phases per the 11 12 master plan. 13 Thank you. I'd be happy to answer any 14 questions you may have. 15 CHAIR WHALEN: Members, any questions? 16 Thank you, Mary Pat. Anyone else wish to testify? I think the 17 18 applicants can proceed then. Call your first 19 witness. 20 MR. KANG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We 21 call Paul Brewbaker. 22 CHAIR WHALEN: Paul, if you could raise 23 your hand --24 THE WITNESS: Ηi. 25 CHAIR WHALEN: -- and affirm to tell the

1	truth in your testimony.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
3	CHAIR WHALEN: Thank you.
4	
5	PAUL BREWBAKER,
6	having been called as a witness
7	by the Applicant, affirmed to tell the truth
8	and was examined as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. KANG:
11	Q Mr. Brewbaker, can you please state your
12	name and place of employment, please?
13	A Paul Brewbaker, economist and principal,
14	TZ Economics.
15	Q Please describe your educational
16	background and experience.
17	A TZ Economics is a consultancy doing
18	corporate work, development impact analysis and
19	whatnot. My background is contained in a resume,
20	which has been provided and my back and research
21	on the Hawaii economy from an affiliation with Bank
22	of Hawaii and as a consultant for about 10 years now.
23	Q And how have you been involved in this
24	project?
25	A I've been involved off and on for about

five years now on various aspects of the master plan and then individual building proposals doing the economic impact analysis.

Q Please describe your analysis and conclusions with respect to this project, Victoria Place.

A So using the state's input-output model and a more detailed timeline and breakdown of development outlays, I estimate that the total development impact over a five- or six-year period accumulates to have \$772 million in present value, 2018 dollars, inflation-adjusted dollars. Among the components of the impacts are 254 million in workers' earnings, 49 million in state tax revenue, and 4,300 job years, one job per year, including 1,600 jobs in the first year of actual construction and 1,500 jobs in the second year.

So the profile looks something like this: We'd be heading into ramping up towards construction over the next year, if I understand the timetable correctly, and the economic impacts play out along a similar impulse response pattern.

Just a note that the employment impacts take into account labor-saving productivity growth. This is embedded in the DBEDT model, and I extend

their assumptions through the forecast arising for the project as a whole -- actually, for Ward Village and master plan as a whole.

There are the job impacts. So they'll have the same profile.

In addition to the construction and development impacts, I've calculated longer-term impacts over decades — two or three decades arising on the left side of this slide from maintenance and operations of the building itself, 296 million in present value of future real gross domestic product, 90 million in earnings, 18 million in tax revenue, and an average of 29 jobs per year taking into account productivity growth over the three decades. And then on the right side, a calculation which is not pertinent to the state per se, but about 70 million in present value of property tax revenues associated with the building.

I also point out that the timing of this particular phase of the Ward Village Master Plan development does provide potential countercyclical support for the Hawaii economy as we move into a period of relative uncertainty regarding the macroeconomic outlook.

MR. KANG: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Chairman, that's all the questions we 1 have. Mr. Brewbaker is available for questions. 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION 3 BY MEMBER SAKODA: 4 5 The last chart that you showed, is it just for Victoria Place? 6 7 Just for Victoria Place. 8 Okay. And you're showing it from 2024 9 when it's scheduled to be completed? Correct -- 2054. 10 Α 11 And you're using some type of assumption 12 about resident versus nonresident? 13 Yeah. I used the state's -- it should be Α 14 in the footnote, which I have to switch glasses to 15 read, which I haven't referenced here. So, you know, 16 DBEDT has a study -- a recently posted study breaking 17 down the proportion of resident and nonresident tax 18 revenues. And so I used their assumption. If I 19 recall correctly, I assume that home prices rise at 20 the inflation-adjusted real rate of increase of the 21 Federal Housing Finance Administration's housing 22 value -- you know, broad housing valuation index 23 which includes sales transactions as well as 24 appraised values.

You're using too the new nonresident

25

1	taxes; correct?
2	A So the calculation includes the Resident
3	A. Is that the one you're talking about, the
4	surcharge?
5	Q It just increased quite a bit.
6	A Pardon me?
7	Q It just increased quite a bit; is that
8	correct?
9	A I was not aware of that. So I used
10	whatever the state has published in their report.
11	So just out of curiosity, did they change the
12	threshold
13	Q I believe
14	A or just the rate?
15	Q over the last two years or so.
16	A I'm sorry. Did they change the threshold
17	or the rate?
18	Q The rate.
19	A Just the rate. So as more and more homes
20	pile into the above-million category, a larger
21	proportion of homes. Oh, interesting. So this is an
22	underestimate.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY CHAIR WHALEN:
25	Q Dr. Brewbaker, have you ever looked at

the total of property tax revenue on an annual basis that originates from Kaka'ako redevelopment? I'm just curious.

A No, I haven't. In fact, so I have done some work for the -- for the developer on the master plan, as a whole, five years in. We did -- I did the first cut five years ago and then revisited it. I don't have those results with me. So I've done their whole project.

At the first round, I used Hokua as sort of the benchmark for valuations. This time I've used the developer's own assumptions regarding sales revenues as the starting point, and then I appreciated the same rate of increase that we've seen on Oahu for the last four years, adjusted for inflation, and then I discounted 3 percent.

CHAIR WHALEN: All right. Thank you.

Any other questions of the witness?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MEMBER SAKODA:

Q I've also seen some of your previous reports, and I think -- I didn't read it actually, but I remember being kind of attracted to the flood or the rising sea level analysis that you've done. I think for the Board of Realtors, there was some other

report. I wasn't there. So I don't know.

A Yeah. They get around. I did one at the request of the Coastal Zone Management unit of DBEDT and about a year and a half ago --

Q My question is, first, do you have any comments as far as that impact to this project?

A You know, I have actually raised it with the developer and have been assured that they've taken those things into account in their engineering and whatnot. So it's not really my kuleana. I'm done -- I'm actually -- I'm actually -- I have to leave. I have to catch a plane. I'm going to Maui to talk about this on Maui. But I've done this once for the coastal zone people, and then a year ago, I did some more work for the Climate Change Commission at Makena Coffman and Chip Fletcher's request. So I'm familiar with the issue.

example, you know, raised some questions about resilience investment and whatnot, and part of why I've been attracted to thinking about what these properties are worth in present values to think about, if we've got to bank a big infrastructure investment over the next generation, then, you know, kind of like your question, Director Whalen, you

1 know, we line up what the asset pool looks like, 2 think about the present value and do that asset allocation rather than just -- you know, right now we 3 do it kind of year to year, and we're starting to 4 5 think about, okay, Kioni's raised a point. We need 6 to deal with that. Where is that revenue going to 7 come from? He makes another good point. If you live 8 9 beachfront, that risk is on you. That's not on -- I 10 live mauka because I'm a peasant, but I ain't paying for you -- you know what I mean -- when you do 11 building. So there are a bunch of these issues that 12 13 come up, and I think thinking in terms of these 14 valuations is a good starting point. 15 CHAIR WHALEN: Any other questions? 16 Thank you. 17 THE WITNESS: Thanks, you guys. 18 CHAIR WHALEN: Have a good trip. 19 THE WITNESS: Thanks. 20 MR. KANG: Mr. Chairman, our next witness 21 is Todd Apo. 22 CHAIR WHALEN: Say something. You 23 affirm --THE WITNESS: I affirm to tell the truth. 24 CHAIR WHALEN: -- to tell the truth? 25

1 THE WITNESS: I do. 2 3 TODD APO, having been called as a witness 4 5 by the Applicant, affirmed to tell the truth and was examined as follows: 6 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. KANG: 9 Mr. Apo, can you please restate your 10 name, place of employment and position, please? 11 Thank you. Todd Apo, senior vice 12 president of community development for Ward Village, 13 the Howard Hughes Corporation. 14 Can you please summarize the background 15 of this project, Victoria Place, and how it fits into the Ward Village Master Plan? 16 17 Certainly. And I'll keep this brief Α 18 because a lot of this is gone over in the staff 19 report and in the application. But as you're aware, 20 this existing site was approved by HCDA for what was 21 called the Gateway project. We announced last year 22 in May that we were not going to go forward with 23 that, and we spent the last about 12 months 24 replanning that area.

What you've heard us talk about and I

25

think why we're really excited to bring the application forward is the fact that it takes two towers, converts -- consolidates it into one but is able to not only keep the same number of homes, but actually increase that. So as we talk about sustainability, the shortage of housing supply throughout the spectrum of housing levels, this is able to provide more homes with fewer land take-up, which has allowed us to expand the park.

So as you're aware, the Gateway project was two towers with a relatively small open space in between them. You'll hear discussed in more detail as we go through the project, that space has opened up with the removal of the tower. We've also been able to realign that so it matches up with the mauka section of the park. If you look back at some of the old plans, those two sections didn't really match up. They sort of zigzag through there. So this has really improved the open space within the area.

It's with that that we see the importance of what this project is, Victoria Place. The chance — the right time to use the name Victoria Ward as part of the naming of a building. It's something that we've talked with not only the cultural descendants, but her descendants, her family

over the years. And so they decided this is really a good project right along the park, right up on the front row somewhat center of the overall project to honor Victoria Ward alongside Victoria Ward Park. So that's really how this project fits in with the overall master plan and how we're moving forward.

Q Can you just briefly summarize or just give a brief update on the Ward Village community and how the evolution of Ward Village has influenced Victoria Place?

A Certainly. And, hopefully, you all had a chance to go through the community. I really talk about the fact that these last two years has really been the evolution of the community of Ward Village. Before two years ago, a lot of the construction — new things weren't really up yet, but over these past two years, it's a really significant change. It's been changed not because we have residents now living in Ward Village and the broader Kaka'ako, but all those, whether they're kama'aina living on the island or visitors from Waikiki, coming to Ward Village have a very different experience than what existed two years ago. It's been the effort of us, of Kamehameha and their master plan and, obviously, working together with HCDA. So that community development

has been very important.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think you've heard us since our new president, Simon Treacy, came in almost two years ago now talking much more about that ground-level community, and I think you're seeing that truly play We continue to be involved in the community not out. just in that development, but things like with the opening of the park, we've moved Kona Nui Nights, what used to be courtyard cinema but now outdoor cinema, and yoga classes from a hardscape sort of back area of the IBM building into that park. we've increased attendance in all of those community They're free community events that we put events. on, and we've seen that increase in attendance and some enjoyment by the public because it's now out in this open space.

Our Ward Village Foundation, we're up past \$2 million in grants to nonprofits within the community. We continue to volunteer with various nonprofit communities, but that's all part of that community activity, that community growth that's happening. One that we love to talk about and highlight is we've been able to partner with Kupu, and we know they've been in front of this board for their project. But being able to be both an initial

donor as well as a close-out donor for their capital improvements, and what they're doing within this community right within our area on the makai end of the harbor and helping youth in their efforts is really what has been able to grow not just our Ward Village 60 acres, but the entire community within the area. So it's something that we're committed to, and as we move forward with each project, and today we move forward with Victoria Place, making sure that fits, making sure that it's not just a building, making sure that it's part of the community that we're a part of developing and take that responsibility to make sure those changes and that development happen correctly.

Q All right. Thank you, Mr. Apo. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That's all the questions we have.

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY CHAIR WHALEN:

Q Todd, I'm glad to hear you mention the importance of having ground-level pedestrian activity and how the designs are trying to promote that. And looking back on the master plan that was approved, there are many references, particularly to the Auahi Street Promenade as an active space. It was kind of like the spine -- principal spine that Ala Moana

Boulevard was kind of diminished in terms of pedestrian value because it's not going to be like a retail street. But it seems like the plan envisioned Auahi Street as a retail street or at least have some commercial activity. And there are many references throughout the master plan or at least the Decision & Order, the master plan. I won't read all of them out, but they talked about having that kind of interaction between the pedestrian and the building. And the plan as submitted on that facade, it's a blank facade. There is no activity coming either in or out of the building for pedestrians. So it kind of turns its back on what was supposed to be a principal street.

So part of the application in the appendix actually does show an earlier version not that long ago, about six months ago, that had commercial space. I think it was a cafe on a portion of that facade and also an outdoor cafe, actually, that was fronting adjacent to the sidewalk, which actually does seem to fill that idea of having an active pedestrian corridor along Auahi Street. And I know that the plan is to invest quite a bit in landscaping the street, but it also needs activity along the street.

Can you explain or maybe someone else could maybe explain why that change was made to eliminate commercial use and activity along that facade of Auahi Street?

that Race will expound on the details around that as he gets into the building design. I'll start by saying I don't believe it turns its back on that idea of Auahi Street being a promenade. We continue to be committed to that. What we have seen is we've gone through the development and seen this evolution of use and how retail works is that it needs to be properly focused. And so there's -- that's one thing. The other part that plays into that is just some of the community feedback being that we continue to take as we've opened up the park and the activity.

So one thing you'll see, and this is a comparison for when we were here for the Ko'ula project, we talked about the importance of the building being right up against the park, and the building dropping down in that commercial activity that exists between the mauka park and the building. What we've realized is we've talked to not only the community, but in talking with retailers and seeing what makes successful retail is that we couldn't

spread out that retail all over the place. And there was a community desire to not have the kind of really active park that exists on the mauka side with the community events I mentioned and that connection to retail that will exist with Ko'ula and Block H on the other side. To find a different experience that will still be a major promenade on the makai side, while it's not commercial based, we've taken that makai portion of the park and made it a very tranquil, a relaxing, almost this well-being element. have really two different elements of a park, two different experiences within the same area, a very active retail-quided makai side and a tranquil, calm mauka -- sorry -- mauka side and a tranquil makai side. And that's where the design element of Victoria Place goes right along into that. That as opposed to activating that makai section, it is an area where people will want to go as a pedestrian promenade and just relax. Just have green space.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You'll see it in the exhibits and in the application that as you drive along Ala Moana

Boulevard, that makai section of the park is going to provide a green space, a banked-up green space. And I thought about that. You know, if you drive Ala Moana Boulevard, I don't think there's anywhere that

you have green space on the mauka side of Ala Moana Boulevard from the airport viaduct through till you get to Waikiki. And so all of this is an important part of that pedestrian experience, that ground-level experience.

ever said that that promenade was going to be purely commercial based. So we found a way to create or provide different ways for pedestrians to enjoy Auahi Street while one of the factors, making sure that retail could be successful. And, again, spreading out retail to both sides of Auahi Street probably didn't make a lot of sense. Concentrating it within the active part of the park is where that headed.

So that's my initial response to the question. I think we stay fully in line with what the activation in the master plan contemplated of Auahi Street being a pedestrian promenade. It's just a different experience and not commercial all the way through.

Q Okay. I'll ask somebody else. You don't have to carry the whole burden here.

A Thank you.

CHAIR WHALEN: Anybody else?

25 ///

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MEMBER SAKODA:

Q I have a question. You know, just living in the area and kind of looking forward based upon your plans, Howard Hughes's plans in the Ward community that you bring up, I always feel like we need a school or we need something like that down there to bring the younger kids in. Are there any plans to work around that area so that, you know, younger families with younger kids kind of have a viable school platform to work on?

building a school, but know that we are in close touch and continue to talk with DOE about what the needs and demands are, and one of the discussions we've been going through with them is how many children, how many families come out of condominium living. And I think taking that sort of big high-level step back, urban living is still something that we all, as Hawaii residents, are learning through; right? So it's going to take some time for that to develop, but that planning needs to be there.

There is a school planned within the Kaka'ako area. I think it's at 690 Pohukaina. So tracking all that from a long-term standpoint is

something that's being looked at. We also talked to our residents and those in the area about what are those needs. Are people feeling like schools are too far away or not accessible? So we'll continue to track those and make sure that that type of infrastructure from a social, educational standpoint exists.

also gone as far as talking with potential charter schools and Hawaiian immersion charter schools. This opportunity to honor Victoria Ward and that fact that she only allowed Hawaiian to be spoken in her house at the time of the overthrow is really a unique potential connection to bring in and partner with a Hawaiian immersion school to bring that element of education in this well. So know that we continue to look at it. It's just there isn't any specific plans on it yet.

CHAIR WHALEN: Any questions?

MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Chair.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MEMBER RODRIGUEZ:

Q I know the development calls for reserved housing in another building. My question is more geared to gentrification and, as an equity issue, how

would you articulate that? Because you guys -- I know you do areas ultra luxury, luxury and then all of a sudden we've got reserved in another building. How do you integrate the equity because it's all gentrified that way?

A Two initial things come to mind. One, we've mixed it. So we did build Ke Kilohana which opened with 375 reserved homes. But as you know from 'A'ali'i, those 150 will be actually in that building as well and combined. And I've said here I think in front of the board before, we're looking at which model looks best. There are pros and cons of it. What we are seeing in the Ke Kilohana model is that it's working very well in that if you put --

It's gotta be the right building where you integrate the reserved housing into the building. The reason for that is maintenance fees are still a reality of being able to afford to live somewhere. While someone may be able to afford the initial purchase, being able to afford the continued maintenance fees become an issue. So we don't want to build a development and come up -- put a plan in front of you for reserved housing that brings potential failure to those that are going to live there.

I think, hopefully, you've also seen and we're glad to tour you if you'd like, but if you see how Ke Kilohana is built, again, it's been described to me as one of the best, nicest amenitized reserved housing buildings that exist. So know that all of our buildings, whether they're a luxury building, a market building or reserved housing building, is always going to be built to a standard of Ward Village. And so while the word "gentrification" comes up, it's very much a part of the Ward Village experience, and we're going to make sure that there's a standard level that will always exist through those buildings. CHAIR WHALEN: Any questions? You're free. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: MR. KANG: Our next witness, Mr. Chairman, is Race Randle. THE WITNESS: Excuse me just a moment while I get connected. Thank you. CHAIR WHALEN: Do you affirm? THE WITNESS: I affirm. CHAIR WHALEN: You affirm to tell the truth. Thank you. THE WITNESS: I do. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# RACE RANDLE,

having been called as a witness

by the Applicant, affirmed to tell the truth

and was examined as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANG:

Q All right. Mr. Randle, for the record, can you please restate your name, place of employment and position, please?

A Sure. My name is Race Randle. I'm senior vice president of development for the Howard Hughes Corporation, Victoria Ward, Limited.

Q Mr. Randle, if you can just please summarize your written testimony that's been submitted for this proceeding.

A You know, in summary, and I'll walk through the presentation that outlines the project in detail. I thought I'd do that floor by floor to answer some of the questions that come up in the application itself. But in general, the project continues our compliance with the master plan approvals and all the prerequisites that were required when we started in 2010 following its approval.

This project, as Todd Apo mentioned, is

very unique for us because it's actually a change of a previously approved project, and I'll walk through some images to help understand that difference. And in summary, we think it's going to be very additive to the neighborhood. I look forward to its approval and bringing it to market soon so that we can follow on with the development of the infrastructure and community areas of the ground plane that support it.

I thought I'd just walk through a few slides, as I mentioned, that help articulate the elements of the building in detail. I'll start, most importantly, with the name. As Todd mentioned, very important for us to use Victoria Ward's name in a perfect location. We thought adjacent to Victoria Ward Park is very fitting.

You know, and this came up before: Who is Victoria Ward, Limited? You know, often in the press, it's a Texas-based company. But now with over 100 local employees, some of which have been here for decades, you know, we have the pleasure of working with a very local team who's here committed to developing the entire neighborhood.

The transformation has been significant, and included in your packet are some of these images that walk through what the neighborhood both looked

like and, as this image shows, what it looks like today. We're now with over the large proportion of our projects that are either construction -- constructed or in construction. Over 1,300 homes already delivered. Over 1,300 under construction today. And this project follows along with bringing more homes to the market at a variety of price points in the urban core.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Victoria Place is shown here in red located along Ala Moana Boulevard between Auahi and Ala Moana. And I'll show this image as well because one thing that we do is we plan not only the buildings, but the neighborhood to keep in context everything that's happening around it. It was mentioned just recently of an elementary school. Just a few weeks ago, we met with the developer of that school to understand where they are. And the great news is that they have funding. The state legislature's approved initial funding for the design and construction of that. I have elementary school-aged kids, and I live in the neighborhood myself. So I'm here to see how that progresses and hopefully gets done in time for my family to partake in it.

But really at the end of the day, this

project, as I mentioned, is important because it's a big change. What this image shows is a high-level massing of what existed under the approved Gateway project. And we spent about six months really looking at the planning of this area to find out a way where we could still develop the floor area that supports the economics and supports the build-out of the neighborhood, but do it in a way that provides more open space. We kept hearing over and over in all of our community outreach, especially from those that are active in the communities, they wanted to see more green space. Not just plazas and hardscape, but green areas. So this change that we've implemented to consolidate the two footprints of the Gateway project dramatically changes the ground plane.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Those images are actually from our master plan approval that focused on view corridors mauka-makai. Now, not only are these somewhat, in some cases, narrow corridors, but extremely wide now, wide and open to the ocean that you can see deeper into the neighborhood and into the community because we've separated the distance between the towers and created a wider open space. That, in effect, allows us to make this big transition to a wide, green space

at the ground level.

I want to -- I want to focus on this. It was provided in our exhibits. This is actually a watercolor rendering done by General Growth Properties as they were doing the master plan originally. This is of the view looking down that central plaza; right? And if you look closely, what you see is, predominantly, it was made up of roads. Their desire -- at the time, the thought was to get as many vehicles throughout the neighborhood as possible so they could drive by retail and support retail. But it was very much a hardscape environment. It was roads. It was concrete, and it was asphalt.

The feedback we got as we got into planning the center areas, we already have a lot of asphalt; we already have a lot of concrete. We need to change that. And this is actually an image taken from that same angle. So the first iteration of our central plaza or Victoria Ward Park is exactly that, to start that transformation, peeling back the asphalt and concrete and turning it into a green space.

As we talked about earlier today, with the Ko'ula project under construction, starting to

widen that park space up to the building and create a more open green space that's very programmable on this mauka portion so it can be home to events and activities that support the retail adjacent to it.

Victoria Place from a price standpoint, since that was mentioned, is really in line with our opportunity to bring a diversity of price points to market simultaneously. The four projects on the left that have already been completed cover that various strata of price points from the luxury category to workforce. All of those have been completed and are now in residence. Under construction, we have a market and upper market project, as we refer to it, and 'A'ali'i includes 150 reserved housing units within it as well.

Victoria Place is a relatively straightforward design, beautiful and elegant. It doesn't require any modifications. The podium for this is under the 45-foot height limit. It's 350 homes. It's very well integrated with the open space next to it. As you see, it actually terraces down to the park. It also exceeds the amount of open space required, the recreation space required, and based on the tables that were in there and in the staff report, we're currently exceeding what's required

from a public facility standpoint as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The 375 units already completed at Ke Kilohana are important to mention because when this project was the Gateway project, Ke Kilohana actually was built to satisfy the requirement of the Gateway project. So a portion of the reserved housing for Victoria Place has already been built and Because the unit count increased from is occupied. the Gateway project, which was 236 units, to Victoria Place at 350, that additional unit count does require additional reserved housing to be built in compliance with the rules. And as noted in the staff report, we've identified at least one area that we feel will be perfect for a large-scale reserved housing project. In addition, we're also looking at other sites that could be possible for smaller reserved housing developments throughout our neighborhood. own parcels mauka of Queen Street that are small and very convenient for smaller housing projects. continue to look at the best opportunity, but we have identified Block F as our priority location for a large-scale development.

I talked about the comparison a lot between Gateway and Victoria Place. There is a table in the application that compares side by side some of

the key metrics of the two projects and some of the visuals. But, you know, as Todd pointed out, what we flagged as we looked at our master plan was when we tried to fit three towers along our front row shown here, the two Gateway projects and the one to the west of it, it created a relatively small open space at the ground level, and there really wasn't much to do about that because you need a podium to support parking for each building. The solution we found was to consolidate the floor area and the footprints of those three projects into two, eliminating one entire footprint and creating a more efficient -- two more efficient projects. In this case, creating more open space. Going from the previous design -- this is actually a subdivision plan with an overlay of the Gateway project. And shown in green is that public facilities area that has already been dedicated as part of the Gateway project of approximately 35,000 square feet.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As we look at the new design, which is two towers, and the ability to create that wider open space, we need to finalize the exact dimensions on the western boundary because we haven't yet designed the Block B project, but we anticipate that site will now be about 60,000 square feet. So almost twice as

large of public facilities area between the two buildings.

And that's why, as you look at the cover of this application, the big story about this project for us is that it now provides more public open space, dramatically more, than we could previously.

And as you walk up through the building -- I'll go through this floor by floor to understand in detail, but we've tried to carry that connection to nature and connection to open space up through the amenity deck. This is a bird's-eye view looking down the tower. So the tower is located in the center of the podium, which is green spaces on both the Ewa and Diamond Head side. And as you terrace down to the Victoria Ward Park on the left-hand side of the image, on the west side, actually, the podium steps down to a lower-level lobby and open space garden area before you get to the park.

That's it articulated in the landscape plans in the packet on the ground level that follows that passive landscape area on the Ewa side of the project. It also shows the planting plans for both the mauka side and the makai side along Ala Moana Boulevard.

The site plan in the packet also shows the setbacks being met. So we have setback requirements on Auahi and Ala Moana because those are considered front yards. As shown in purple here are the two front yard setbacks being met. We worked with HCDA staff to confirm what can and cannot be in those spaces in order to confirm compliance. And then this blue line across is that tower setback. There is a requirement along Ala Moana Boulevard that all towers are set back 75 feet, which we were able to meet on this project.

As you continue up starting at the ground floor, a very efficient parking layout for the residents on levels 1, 2 and 3 with level 3 starting to terrace back from Ala Moana Boulevard in compliance with our setbacks. And then again finishing on level 4 followed by the amenity space on the fifth floor.

The tower is designed as 10 units, 10 homes per floor, with a mix of one-, two- and three-bedroom units, and it's within the footprint requirements of 16,000 square feet, and it's oriented in the mauka-makai direction. It meets the setbacks from the adjacent tower. It's over 300 feet from Waiea. And also meets the orientation requirements

set forth in the Mauka Rules.

This image also in your packet is a section through the building. That's just to articulate it's in compliance with the setback requirement. And because it's come up previously, this question about how the buildings meet the ground, especially as Todd mentioned, we want to create a passive, relaxing, open space environment. So what this image shows is if you're standing kind of at Kewalo Basin looking mauka at the building across Ala Moana Boulevard, you see how the building actually steps back down in height as it meets Victoria Ward Park going from a four story of a parking facility and amenity deck down to a one-story lobby and then the park space.

We did create a rendering to give you an idea of what that looked like along Ala Moana as we build out the project and Victoria Ward Park, and you can see here that green space transformation from what exists today to the project. You know, one of the key ideas that came up in working with our planners and our landscape architects is this idea of creating a small berm along Ala Moana Boulevard within the park so that the public, as they're in the park space, they don't have the cars zooming right by

1 at the same level and there's some separation from 2 that, especially with families playing with small So that's what's shown in this design. 3 children. 4 I'll end on this image and then open to 5 all the questions that you may have. But, again, a 6 very -- I think a very exciting project for us 7 focused on the open space that gets much larger, 8 creating a very efficient building, and meeting the 9 market with additional product for sale based on the 10 success most recently with our Ko'ula and 'A'ali'i 11 projects. And the fact that we're basically sold out 12 on everything else, we need to continue to bring 13 projects to market so that we can meet that demand 14 and meet our requirements to build out the master 15 plan within the deadline that's set for us. 16 MR. KANG: All right. Thank you, 17 Mr. Randle. 18 For purposes of the record, Mr. Chairman, 19 the slides that Mr. Randle was referring to are 20 substantially on Exhibit V, as in Victor, as well as 21 the application, Exhibit A. 22 CHAIR WHALEN: All right. Thank you. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION 24 BY CHAIR WHALEN: 25 I'd like to have -- continue my question

that I presented to Todd Apo, which is --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't want to seem like the design strategies that you outlined are unappreciated. mean, I think there are many good aspects to it. I get back to the Auahi Street frontage because it's such a key part of the plan. It's one of the four pillars, basically, of the plan is to create an active -- not just a landscape promenade, but an active landscape promenade along Auahi Street. And some of the frontage along Auahi Street will be park. I mean, there's a future Diamond Head park planned that would essentially vacate what is now retail use at that end, you know, where Ward Center is located. But it -- the discontinuity, I think, between the active retail or commercial use along that promenade, I think, is actually going to go counter to the idea of creating an active environment, pedestrian environment all along Auahi Street.

Looking at the -- on the Diamond Head side of that building, of course, it's the Waiea project, and it is in effect a blank wall right now. I mean, it's elevated. It's -- there's landscaping in front of it, but there's no activity there.

There's a restaurant above. I think it -- is it still open, Nobu's restaurant? But as far as the

street itself, the sidewalk itself, there's really very little activity there. No reason for people to go there. And this would just -- by creating another essentially solid wall along the frontage to the west on the Ewa side, I think would just create a long stretch of the street that is not really an active streetscape. And it wouldn't have been as big a deal if the idea of Auahi Street hadn't been such a central part of the urban design strategy that was outlined in the plan. And especially since, when I mentioned earlier, there was a plan about -- a conceptual plan about six to eight months ago that was presented. It was in Appendix D. It was 100 percent concept design. It was an attachment to a letter from Ron Ho Associates, and it showed a restaurant reuse along part of that frontage and, in addition, an outdoor eating area along the sidewalk, which seems guite consistent with --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I mean, it wasn't the entire frontage that was covered with retail use, but it was a place that had at least some commercial activity and exposure to the street because there was a blazing or, you know, it was a window along the front.

So I guess my question, again, I think is why was that plan changed from a concept plan that

was just a few months old basically and then, roughly, it changed so that there is really no active use along the Auahi Street frontage?

A So I mean at a high level, right, we're allowed to develop approximately a million square feet of commercial use in Ward Village. Almost half of that exists today. We're over 50 percent complete on the commercial development. And so we need to be very cautious about where and how we plan to build out the next 500,000 square feet so that it is successful.

As we're seeing, and we work with experts in the industry, both our in-house leasing and also local and mainland consultants that monitor the retail environment, we need to be very careful about where we place it because if we place it in a spot that is not supported long term by footfall, by the parking it needs, by the visibility it needs, it won't be successful, and that does no one any good to have vacant retail space.

So what we've done in a lot of our plan and evolution was about agglomerating retail near the resources that it needs to be successful, whether that's parking -- I mean, take, for instance today, right, there's a Starbucks on the corner. We own

two; right? We have one at the theater, and we have one at Ward Gateway Center. The amount of business that's done by the Ward Gateway Center is in an order of magnitude higher than is done at the other center. Why? Because there's easy, convenient surface parking adjacent to it that's free as opposed to the paid Starbucks parking down the street only half a mile in front of the Kamehameha School SALT project. I mean, it's elements like that of convenient parking that need to be taken into account for retail to be successful.

We have constant metrics that are being provided by our restaurants that exist today. Nobu, as you mentioned. Merriman's. Two great new restaurants. Rinka, another one. So we look at cuisines and what those restaurants need to be successful, whether it's valet locations or convenient parking.

As it sits today, and one of the reasons that this project was refined from a retail standpoint, is we really need to focus that foot traffic and that heat around the mauka portion of the park where the Ko'ula project has over 30,000 square feet of retail under construction, 'A'ali'i with over 15,000 square feet of new retail, and the theater,

which is the No. 1 theater in the state and it's generating, you know, millions of visits a year. But getting people to cross the street from those uses to something else, unless it's another few hundred thousand square feet of retail, you never get to the critical mass that really supports making it a destination that's supportable long term.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So at a high level, what we've done is we've evolved this idea of the promenade in working with staff as well on the Ko'ula project. You know, we have this requirement to narrow Auahi Street. Okay. If you do that, where is the best place for the maximum amount of people to go? And because we have the bulk of our retail on the mauka side of Auahi Street, we've created this wide, great promenade that even exists today. I mean, if you go down in front of Nordstrom Rack, for instance, you'd see where we created these nice plaza spaces around the retail. It generates the footfall. It generates the dwell time, and people are comfortable there. want to continue that all the way down the street on the mauka side of Auahi all along the hundreds of thousands of square feet of retail we have.

We don't think it works well both from a project standpoint and a master plan standpoint to

try to bifurcate that and take a small portion of that foot traffic and put it on the makai side. What we do feel is the right thing to do, and it's represented in this plan, is to create a diversity of experience. I live in the neighborhood, and I'm there every day. So I know how important it is sometimes to just disconnect and not constantly be sold something, you know, as you walk down the street. And so this idea that really came out of community feedback was in addition to bigger open spaces, create these passive spaces where I can just relax, where I can be in the city in the urban core, but walk down a quiet landscaped area. So we see this as an opportunity to do that, to not just have a park that's the green space, but actually to have a relatively calm environment connecting people to it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then right across the street, if
you'd like to purchase a coffee or go to one of the
many restaurants or the theater in the entertainment
district, you have a wide promenade that is designed
specifically to work with that large amount of
footfall that's coming from future mass transit and
the parking structures that are there to support it.

It's a long-winded answer, but there are a lot of variables at play as we look at really where

do we lay out retail within our limit of million square feet that will maximize long-term success.

Q Well, just looking at the ground floor plan as it's been presented in the application, it's dedicated almost entirely to parking. And it seems like there was a decision — because the amount of parking exceeds by 128 parking spaces what the requirement is. So it looks like there was a decision to eliminate a commercial use or an active-pedestrian use in favor of parking. One good feature of that parking was the drop-off space. I mean, that was probably well suited for a restaurant. People are being driven in.

It seems that making that trade-off for available space in a building from an active-pedestrian use or pedestrian-oriented use, which is an eating establishment at the ground level in favor of parking, is actually the antithesis of a pedestrian-oriented environment just as it's favoring the car over pedestrian and other modes of travel. So I just was curious about why that decision was made to eliminate what was originally a cafe and an outdoor seating area along the street in favor of putting more parking. That's what it looks like. I don't know --

You know, the earlier iterations of that plan showed parking at all levels or not, but dedicating essentially all the ground floor, except for some resident amenities that are just adjacent to the building. Everything else is parking and loading. So I was wondering why that decision was made to change.

A And I hope, you know, the answer is clear, but, you know, we don't just look at it from a project-specific standpoint. We look at it as a neighborhood standpoint. And within our million square feet, we were actively leasing, for instance, the food and beverage location directly across the street from this that's in the Ko'ula project under construction. So we take that into account. You know, our success in leasing in that case is two floors of food and beverage, both the first floor and the second floor.

And the idea is creating a more passive experience on this makai side more, more relaxing, natural environment. This image is that high-level view. What we've been able to do for the first time, and we think it's really unique from a residential standpoint, is instead of living in a condominium project where the majority of your day is spent

indoors in your home or outdoors on the amenity level above, actually creating an outdoor space on the ground floor, a garden space, a connection to a natural environment for the residents that they come out to. And specific focus for us was placing the lobby in that environment which is a very, you know, regional thing we get to do in Hawaii because of the weather is create an indoor-outdoor environment for the lobby. And that's where this design ultimately evolved itself to. We feel it will be very appropriate for the buyers that are looking for this property.

Q For the buyers. Okay.

A And I'll mention, you know, for the neighborhood as well. You know, I participate in the playground that's on the backside of the Ko'olani project that was an early iteration done by HCDA.

It's where my kids play. It's a great space, you know, but it does have a tall wall and building next to it that is very blank. But I'll say it also does — is a nice place to relax because there's no conflicts with retail environment at all. It's just a place to relax. And for that reason, we think this will be very successful at being a very calm and relaxing place with all of the activities and the

retail located on the mauka side of Auahi Street with a very highly programmed retail environment surrounding that park space.

Q Well, Kolowalu Park came after the walls were already built. So there was no choice there. I mean, it wasn't really something that was predesigned. But, anyway, it is possible to sometimes adapt spaces that were not really designed as parks, but it can be used as parks with attractive landscaping and things.

Okay. I have no more questions. Anybody else?

MEMBER SAKODA: I have a question.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

#### BY MEMBER SAKODA:

Q So, Race, just looking at -- looking at the project, original project versus what the Victoria Place project, it seems like you moved from two towers with, like, 150 units in each, so, you know, bigger units, more floor space down to more units with a smaller floor space. So I mean, I guess the question that I have for you is are you seeing something in the market that's changing in terms of the target market or the attractiveness of certain price points in the market?

We're definitely seeing this evolution Α from larger product in general to a higher demand for smaller home sizes, more efficient home sizes. know, there's a number of reasons for that, and I'm sure you'll hear about it from our market experts. But what's great about a property that has relatively smaller homes, but a larger number of them is that the gross maintenance fees where the monthly maintenance fees for a home are lower because the maintenance fees are based on a per-square-foot calculation. So if you have a three-bedroom home that's 1,500 square feet and it's a dollar a foot maintenance feet, and you have a 2,000-square-foot three-bed. You're \$500 less per month for the same amount of service in the building. It's just that there are more neighbors with you. And we're seeing that buyers in general, and especially those relocating to the urban core, like that idea. So it's really a direct response to the market.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've seen a lot of success in 'A'ali'i with over 750 homes; right? That was previously seen as a relatively high number, but great acceptance from the market in that property, and the same with Ko'ula with over 560 homes. So I think that evolution to slightly smaller home sizes and more of

them within a project is something that will continue.

Q Does that also kind of go along with sort of a nonresident/resident buyer?

A You know, we always -- every project, it's designed and marketed primarily to the local buyer. And we don't restrict who buys our homes; right? But we target -- you'll see that down to the models that are hired as actors in the advertisements, right, they're local people. They're in there. But at the end of the day, what we're seeing, it doesn't matter, price point. It's always the majority of our buyers are local. There's a chart I showed earlier, blending everything together, it's around 65 percent that are local residents purchasing in the neighborhood across all price points.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

### BY MEMBER ISHII:

- Q What's the owner-occupancy ratio, though?
- A It depends, and Hawaiiana tracks that differently for each property.
- Q You talk about 65 percent local buyers.

  Of those, how many of those are actual owner occupants?

So what isn't tracked is the geography of Α a person and whether they live there. It's tracked separately. And what we have the information on is how many people intend to live there as a primary residence when they purchase the home. What later on happens is the management association, and I'm sure some of you are familiar with this, then track the occupancy levels, which is public information. You know, so take, like, Park Lane as an example or, say, Anaha is one of our recent projects. I think it's tracking it from an occupancy standpoint in the 50 to 60 percent range how they calculate it, but that doesn't take into account second people who use it a portion of the year and then those who may be renting it long term for some reason. So it's kind of hard math to follow.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ke Kilohana, which people are still moving into, the latest we heard is it's already over 90 percent occupied in that building.

Q I have another question. How are you addressing the charging stations for electric cars with this new project?

A Good question. So for this property, we intend to allow buyers to opt into it during the purchasing process. So as we've done now at a number

of our projects after you purchase the home, you're given the opportunity to select whether you want the light cabinets or the dark cabinets; right? Or if you want a charging station for your vehicle, and then you would pay extra for that. At each property, it's been a different level of the amount of people that have chosen to do it. But that then allows people to specifically pay for it if they want it or need it. And then during construction, we'll put in the charging station for them.

As has happened in actually all of our four projects that are open now is after we open, if someone really needs one because they move in or whatnot and they have an electric vehicle, they would either approach the association to get permission to build one themselves, which has been done, or there will be a centralized charging location either in the building, or there's already some in the neighborhood with our commercial garages.

Q Thank you.

### CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MEMBER RODRIGUEZ:

Q I notice the park actually improves the views for your other development. Even the buildings that are back, they can get a wider view. But in

talking about the other testifier, I recall that when we had the park idea, you folks, I think, put up -- you folks put up a construction surety bond to ensure that we would see the fruition of a park. Would you folks be able to provide a construction surety bond for the reserved housing that's being proposed?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. I mean, we have done that, typically. I think we just completed that, actually, for the Ko'ula project. We just provided the -- in that case, the surety, the financial surety which was in the form of a line of credit or a set-aside letter that was provided for us. So at each project, there's been different requirements. For Ko'ula, we just completed that, and we expect it to be similar for Victoria Place to ensure that it does get built, right, which is what I know there's been concern about. So that's been the form that we've done to date. If we haven't already built it and satisfied it, which in this case, as I mentioned, a large portion of the reserved housing requirement for Victoria Place is already built and occupied. It's the incremental increase in unit count that does generate an additional reserved housing requirement.

CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Any other questions? Okay. Thank you.

1	MR. KANG: Mr. Chairman, if I can suggest
2	a short break for the benefit of the court reporter.
3	CHAIR WHALEN: Oh, yes. Sorry, Laura.
4	Yeah. We can go for a let's see, reconvene in
5	five minutes. How much time do you need?
6	THE REPORTER: Five minutes.
7	(Whereupon, a recess was taken from
8	2:31 p.m. until 2:43 p.m.)
9	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. We can resume the
10	hearing. It's 2:43.
11	MR. KANG: Mr. Chairman, we call Chad
12	Takesue to the stand.
13	CHAIR WHALEN: Do you affirm to tell the
14	truth?
15	THE WITNESS: I do.
16	
17	CHAD TAKESUE,
18	having been called as a witness
19	by the Applicant, affirmed to tell the truth
20	and was examined as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. KANG:
23	Q Mr. Takesue, can you please state your
24	name, place of employment and position for the
25	record, please?

I'm Chad Takesue. I'm currently a 1 Α 2 partner and senior VP of sales for the residential side at Locations. 3 And how long have you been at Locations? 4 5 I've been at the firm for about 14 years recently serving as the senior vice president from 6 7 2017 to 2019. Please describe your firm's experience. 8 9 Oh, we've been in the business for 50 10 years. We're the largest locally owned firm here on 11 the island with extensive knowledge of supply and 12 demand. We're known for our residential real estate 13 research, having experience both in the resale market 14 as well as in the project market. 15 What has your firm been retained to do with respect to this project, Victoria Place? 16 17 We've been asked to share a little bit of Α 18 our market knowledge in the Kaka'ako market, 19 specifically to demand and supply in the area. 20 Can you please share your analysis and 21 conclusions with respect to that? 22 Yeah. For the Kaka'ako market, you know, 23 we're seeing that the demand is still, you know, 24 greater than supply. Sold-to-list price ratio has 25 remained constant as the same time last year. That's

important. You monitor that because it can be a lead indicator to price sensitivity. We've seen prospective buyers in the Kaka'ako area also list their homes in order to transition to the area. So we're seeing some actually indirect inventory being created in other areas as people migrate to this area.

Victoria Place is the only residential project currently being planned along Ala Moana Boulevard corridor. So it does offer somewhat of a different unique product.

We are seeing some softer market indications, but sales are still at the same levels that are indicated on the analysis. One of those metrics that we --

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can you speak into the microphone more?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. One of the metrics that we look at is the marketability of remaining inventory which remain at six and a half months of remaining inventory for the area. And, you know, the one kind of factor this year that we're seeing, and we have yet to see the full impact, is the interest rates that are being offered and the purchasing power to some buyers, and it does impact

1 properties in the affordability index. 2 BY MR. KANG: Has the completion of units in Ward 3 Villages as well as the retail options and amenities, 4 5 has that affected the demand for units in this area? 6 I think the demand is primarily driven by 7 this vision that's being realized at this Central Plaza and what's known as Victoria Ward Park. 8 9 vision of a community that's highly livable and 10 desirable, pedestrian friendly, a mix of retail/residential, that is an attractive product 11 12 that we really haven't had. And seeing that come to 13 fruition, that consumers are starting to realize that 14 vision come true, and that's definitely driving the 15 increased demand for this particular area. 16 All right. Thank you, Mr. Takesue. 17 Mr. Chairman, Mr. Takesue is available 18 for questions. 19 CHAIR WHALEN: Any questions, board 20 members? 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 22 BY MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: 23 Right now you said the rate to borrow 24 money is low; right? And there's really high demand. There's really a lot of demand for reserved housing; 25

correct?

A Yeah, both.

Q And so with rates being low at this time, I mean, in the future, I think those rates might go up.

A You know, that's a funny one. At our first-time homeowners seminar that we do every month, we've been talking about the rates going up, and we keep proving ourselves wrong. But, yeah, I mean, that's always a wildcard. That's always an element that's factored into someone's purchase decision. The reality is right now, we're seeing this environment where people do have a little stronger purchasing power. We're putting people into properties that, you know, just three years ago might have been a little difficult.

Q The reason why I'm asking these questions is because it is affordable and people can borrow now. There's a high demand for reserved housing. I mean, it makes sense to try to build reserved housing at the same time or at least even prior to because, you know, of people -- the economic conditions you described.

A Yeah, I think there's a demand for both.

I'm seeing there has been a lot of -- we've heard

1 that projects have been coming on with that reserved 2 housing element. It's been well received as well. My question was really just about 3 affordability and what, you know, the common people 4 5 are looking for. 6 I think, you know, the other thing is 7 there are different segments you have to cater to. 8 In other words, you don't want one type of segment in 9 a community. You have to look at any planned 10 community like Mililani Mauka. Mauka has, you know, your rich homes. You have your destiny homes, which 11 12 are a little bit here, and there's all these 13 different products, and that creates that community. 14 You don't have just one type. And I like -- I mean, 15 our consumers are liking the mix of stuff that's 16 coming. 17 Well, apparently, the earlier slide 18 showed a lot of development of the luxury, ultra 19 luxury. You know what I mean? Anyway, thank you. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 BY MEMBER ISHII: 22 Chad, what is the median sales price for 23 Oahu? Well, in Kaka'ako we're just above 24 800,000, but, again, that's factoring -- we're seeing 25

1	some smaller units as well as some larger units.
2	Q So Kaka'ako is about 800. What about
3	median overall in the community?
4	A We're looking at probably, like, in the
5	mid fives.
6	Q Mid fives? And this project at Victoria
7	Place, what was the median price that you were kind
8	of looking at from doing the market study?
9	A For this project, I'm not I don't have
10	that information at this time.
11	CHAIR WHALEN: Any other questions?
12	Okay. Thanks.
13	MR. KANG: Our next witness is Kimi Yuen.
14	CHAIR WHALEN: Kimi, please affirm that
15	you'll tell the truth.
16	THE WITNESS: I will, Chair.
17	
18	KIMI YUEN,
19	having been called as a witness
20	by the Applicant, affirmed to tell the truth
21	and was examined as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. KANG:
24	Q All right. Ms. Yuen, can you please
25	restate your name, place of employment and position

for the record, please?

A Aloha, Chair, members of the board. My name is Kimi Yuen. I'm a principal and planner with the local consulting firm PBR HAWAII.

Q And how long have you been in that position?

A As a principal, the past roughly four years. I've been with PBR, though, for nearly 20.

Q Can you just briefly describe your education and background?

A I have an undergraduate degree in architecture from the University of California at Berkeley and my master's in urban and regional planning from the University of Hawaii at Manoa.

Q And please describe PBR's experience.

architecture firm. We've been in business for nearly 50 years. We offer a full range of planning services from long-range plans, community plans, physical planning, urban design as well as land use entitlements, environmental assessments and impact studies, then all aspects of landscape architecture as well as graphic design.

Q And what has PBR been retained to do with respect to this project, Victoria Place?

1 PBR has submitted and completed the Α 2 planned development permit application and is going 3 through the processing of this permit. Are you familiar with Hawaii Revised 4 5 Statutes, Section 206E-5.6(e) --6 Yes. Α 7 -- which we've often referred to as Act 61? 8 9 Yes. Α 10 Does Victoria Place address and comply with Act 61? 11 Yes, it does. 12 Α All right. I won't go through every 13 criteria of Act 61, but does Victoria Place, first of 14 15 all, advance the goals, policies and objectives of 16 the applicable district plan? 17 Yes. Victoria Place is another Α 18 residential community that's planned by the Ward team 19 as part of their master plan for the area. 20 original concept for Kaka'ako was to create a 21 live-work-play community right in the urban core of 22 Honolulu, and the idea was to concentrate those uses 23 so that people had an easy, convenient place to live 24 and play near existing employment and commercial

centers, such as downtown and Ala Moana and Waikiki.

25

Q And does Victoria Place avoid a substantially adverse effect on surrounding land uses through compatibility with the existing and planned use character of the surrounding area?

A Yes. It's compliant. It fits in with the higher-density urban community that's being developed in Kaka'ako. It was kind of envisioned as the development right between downtown and Ala Moana and Waikiki along the urban core.

Q Does Victoria Place positively impact pedestrian-oriented development?

A Yes, it does. The streetscapes along
Auahi and Ala Moana will be landscaped. It will have
the nice wide sidewalks, and so it -- it's in a
unique location right adjacent to the Ward Park. As
everyone's been mentioning, it kind of has this
mauka-makai connection to the more active uses that
would be mauka, and then the connection to the
waterfront at Kewalo Basin that's in makai -- yeah,
makai.

Q And does Victoria Place positively impact maintenance of values, cultural, historical and natural resources?

A Yes. I understand the project has gone through its review with SHPD and completed five of

1 the six required historic preservation review process 2 requirements. I believe the last step has to do with construction, and they'll be doing that as part of 3 There's also no historical, cultural 4 the plan. 5 resources identified in the Mauka Area Plan that would be impacted by this project. 6 7 MR. KANG: With that, Mr. Chairman, we'll rest on the remainder of Ms. Yuen's written 8 9 testimony, and she's available for questions. 10 CHAIR WHALEN: Members, any questions? 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MEMBER SAKODA: 13 I have a question. Sorry. I was just 14 looking for where I read it. So much paperwork, I 15 can't remember where I read it. Essentially, it was the letter from, I think, the Office of 16 17 Sustainability, newly created Office of 18 Sustainability. If I remember correctly, that letter 19 just said that none of the issues have been 20 addressed. I'm not sure if anybody has read that. 21 I know our civil engineer is here, but 22 that is outside of the 3.2 just for your 23 information --24 Okay. 25 -- and they'll confirm that for you.

1	Q Okay.
2	CHAIR WHALEN: I guess that's it.
3	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
4	MR. KANG: Our next witness is David
5	Akinaka.
6	CHAIR WHALEN: Please affirm to tell the
7	truth.
8	THE WITNESS: I do.
9	
10	DAVID AKINAKA,
11	having been called as a witness
12	by the Applicant, affirmed to tell the truth
13	and was examined as follows:
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. KANG:
16	Q Mr. Akinaka, please state your name,
17	place of employment and position for the record,
18	please.
19	A My name is David Akinaka. I'm with
20	Ferraro Choi and Associates, Limited, in Honolulu,
21	and I'm a principal there and an architect.
22	Q Please describe your educational
23	background and experience.
24	A You can see my resume, but I've been a
25	practicing architect for more than 20 years. I was

born and raised here, educated at University of
Virginia where I got my bachelor of science in
architecture, my master of architecture from Columbia
University in New York. I worked there several years
but have been home since 2004. So almost 15 years
now back home. And because I was in New York City, I
got to appreciate the walkable neighborhood
lifestyle.

Q Can you please summarize your firm's experience?

A Sure. Ferraro Choi provides

architectural planning and interior design services

for commercial and institutional projects. We work

with federal, state, municipal and private clients.

We're recognized for our sustainable design in Hawaii

and are routinely commissioned for unique projects

with different resources and reusable energy that

provide healthy environments for the occupants.

Q What has your firm been retained to do for this project, Victoria Place?

A Ferraro Choi is providing Howard Hughes

Corporation with peer-reviewed assistance relating to

entitlement submissions for this project.

Q Please describe how this project or the specific components of the project will protect,

preserve and enhance desirable neighborhood characteristics.

A Sure. Both the city and the HCDA have encouraged the implementation of the Complete Streets development model, which emphasizes the development of safe, multimodal and pedestrian-oriented streetscapes and connectivity. The concept of Complete Streets creates stronger and more livable communities that are aligned with the community's vision and sense of place.

Howard Hughes has considered and applied the foregoing design for Victoria Place. The project will border and is designed to complement the makai segment of Victoria Ward Park, which will be on the Ewa side of Victoria Place.

Victoria Place is designed to seamlessly integrate, which is adjacent to Victoria Ward Park, on the Ewa side of the development, and landscaping and other elements will help to activate the park.

And Victoria Place employs an appropriate sense of scale to further transition this residential community with the park.

The Victoria Place podium edge will step down to double height lobby structure, flanked by a single-story amenity space and courtyards that blend

indoor and outdoor areas and will encourage residents to use the outdoor spaces. Generous landscaping along Auahi Street will also create an inviting public pathway to and from Victoria Ward Park and beyond and is another step in transforming Auahi Street into a pedestrian promenade to connect the various communities, shops and restaurants in Ward Village.

These design concepts encourage residents to interact with other residents and visitors, participate in community events, and makes for a friendlier community and healthier residents who can walk, bike and recreate with the community.

Victoria Place's tower is oriented in a mauka-makai direction, preserving and enhancing citywide views, and maintaining a view corridor to the ocean.

Adjacent to Victoria Ward Park is an additional view corridor from the interior of Ward Village to the waterfront. The entirely of Victoria Ward Park, both the mauka and makai side, will be the central gathering place for the community and will serve to connect the various communities, restaurants and shops throughout Ward Village.

In our reading, all these design

strategies will protect, preserve and enhance the desired characteristics of the Ward Village community.

Q Mr. Akinaka, there was some discussion today about the activation on Auahi Street and the distinction between the mauka and makai sides of Auahi Street. Were you present for that --

A Yes, I was.

Q -- discussion?

Can you please comment on that issue from an architectural and qualitative perspective?

A Right. I think both Todd and Race had touched on the quantitative aspect of that design issue. I can comment from a big picture on the qualitative side. You know, again, the mauka side of Auahi Street is this more active commercial and retail-oriented experience at the pedestrian level and scale. And, accordingly, the mauka side is more oriented towards Kewalo Basin, towards nature, the ocean and the horizon. And it's nice, in my opinion, that there are these two aspects within this one streetscape.

I should also add from an qualitative standpoint, at the pedestrian level, again, we're designing for human beings and the human experience,

that someone walking down on the makai edge of Auahi Street is -- is not a typical sidewalk design. This is a wide, generous walkway. It's a layered experience. You have between the vehicular lane, you have a bike lane, and then landscaping and then your pedestrian pathway. And then between you and the building, there's also a generous landscape strip and then further architectural treatment, whether it's screens, articulated materials which, again, enhance that pedestrian experience. So it's not -- I don't consider it to be bland. I consider it a quality experience for the pedestrian. 0 Thank you, Mr. Akinaka. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Akinaka is available for any questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY CHAIR WHALEN: David, were you the designer who prepared Q the 100 percent concept design dated December 7th, 2018? For this project? For this project. No, we're not. Okay. We're just --Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is that somebody else who did that? 1 0 2 Yes. There's a design architect. 3 Okay. So that change occurred -- that concept design showed a cafe and outdoor seating 4 5 along Auahi Street. So did the program change then 6 for the project to one that would provide only 7 parking on the ground level? 8 So just to clarify, Chair, so Ferraro 9 Choi, really, our role is to provide peer review 10 specific to the HCDA permit process. But my 11 understanding is, in looking at the previous design 12 and then the current design, the main change was 13 where the restaurant area was located is now the 14 residential lobby for the building. So those -- the 15 function of that changed, but the idea is still to provide a connection to Auahi Street at the 16 17 pedestrian level. 18 For residents only? 19 Correct. That's my understanding. 20 Right. So there's no public except for 21 maybe a quest that comes in? 22 I think there is a quest quarters portion 23 of that -- of that zone that was previously for the 24 restaurant in the earlier scheme. 25 Okay. Well, the restaurant is no longer

there; right? 1 2 Α Correct. 3 So all that remains of all the resident 4 amenities are next to the park? 5 That's my understanding, yes. All right. Just wanted to clarify that. 6 7 Thank you. 8 Any other questions? 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: 10 11 You mentioned Complete Streets. I notice the pedestrian is the biggest use, but Complete 12 13 Streets includes multimodal, skateboards. Scooters 14 are a big hit nowadays. Will they be allowed in 15 these areas? I'll defer that to Howard Hughes in terms 16 17 of specific allowed uses. But you're correct. 18 answer your question, yes, Complete Streets is 19 providing that layered accommodation of various means 20 of transportation, including bicycles and such. 21 I only mention it because the scooter 22 guys are coming -- what do you call those? They want 23 entry into the city. I wanted to bring that up. 24 That's right. 25 Thank you.

1	CHAIR WHALEN: Any other questions?
2	Okay. David, thank you.
3	MR. KANG: Next witness is Lee Cranmer.
4	CHAIR WHALEN: Can you raise your right
5	hand and say you'll affirm to tell the truth?
6	THE WITNESS: I promise to tell the
7	truth, Chair.
8	CHAIR WHALEN: Well, that's good. I just
9	need to articulate it.
10	THE WITNESS: No worries.
11	CHAIR WHALEN: Thanks.
12	
13	LEE CRANMER,
14	having been called as a witness
15	by the Applicant, affirmed to tell the truth
16	and was examined as follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. KANG:
19	Q Mr. Cranmer, will you please restate your
20	name, company and position, please?
21	A My name's Lee Cranmer. I'm senior
22	director of development at Howard Hughes Corporation.
23	Q Mr. Cranmer, I have some questions for
24	you with respect to the agency comment letters that
25	were received, and I believe they were marked as

Exhibit J to the staff report. The first one is from the Hawaii State Department of Transportation dated August 8th, 2019, regarding the project. Are you familiar with that letter?

A Yes.

Q The letter requests confirmation that the project design accommodates the DOT requirement to provide an increase in the right-of-way for road-widening setbacks of 8 feet on both the mauka and makai sides of Ala Moana Boulevard. Can you please respond to that comment?

A The Victoria Place design accommodates a 6-foot road-widening setback along the mauka edge of Auahi and Ala Moana Boulevard, which was based on the earlier agreement with DOT in 2015 at the time that the subdivision was being --

Q And for the record, we've attached the correspondence relating to this between Howard Hughes and DOT. Those would be our Exhibits Y, Z and AA.

The DOT letter also includes a memorandum dated October 9th, 2019, from DOT to HCDA that refers to the 8-foot road-widening setback. To your knowledge, prior to reviewing DOT's comment letter, was Howard Hughes aware of that letter from DOT?

A No, we were not. We did a complete

review of our files to see if we were copied on that letter, and we were not copied at that time. The first time we had seen it was with the comment letter that was received from DOT this week.

Q Did you review the comment letter from the City and County of Honolulu dated August 7th, 2019, regarding the project?

A Yes.

Q And that letter requests compliance with fire codes relating to access roads, the presence of water supply for fire suppression, et cetera. Please respond to those comments.

A We've noted the comments, and the design complies for the most part and will be -- as the design continues to develop, we'll comply with the comments in full. As noted -- also noted is the infrastructure availability report are similar to your record from Wilson Okamoto. They have been consulting with the fire department since May for this project, and we'll continue to do so as necessary as the design develops.

Q Did you review the comment letter from the board regarding compliance dated

August 13th, 2019, regarding the project?

A Yes.

1 And can you please respond to that 2 comment? 3 That letter was substantially the same as Α the letter that Wilson Okamoto had received back in 4 5 February regarding the project, both of which are 6 included in the infrastructure availability report. 7 The comments are substantially the same, and we will 8 comply. 9 Thank you, Mr. Cranmer. 10 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Cranmer is 11 available for any questions. 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: 14 I'm sorry. Can you repeat what letter 15 you're speaking of? Are we referring to the DOT road 16 17 widening? 18 Yes. You said there was a letter --19 I can go into a little more detail for Α 20 As part of the Gateway project, we did a you here. 21 subdivision actually in 2015. Under the subdivision 22 action, the DOT had requested a road-widening setback 23 to be recorded on the subdivision map. 24 eventually agreed in February 25th, 2015, to a 6-foot 25 road-widening setback for that subdivision map, which

1 was subsequently approved by DPP and then recorded. 2 We've subsequently confirmed that position, you know, 3 the letter to DOT dated March 9th, 2015, and then we recorded the map, and also this widening setback is 4 5 also on title at this time for the lots in effect for 6 this project. 7 So you were aware of the 6 feet and not 8 the 8 feet? 9 Α Correct. 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MEMBER ISHII: 11 What about the Ala Moana widening of the 12 13 roads? Is that --14 That's what we're talking about. It's a 15 6-foot setback on the mauka side of the project where 16 our private property is to accommodate a potential 17 widening of Ala Moana Boulevard for traffic safety 18 purposes. 19 So are you proposing 10 foot, 11 feet or Q 20 12 feet? 21 I'm sorry? 22 So it says here the future restriping of 23 10 foot to be widened to either 11 or select a 12-foot lane. 24 25 I have to defer on the road design to the

1 DOT. 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION 3 BY MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Lately, we've been doing the 10 foot. 4 5 there an issue with that, though, with the 8 foot? I 6 mean with the --We've not studied it. I think -- I know 7 8 there was a much wider setback that was initially 9 requested, and there was a feasibility study that was 10 done at that time, and it was part of the -- I guess 11 they settled on the agreement of a 6-foot recorded road-widening setback that affect the properties in 12 13 question. 14 I'd also note that on the makai side, on 15 the harbor area, it's under the control of Howard Hughes as well. Will a setback there -- are you 16 17 folks looking at a setback there to compensate the other side? 18 19 I'm not familiar with projects on that 20 side of the road. Sorry. 21 MR. RANDLE: I mean, I can speak to that 22 if you'd like to. 23 MR. KANG: Chair, if we could have 24 Mr. Randle address that question?

CHAIR WHALEN: Sure.

25

MR. RANDLE: Yes. It's on the Kewalo
Harbor side. That land -- a portion of that land is
under the lease currently to Howard Hughes for the
next 33 years, but it is HCDA land. So I think any
acquisition of that land would be with the HCDA.

It's not -- with the exception of the Charter
building, which is on the makai side of Ala Moana
Boulevard, there are no other large structures on the
makai side. But, ultimately, it would be up to HCDA
to review with DOT and confirm the ability to widen
the road.

I'll note on the Victoria Place side as we're talking about, so Victoria Place is set back 15 feet from the property line along Ala Moana Boulevard as part of the front yard setbacks. So the structure is 15 feet away from the back of the sidewalk, which is the right-of-way today. So if there's a desire for the DOT to widen and if they need to acquire land, just note that the building is already 15 feet away from the road right-of-way, which is obviously more than the 6 or 8 feet we were talking about.

MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

CHAIR WHALEN: Any other questions?

24 ///

25 ///

## CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MEMBER SAKODA: Are you the right person to ask about the 3 4 sustainability directive? 5 Are we talking about the comment letter 6 that came from the office of --7 0 Yes. 8 Yes, we also reviewed that letter. 9 our civil engineer of record is here. He can testify 10 directly on his interactions with that office, but my understanding is he reviewed the mayor's directive. 11 12 He reviewed the source materials mentioned in the 13 letter, had a conversation with the Office of 14 Sustainability. They determined that this project 15 does not lie within the inundation threat zone of a 16 15-foot sea level rise. The existing ground surface, 17 we'll be raising the ground surface for the 100-year 18 floodplain for the occupied areas of the building. 19 CHAIR WHALEN: All right. Thank you. 20 MR. KANG: Our next witness is Cathy 21 Leong. 22 CHAIR WHALEN: Raise your hand. Affirm 23 to tell the truth. 24 THE WITNESS: I do. 25 ///

1	CATHY LEONG,
2	having been called as a witness
3	by the Applicant, affirmed to tell the truth
4	and was examined as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. KANG:
7	Q Ms. Leong, can you please restate your
8	name, position and employment please?
9	A My name is Cathy Leong. I'm an associate
10	director at Wilson Okamoto Corporation.
11	MR. KANG: Mr. Chairman, we are going to
12	rest on Ms. Leong's written testimony, and she is
13	available for any questions.
14	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Are there any
15	questions? That was a long wait for not very much.
16	MR. KANG: Our next witness is Kevin
17	Goto.
18	CHAIR WHALEN: Raise your hand.
19	THE WITNESS: I do.
20	CHAIR WHALEN: Oh, you do affirm to tell
21	the truth. Okay. Thank you.
22	///
23	///
24	
25	

1 KEVIN GOTO, 2 having been called as a witness by the Applicant, affirmed to tell the truth 3 and was examined as follows: 4 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. KANG: 7 Mr. Goto, please restate your name and 8 title and company, please. 9 My name is Kevin Goto. My title is 10 associate director of the civil engineering 11 department at Wilson Okamoto Corporation. 12 Mr. Goto, are you familiar with the 13 comment letter dated August 14, 2019, from the City 14 and County of Honolulu, Office of Climate Change and 15 Sustainability? 16 А Yes. 17 Can you please comment on that letter and 18 any steps that you took to address that comment 19 letter? 20 Yes. So we received that letter. 21 set to address the project related to the mayor's 22 directive along with any documents related to that. 23 So the mayor's directive indicated that a 3.2 feet 24 global mean sea level rise that, I guess, the county 25 needs to plan towards, we applied that to the sea

1	level rise viewer. The sea level rise viewer
2	indicated that our project site is not in the zone of
3	vulnerability related to that 3.2 global mean sea
4	level rise.
5	MR. KANG: Thank you, Mr. Goto.
6	Mr. Chairman, we have no further
7	questions. Mr. Goto is available for questions.
8	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. All right. I don't
9	think there are any other questions. All right.
10	Thank you.
11	MR. KANG: Our next witness is Matt
12	McDermott. Matt McDermott.
13	CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. Good afternoon.
14	Please raise your right hand and affirm to tell the
15	truth.
16	THE WITNESS: I do.
17	CHAIR WHALEN: All right. Thank you.
18	
19	MATT McDERMOTT,
20	having been called as a witness
21	by the Applicant, affirmed to tell the truth
22	and was examined as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. KANG:
25	Q Mr. McDermott, please restate your name,

position and company, please, for the record. 1 2 My name is Matt McDermott. I work with Α Cultural Surveys Hawaii where I'm a project manager, 3 4 principal investigator. 5 MR. KANG: Mr. Chairman, we will also be resting on Mr. McDermott's written testimony. He is 6 7 available for any questions. 8 CHAIR WHALEN: Any questions about the 9 archaeological survey? There isn't. 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 11 CHAIR WHALEN: Thank you. 12 Okay. I think that's the -- that 13 exhausts the list of witnesses. Anything else? 14 MR. KANG: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. We 15 have no further witnesses at this time. 16 CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. All right. Thanks. 17 Okay. There's only one person signed up to testify. 18 Oh, Hina Wong. 19 MS. WONG: Aloha mai. Yes, some of you 20 may recognize my face in the community for doing 21 different things, and I find myself often advocating 22 for the presence and the voice of that same Hawaiian 23 face in different areas and sometimes in different 24 ways. I have found that it has been a very positive

engage for the concerns that I connect with and for

25

the things that I have come to be familiar with in Ward Village, and I appreciate what they have done.

I know from firsthand account how our iwi kupuna have been treated, and they have been afforded every respect that can be given and they continue to be maintained in that same level of respect and dignity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am also a part of the cultural events that take place in Ward Village, and I truly appreciate that sense of belonging. I was skeptical in the beginning of what it would come to be. I've watched our Kona Nui Nights grow from being housed elsewhere in Ward Village, and I've now been a part of it in the public space, the Victoria Ward Park green, and it's been just -- just amazing the diversity of people that we've seen come there. of course, I've been there for a whole lot of other things, and I've actually seen that diversity of people that come. They're not only people that potentially live there, but there are people that come from outside. And in circles that I swim in in my community life, they too come down to Ward Village. We've had some great evenings with some of Hawaii's finest hula and Hawaiian music people. just wanted to make sure that you did hear of the very positive --

1 And you should also know that as a 2 Hawaiian language speaker, I am empowered to be bilingual on stage; whereas, you don't hear too much 3 of that out in the community unless you're going to a 4 5 very specific Hawaiian language-oriented event. So 6 that's some of the highlights of how I have engaged 7 with Ward Village. Do you have any questions for me? 8 CHAIR WHALEN: Thank you. 9 MEMBER SAKODA: I have a question. 10 MS. WONG: Yes. 11 MEMBER SAKODA: What do you think we can 12 do better? 13 MS. WONG: What do I think that we can --14 when you say --15 MEMBER SAKODA: We as a community. 16 MS. WONG: That's an awesome question. 17 think that anytime that you have the opportunity to 18 consider how and where -- and I'm speaking from a 19 Hawaiian in the community. I wear a lot of hats. 20 Sometimes those hats, I put one on top of the other. 21 Can't help it. But as such, as a Hawaiian in the 22 community, I grew up in a Hawaii that was a different 23 kind of Hawaii. And being raised by my grandparents,

that Hawaii was a far cry from what they used to tell

me about. But it's a sense of belonging. I know

24

25

that no matter where I wanted to live right now in Hawaii --

It could have been Ward Village. It could have been anyplace else. I actually prefer a house house because that's what I grew up in.

to afford that. But a sense of belonging, a sense of where I don't have to feel out of place when I go to someplace. Sometimes when I go to Waikiki, where as a teenager I went into Hawaiian entertainment, I didn't always feel like I belonged. I felt kind of token sometimes. But when I walk around in the spaces and places in Ward Village, I feel free because I've associated my face with these faces, and I get to be the kanaka that I want to be in this place.

It was my advice to say you need to have Hawaiian language spoken in and about these places and teach people. If you can get behind those kinds of initiatives where people are not just throwing money, but actually creating places where you can feel like it's okay to be you -- and when I say you, I mean be me, kanaka.

If I came to more of your meetings, I may know more of how to articulate how you can do that,

but that's what I would say. Support the things that can make meaningful differences, not just an appropriation kind of difference.

Here's something you should know. As someone who, again, is extremely staunch in the Hawaiian community for different things, Ward Village, I believe till today, when I was asked how can we honor the legacy of Victoria Ward for whom these lands descend, I said, "Look at the history of who she was." She was a classic example of someone like myself who is of mixed ethnicity, but, yet, this is being kanaka. We pool our kanaka side, and this is our home.

Victoria Ward was a staunch supporter of our queen, Liliuokalani. And during Hawaii's most tumultuous of times, she flew the flag over the canopy of her bed and said that should she pass in her sleep, she would die beneath the flag of her country. And I am -- I am gravitated to that element because her story sings to me. And if you take notice on Hawaiian holidays, very specific Hawaiian holidays, La Ho'iho'i Ea La Huihoa (phonetic), ali'i birthdays, go and look and see what flag flies at Ward Village to honor Victoria Ward's sentiments about how she felt about our land and our people and

you'll see.

So I am really proud of that fact that they listened. They listened to the voice of a kanaka who, for some, I am lucky if they even say, "Oh, thank you very much for your comment," but they listened. So I hope that answers your question.

MEMBER SAKODA: Thank you.

MS. WONG: Anything else?

MEMBER ISHII: You talked about

incorporating 'olelo; right?

MS. WONG: Yes.

MEMBER ISHII: So how do you see that occurring within --

MS. WONG: I especially like -- some people will say it's appropriation, but my vision for 'olelo in places and spaces, and it could be in a whole lot of spaces. I see it as 'olelo first and forward rather than always the secondary.

If you and I were to travel to any other country, Japan, China, you name it, those places that are still heavily grounded to their culture and their language and their history, their language is first and foremost. Places in Hawaii that do token kind of things -- I shouldn't probably say that before you. You'd be one of the supporters of the business, but I

don't like when you just put a Hawaiian sounding name to something because you want to sell a product.

MEMBER ISHII: That was my point because those buildings have Hawaiian names; right?

MS. WONG: They have Hawaiian names, but they are ultimately tied to the history of the land. And, yes, in --

When it comes to development in Hawaii, that's probably one of the most tenuous things that we could ever talk about if you watch the news. But here in the city, for me, it's a very different engage. We have laws that allow people to purchase homes. They could come from the continent, or they could come from overseas. These laws allow people to buy. I wish that my neighbor --

And I live in Liliha. I live in my grandparents' home. I don't think I could live there were it not for my father's good graces. If he kicked me out today, I don't know what I would do.

Three doors down from us, a Chinese family with the same last name of Wong from my great-grandfather's village bought the property.

What did they do? They turned it into a monster home. What did that do for our family's property?

Right up to the roof. I wish they would have just

bought down here because then we wouldn't have to deal with that.

You know, at first I was -- maybe 20 years ago, I would have been someone who said,
"Absolutely no development here in Honolulu." But when it comes to housing in the most crowded urban hub, I would have rather them have come over here in the urban area rather than be my neighbor.

And then that same neighbor bought the house on the other side of the corner and between us. What did they do? They improved. What does that do for our old house? Made the value of the land go high, the value of the house go down, and our taxes go up.

Yeah, it's just not an easy thing when you think of what development means, but in Honolulu, at least when I walk around in Ward Village, I'm comfortable to be kanaka because I know I have influence. And, in fact, those names I gave them. Mahalo.

MEMBER ISHII: Thank you.

MS. WONG: Mahalo, any other questions?

Then we're done. Mahalo for your time. Mahalo.

CHAIR WHALEN: Okay. There's no further

public testimony. Then on behalf of the HCDA, the

1	Authority members and its staff, thank you for your
2	attendance. The public hearing now stands adjourned
3	at 3:32 p.m.
4	(Whereupon, the hearing adjourned
5	at 3:32 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF HAWAII
3	) ss. CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU )
4	T. TRUDE CRUO
5	I, LAURA SAVO, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Hawaii, do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken down by me in machine shorthand at the time and place herein stated, and was thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision;
9	That the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcript of said proceedings;
10	I further certify that I am not of counsel
11	or attorney for any of the parties to this case, nor in any way interested in the outcome hereof, and that
12	I am not related to any of the parties hereto.
13	Dated this 8th day of September 2019, in Honolulu, Hawaii.
14	
15	LAURA SAVO, RPR, CSR NO. 347
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	